



Brussels, 14<sup>th</sup> of November 2013

## **SUMMARY OF IDSG Meeting 8**

14/11/2013, 10:00 to 14:00

European Commission

Albert Borschette Conference Centre (CCAB), rue Froissart 36, 1040 Bruxelles,

Room AB-1B

### **1. Welcome and introduction**

Mr Maurizio CASTELLETTI – Chair - introduced the meeting welcoming the participants and expressing appreciation for the continuous stakeholder support. He explained that, having consideration for the fact that only intermediate deliverables were available which did not require formal endorsement from the IDSG, IDSG/7 was cancelled as plenary session and replaced with a round of comments by correspondence. The focus of IDSG/8 was therefore the endorsement of said deliverables in view of submission by the EC to the SSC.

The EC informed the IDSG that the setup of the SESAR Deployment was progressing, the EC expecting to launch the consultation around the PCP technical content in December, with the expectation to be able to initiate the selection of the Deployment Manager in May 2014. In the meantime, the IDSG was invited to initiate preparation of transitional arrangements into the future DM, considering that the IDP was the predecessor of the future Deployment Programme.

The IDSG members were also informed of the fact that Europe was facing difficulties with implementations stemming from existing regulation (e.g. AGDL, SPI, ADQ, 8.33 kHz) and that the IDSG may have to look for solutions.

### **2. IDP Execution Progress Report (IEPR v 2.0)**

The second edition of the IEPR brought several improvements:

- Improved data collection methodology:
  - Intermediary milestones agreed and distributed as guidelines
  - More bi(tri)-lateral coordination involving contributors and AA coordinators
- Improved recommendations:
  - Segregation by target stakeholder
  - Steering separated from IDP maintenance
- Performance considerations for all building blocks

The IDSG agreed that IEPR v 2.0 represents an improvement against the previous version. Nevertheless, the IDSG agreed that, while the remaining short lifetime of the IDP may prevent it from being significantly reworked, the focus and clarity of the recommendations could potentially be increased, such as to highlight the problem areas and help manage the transition to the DM

**The IDSG requested that the recommendations become more prescriptive, clearly identifying the targeted actors and recommended that the follow-on of the recommendations be monitored.**

**The IDSG appreciated the introduction of performance considerations for all the building blocks of the IEPR.**

**Therefore, pending implementation of such clarifications and other feedback made available no later than 29 November 2014, the IDSG provisionally endorsed the IEPR v2.0 for submission to the EC, in view of preparing the EC opinion for SSC/52.**

### **3. Update of the IDP (IDP v 4.0)**

The IDSG acknowledged the efforts by the Activity Area Coordinators and the Expert Team in adapting the IDP such as to improve the relevance of the WBS, allow for an updated mapping to ESSIP following release of the ESSIP Plan 2013 and introduce performance considerations.

**In the same time, the IDSG advised the ET to update the IDP Annex 1 (IDP geographical applicability and timing), such as to constitute the new baseline for IDP implementation, also taking account of the potential impact of the PCP.**

**The IDSG agreed to provide by correspondence and not later than 29 November 2014, additional input/comments on IDP v4.0 in view of finalisation.**

**Pending finalisation as per the IDSG discussions, the IDSG provisionally endorsed the IDP v 4.0, for submission to the EC in view of preparing the EC opinion for SSC/52.**

### **4. Performance considerations**

Performance considerations v 1.0:

- Gathers the cumulative knowledge of ET members
- Takes into account all performance documentation (SESAR JU validation results, CBAs, NM reports, etc.) available in NM and made available by ET members.
- Introduces, for each Activity Area a stable component (feeding the IDP) and a variable component, dependant of the IDP implementation status (feeding the IEPR)
- Provides a qualitative assessment for expected and projected IDP performance

The IDSG recommended that the document be maintained as stand-alone, while keeping the qualitative assessment approach.

**Pending finalisation following additional feedback to be provided not later than 29 November 2014, the IDSG provisionally endorsed the IDP Performance**

**Considerations v 1.0, for submission to the EC in view of preparing the EC opinion for SSC/52.**

### **5. Intermediate CS vs IDP report**

**The IDSG supported the main finding of the report, namely that based on the information available at the time, there appeared to be no incompatibility between the IDP and the CS initiative.**

Nevertheless, some of the provider representatives still required clarifications related to the content of the report and therefore it could not be endorsed for submission to the EC.

### **6. IDSG work programme for 2014**

**The IDSG agreed provisionally the dates for the Expert Team and IDSG meetings in 2014 as follows:**

ET/17: 30 January

IDSG/9: 25 February

ET/18: 3 April

ET/19: 15 May

IDSG/10: 4 June

ET/20: 25 June

ET/21: 4 September

IDSG/11: 23 September

ET/22: 8 October

ET/23: 6 November

IDSG/12: 25 November

**The IDSG also recommended that the ET arrange for ad-hoc discussions mid January 2014 around the alignment between the IDP and the PCP.**

### **7. Enhanced AGDL monitoring**

The EC highlighted the need to position the IDSG at the centre of steering AGDL deployment, such as to mitigate as far as possible delays registered in the implementation of IDP Activity Area 4.

**The IDSG agreed to work jointly with the NM Board in view of developing and implementing a common Action Plan that would allow for enhancing the monitoring of the AGDL deployment as well as improving related recommendations, looking at accelerating as far as possible implementation of IDP Activity Area 4.**