



COMMISSION DES COMMUNAUTÉS EUROPÉENNES

Bruxelles, le 12.6.2008  
SEC(2008) 2028/2

Version révisée suite à la resoumission  
du projet de rapport;  
annule et remplace le document SEC(2008) 2028

**AVIS DU COMITÉ DES ÉVALUATIONS D'IMPACT**

COMMUNICATION DE LA COMMISSION  
AU PARLEMENT EUROPÉEN, AU CONSEIL, AU COMITÉ ÉCONOMIQUE ET  
SOCIAL EUROPÉEN ET AU COMITÉ DES RÉGIONS

UNE POLITIQUE D'IMMIGRATION COMMUNE POUR L'EUROPE :  
PRINCIPES, ACTIONS ET MOYENS

{COM(2008) 359}  
{SEC(2008) 2026}  
{SEC(2008) 2027}





alternative and comprehensive responses to the identified policy problems, the report should at least explain the process that led to the selection of these principles. In doing so, any synergies and potential trade-offs between the various principles can be made more explicit.

**(2) Necessity and value added of EU action should be systematically assessed for certain specific issues.** The Board welcomes the introduction of a separate paragraph assessing subsidiarity for each of the main issues raised in the initiative. The assessment for the issues of demographic change (§2.1.4) and integration policies (§2.3.4) should be further strengthened. In the case of demographic change, the assessment of subsidiarity should be more clearly distinguished from the one for labour and skills shortages (§2.2.4). The necessity of EU action in the enforcement of the right of family reunification needs to be clarified. In the case of integration policies, the IA report should clarify whether EU action will be limited to sharing best practice or go beyond that, and if the latter is the case provide a clear assessment of subsidiarity for these actions.

**(3) The problem definition should be completed with more information on the context.** The problem definition should more clearly present the context for the vision on a common migration policy, such as the links with the Lisbon strategy and the Employment guidelines, and give an overview of the main reasons for migrating to the EU and the characteristics of the migrants concerned (i.e. family reunification, asylum seeking, legal labour migration, illegal migration, etc), since the policy responses need to be geared to these aspects.

**(4) Resource needs should be better addressed.** At various points (§2.4.3, §2.5.3, §2.6.4, §2.7, and principles 4-5-6-7-8-9-10) the report refers to resource needs for new policy orientations. To the extent that these orientations are dependent on new resources at either EU or Member State level which are still undecided, the report should clearly state that the political orientation is subject to the appropriate allocation of resources, as indicated in the previous opinion of the Board.

**(D) Procedure and presentation**

It appears that all necessary procedural elements have been complied with.

**2) IAB scrutiny process**

Reference number	2008/JLS/216 (Catalogue initiative)
Author DG	JLS-B-2
External expertise used	No
Date of Board Meeting	Written procedure
Date of adoption of Opinion	<b>10 JUIN 2008</b>
	The present opinion concerns a resubmitted draft IA report. The first opinion was issued on 8 May 2008.