



COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

Brussels, xxx  
SEC(2008) 352

**AVIS DU COMITÉ DES ÉVALUATIONS D'IMPACT**

Proposition de

**DIRECTIVE DU PARLEMENT EUROPEEN ET DU CONSEIL**

**Pour faciliter les poursuites transfrontalières dans le domaine  
de la sécurité routière**

{COM(2008) 151}  
{SEC(2008) 350}  
{SEC(2008) 351}





to what extent the two issues are linked, for instance the costs and other (efficiency) concerns associated with two parallel enforcement systems (national and cross-border).

**(2) The IA report should contain more information on the enforcement standards envisaged.** The projected benefits in terms of road deaths avoided seem to suggest that the envisaged level of enforcement standards will be higher than the current EU average, but this should be made explicit. The IA report should also give a better feel of how the impacts will differ from one Member State to the other, without having to give a complete overview of all EU-27.

**(3) The policy options should be further clarified.** For options 1-4 (on improved cross-border enforcement) the IA report should clearly explain which elements within these options will require legislative action. Only one option (option 5) currently involves harmonised enforcement standards, but this option could identify and examine further sub-options depending on the implementing measures envisaged. Furthermore the IA report should make it clear whether this harmonisation option also fully includes option 4, and if so, the assessment of the impact of option 4 as an element of option 5 should be more clearly indicated.

**(4) The IA report should further comment on the scope.** The initiative is currently limited to the three offences that are found to be the main 'killers' on the road. The IA report should comment more on whether other traffic offences have been considered to be brought in the scope of this initiative, and on whether the scope could be expanded in the future, especially as this latter option has been suggested by some stakeholders.

#### **(D) Procedure and presentation**

It appears that all necessary procedural elements have been complied with, but the IA report should state clearly that DG TREN takes full ownership of the report including the elements that were prepared originally by the outside consultant.

## **2) IAB scrutiny process**

Reference number	2006/TREN/002, CLWP 2007 (priority initiative)
Author DG	TREN-E-3
External expertise used	No
Date of Board Meeting	2 May 2007
Date of adoption of Opinion	7 May 2007