



Brussels, 13th of November 2012

SUMMARY OF IDSG Meeting 4 - 13/11/2012

1. Welcome and introduction

The chairman introduced the meeting welcoming the participants and expressing appreciation for the work of the Expert Team. The main objectives of IDSG/4 were to endorse the new version of the IDP and to initiate the IDP execution through monitoring, steering and reporting.

1.1 Latest developments

The EC informed the IDSG that, after the SESAR Deployment dedicated session at the Limasol conference, the role of the future Deployment Manager as well as the three levels of governance can be considered as validated. The drafting of the related IR was progressing, with some additional focus on the involvement of industry and incentives. Adoption of the legal text expected for mid 2013.

The SJU has accepted the mandate to develop the Pilot Common Project (PCP) and has established a related work programme. Even though only the first CP and a test for the concept, the PCP would be a legal document that would serve for the selection of the Deployment Manager, aiming at establishing the full governance in 2014.

The IDSG was seen as the predecessor of the Deployment Manager, adding value as a test-bed for the governance principles and demonstrating that cooperation can work well.

1.2 TEN-EA call 2012

A TEN-T call expected to be launched before the end of November 2013, focusing on multi-annual projects derived from or contributing to the implementation of the IDP. Proposals would need the support of at least two Member States and regional (FAB) projects would be considered as a priority, even though the FAB aspect is not a requirement per se. The financing would amount to around 50 M€ total, covering activities as of 1 January 2012 up to December 2015, but showing initial benefits before the end of 2014. Deadline for submission: 28 Feb 2013.

2. Finalising the IDP

The Expert Team has performed the first “reality check” of the deployment status for local projects related to the IDP and also tried to mitigate the comments transmitted by the EC in their letter following SSC/47.

The result of that work was IDP v2.0 including improvements of the description of the various Activity Areas in the IDP, as well as two additional annexes, covering:

- The initial assessment of the detailed geographical applicability area and associated timing for all Activity Areas;
- An initial Gantt chart view of the expected ANS contribution to the IDP.

A plenary session of the Expert Team (ET/9) was planned for 12 December 2012 to focus on clarifying the monitoring/reporting approach, with a view to optimising the effort of the actors and avoiding redundancy with the ESSIP:LSSIP process.

The IDSG insisted that the Expert Team should strive to define as distinctly as possible the requirements placed by the IDP against all contributing actors. The MIL community in particular needed to get organised to contribute meaningfully to the process, seeing that they were only represented by the EDA and EUROCONTROL in the Expert Team. The IDP should include very clear references to the contributions expected from the MIL actors in each work package where they would intervene.

It was also agreed that continuity between the IDP and the PCP should be observed for those activities for which deployment would continue beyond 2014.

3. Executing the IDP

It was understood that the IDSG was a collaborative construct with no mandate to impose actions on actors. As such, the IDP was to be seen not as mandatory in itself, but rather as a project type reference for critical ATM deployment in the 2012-2014 timeframe, a tool enabling the IDSG to monitor such deployment and steer it through recommendations.

In executing such steering, the IDSG would also be able to recommend the acceleration of certain activities and set ambitious targets, in a collaborative way.

IDP v2.0 was seen as an important achievement, suitable as a baseline for the monitoring activity of the IDSG. However, clarifications were paramount on how this monitoring would be performed, such as to avoid duplication with the ESSIP/LSSIP process and minimise effort on the contributors side.

4. IDSG work programme for 2013

The IDSG plenary session dates for 2013 were agreed as follows:

- IDSG/5: 7 February
- IDSG/6: 14 May
- IDSG/7: 25 September
- IDSG/8: 14 November

5. Conclusions

5.1. The IDSG endorsed IDP v2.0 for submission to the EC and the SSC, including a cover note explaining the evolutions from IDP v1.0;

5.2 The IDSG endorsed IDP v2.0 as the baseline for executing the IDP and performing its steering activities.

5.3. The IDSG requested the ET to analyse the possibility to establish an IDP monitoring process complementary to the EESIP/LSSIP process and propose it at IDSG/5.

5.4 The IDSG their plenary session dates for 2013, as per Paragraph 4.