Plant operator, name location	Status (planning/c onstruction /operation)	Electrical output	Type of fuel	Date of operating licence, Reference to the licence and assessment	Availability of suitable storage sites	Technical and economic feasibility of transport facilities	Technical and economic feasibility to retrofit for CO ₂ capture	Space set aside	Other measures taken or recommen ded to prepare for future retrofitting	Comments
nv Tessenderlo Developmen t Services 3945 Ham, 3980 Tessenderlo	Planning	900 MWe	Natural gas	OMV202200 1103 d.d. 16/09/2022 An appeal is currently pending before the Council for Licensing Disputes. Assessment: appendix R43C	Undergroun d storage under the North Sea area is mentioned. This is part of ongoing, future projects in Europe.	None existing transport facilities for CO ₂ .	As a prerequisite, the CO2 capture could only be considered with the needed CO2 pipeline infrastructur e to connect the Tessenderlo area to a future CO2 cluster (eg. Antwerpen), which as well would need to be connected to a storage facility in the North Sea	Yes	none	

Annex 2: Operating licences granted to large combustion installations in accordance with Article 33

			-		
			area. Within		
			the actual		
			conditions,		
			the		
			implementat		
			ion of such a		
			CO2 capture		
			plant, would		
			add a		
			significant		
			cost to the		
			operation of		
			a new CCGT		
			power plant,		
			making it		
			non-		
			economical		
			in the		
			market. A		
			future CCS		
			retrofit may		
			only achieve		
			economically		
			viable		
			conditions,		
			when the		
			cost of CO2-		
			emissions		
			will reach a 3		
			digits level		
			over the		
			lifetime of		
			the CCS		
			investment;		
			combined		
			with a		
			sufficient		

			high level of		
			remaining		
			full load		
			operating		
			hours for the		
			CCGT power		
			plant. Other		
			investments		
			in the		
			market		
			(either more		
			focussed on		
			haseload or		
			intermittent		
			renewahle		
			energy) will		
			dotormino		
			uetermine whathar this		
			whether this		
			power plant		
			WIII		
			eventually		
			run in		
			baseload or		
			move faster		
			towards a		
			peak power		
			plant. In the		
			latter case,		
			alternative		
			options such		
			as, for		
			example, a		
			hydrogen-		
			fired power		
			plant (see		
			next		
			chapter)		

				appear to be		
				a more		
				economically		
				sustainable		
				solution		
				than a CO2		
				capture		
				plant that		
				needs a		
				minimum		
				number of		
				running		
				hours over		
				15 years to		
				be		
				economically		
				feasible. CCS		
				technology		
				could thus		
				theoretically		
				, be		
				retrofitted to		
				the TDS		
				power plant,		
				once the		
				economic		
				conditions		
				would be		
				reached.		
Dils-Energie	Permit					
-	refused: 17-					
	09-2021.					