



Brussels, 12<sup>th</sup> of September 2012

## **SUMMARY OF IDSG Meeting 3 - 12/09/2012**

### **1. Welcome and introduction**

The chairman introduced the meeting welcoming the participants and underlining that the IDSG has reached a turning point in its existence, having proposed an initial version of the Interim Deployment Programme and getting ready to initiate its execution. He expressed the EC gratitude towards all involved stakeholders and for the work of the Expert Team.

#### **1.1 Status of the MP endorsement**

The EU has endorsed the proposed European ATM MP Edition 2 with comments, expecting that the comments would be implemented and the revised MP be fully adopted ahead of ANC/12..

#### **1.2 Guidance material on common projects for SESAR deployment**

The EC is collecting comments and feedback by mid September 2012, when it will initiate the drafting of a related Implementing rule to cover:

- Definition of the future deployment governance
- Description of the functions of the various levels
- The criteria for the selection of the Deployment manager
- The definition of the required interfaces between the DM and the Network manager as well as the MIL community
- The definition of the Common Project Concept

The adoption of the IR expected for 2013, having consulted the ICB and performed formal EC consultation, aiming to initiate Deployment governance in 2014.

### **1.3 Pilot Common Project**

The SJU was given a mandate to draft the Pilot Common Project, before the end of April 2013. The PCP will be a legal act, owned at Level 1. It will have to be based on a positive business case and is expected to be subsequent to the IDP.

The start of the full governance is expected for mid 2014 at the earliest.

### **1.4 Expectations from IDSG**

Having proposed the outline IDP, the IDSG has reached its first milestone. The EC would submit the IDP to SSC for opinion and then return an opinion to the IDSG. If EC returns a positive opinion, the IDSG would be able to adopt the IDP as reference for the monitoring/reporting/steering activities.

Although the work is not completed, particularly on the geographical applicability and timing associated with the outline IDP, the WBS and Gantt chart were considered stable and mature enough for submission to SSC.

### **1.5 Update from the TEN-EA**

The TEN-EA informed that, out of the four proposals submitted under the ongoing call, two were selected:

- The ANSP support to the IDSG
- The EUROCONTROL coordination for A-CDM and CMC

The TEN-EA also informed that another call was in preparation for the end of the year, potentially focused on the IDP. While the details were not yet available, it was expected that the call would be open for submission of proposals in Q1 2013, for project eligibility as of January 2012.

### **1.6. Administrative matters**

The Chair informed the group of interest expressed by Boeing to be involved with the Interim Deployment process, aiming at participation in the Expert Team, with a view to achieve interoperability.

The Boeing representative confirmed such interest, originating from Boeing's support for the success of both NextGen and SESAR.

The Chair invited Boeing to sit in the IDSG as observer, until the possibility to participate in the ET would be clarified;

## **2. Intermediate report of the IDSG to the EC**

While expressing their satisfaction with the definition of the first draft IDP, the MIL community observed that some clarifications were in order, pertaining mainly to the geographical applicability, related timeline, expectations from actors (specifically the MIL) the link to performance and relation to regulatory work.

The IDSG agreed that additional work is needed to complete the IDP with the details related to “what should be expected by whom by when” and that such information should be gathered by performing a through “reality check” of the status of existing local projects related to the IDP.

The EC underlined that the IDP should be seen as a subset of the Master Plan essentials, a tool for the IDSG to exercise their steering. While agreeing that the reality check should be performed asap, the EC indicated being of the opinion that the IDP as proposed is seen as stable enough and relevant enough so as to be submitted to the SSC for opinion.

## **3. Executing the IDP**

The IDSG underlined that they do not have the mandate to trigger new deployment. As such, they agreed that it would be the IDP that would express their common vision for critical ATM deployment between 2012 and 2014, thus enabling them to perform the related monitoring, coordination and steering through recommendations.

The EC expressed their expectation that the IDP would in the end connect with the related local existing projects and that, while aiming at a stable IDP, the IDSG would also oversee the maintenance of the IDP as seen relevant by the Expert Team.

## **4. Short term work programme for the ET and the IDSG**

The short term work programme for the ET and the IDSG was endorsed as follows:

- By 14 September:
  - ➔ IDSG's secretariat to take into account the changes discussed during IDSG/3 and circulate an updated version for final agreement (IDP V1.0)
- By 21 September:
  - ➔ upon final agreement by the IDSG, IDSG's secretariat to forward the updated report to the Commission for its opinion (IDP V1.1)
- In the week 24-28 September:
  - ➔ IDP V1.1 to be forwarded by the Commission to the SSC as a working paper for consultation
- By end September:
  - ➔ IDSG/ET's to continue with the reality check and further refine the draft IDP

- 1 October:
  - IDSG/ET/7 face to face meeting to consolidate IDP V2.0
- 2 October:
  - IDP V2.0 submitted to IDSG by correspondence to confirm its agreement to submit it to EC
- 4 October:
  - IDP V2.1 submitted to EC for its opinion (V2.1 to replace V1.1)
- 5 October:
  - IDP V2.1 forwarded by EC to SSC as a complement to the initial working paper including IDP V1.1
- 14-15 October:
  - SSC to discuss on the IDP V2.1
- By end October:
  - EC to return its opinion to IDSG on the IDP V2.1
- 5 November
  - IDSG/ET/8 to complete reality check and organise its future work on gap analysis/recommendations/steering
- 13 November:
  - IDSG/4 to adopt the IDP, taking into account EC's opinion.
  - Prepare inputs to EC for SSC48 on 6-7 December

## **5. Conclusions**

5.1. The IDSG expressed appreciation for the work of the ET in preparing the IDP v 1.0 and thus reaching an important milestone in the IDSG mandate.

5.2 The IDSG endorsed the Intermediate Report for submission to the EC, including the draft IDP, conditioned by a final round of comments.

5.3. The IDSG endorsed that the reality check be completed, aiming at completing the IDP with details related to its geographical applicability and timing.

5.4 The IDSG endorsed the IDSG and ET work programme for the short term, as per Paragraph 4.