



EUROPEAN COMMISSION
RESEARCH EXECUTIVE AGENCY

Excellent Science
Marie Skłodowska-Curie Research and Innovation Staff Exchange

FLASH INFORMATION ON THE CALL RESULTS (FLASH CALL INFO)

Call identifier:	H2020-MSCA-RISE-2018
Call title:	Marie Skłodowska-Curie Research and Innovation Staff Exchange
Published:	27/11/2017
Deadline:	21/03/2018
Available budget:	Total budget: EUR 80 million ¹


The Research Executive Agency has now completed the evaluation of the proposals submitted to the above-mentioned call. The results of the evaluation are as follows:


Number of proposals submitted:	275
Number of inadmissible proposal:	1
Number of withdrawn proposal:	0
Number of ineligible proposal:	2
Number of above-threshold proposals:	176
Total budget requested for above-threshold proposals:	EUR 175.191.000

We recently informed the applicants about the evaluation results for their proposals.

It is expected that the first grant agreements will be signed by the end of September 2018.

Information on the selected projects will be published on [CORDIS](#)² after that date.

 Please note that the number of proposals that can finally be funded will depend on the finally available budget and the formal selection by the Research Executive Agency.

 For questions, please contact the [Research Enquiry Service](#)³.

¹ Proposals are allocated to one of the eight main evaluation panels. For each panel a ranked list is established. The distribution of the indicative budget of the call will be proportional to the number of eligible proposals received in each panel.

² Available at http://cordis.europa.eu/projects/home_en.html

³ Available at <http://ec.europa.eu/research/enquiries>

MSCA-RISE-2018 : Cumulative percentage of proposals above threshold, with a given score or higher (funding range marked in green)

<i>N. of Proposals per Panel</i>	24	10	78	39	45	7	26	43
Score equal to or above	CHE	ECO	ENG	ENV	LIF	MAT	PHY	SOC
100	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%
99	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%
98	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	2,33%
97	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	2,33%
96	0,00%	0,00%	2,56%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	2,33%
95	0,00%	10,00%	2,56%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	11,54%	4,65%
94	0,00%	20,00%	5,13%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	11,54%	6,98%
93	8,33%	20,00%	8,97%	2,56%	0,00%	0,00%	11,54%	6,98%
92	12,50%	20,00%	8,97%	5,13%	2,22%	0,00%	15,38%	11,63%
91	16,67%	20,00%	10,26%	7,69%	2,22%	0,00%	15,38%	11,63%
90	20,83%	20,00%	12,82%	10,26%	4,44%	0,00%	15,38%	13,95%
89	25,00%	20,00%	17,95%	12,82%	4,44%	14,29%	19,23%	13,95%
88	25,00%	20,00%	21,79%	15,38%	4,44%	14,29%	19,23%	13,95%
87	25,00%	20,00%	23,08%	20,51%	6,67%	14,29%	23,08%	13,95%
86	25,00%	20,00%	26,92%	20,51%	8,89%	14,29%	23,08%	16,28%
85	33,33%	20,00%	34,62%	20,51%	8,89%	14,29%	23,08%	16,28%
84	41,67%	20,00%	37,18%	23,08%	8,89%	14,29%	23,08%	18,60%
83	50,00%	20,00%	39,74%	25,64%	8,89%	14,29%	30,77%	20,93%
82	50,00%	30,00%	41,03%	28,21%	11,11%	14,29%	30,77%	23,26%
81	50,00%	40,00%	43,59%	30,77%	13,33%	28,57%	34,62%	27,91%
80	58,33%	40,00%	46,15%	33,33%	15,56%	28,57%	42,31%	27,91%
79	62,50%	40,00%	52,56%	38,46%	24,44%	28,57%	42,31%	27,91%
78	62,50%	40,00%	56,41%	38,46%	26,67%	28,57%	46,15%	30,23%
77	66,67%	40,00%	58,97%	41,03%	31,11%	28,57%	50,00%	37,21%
76	75,00%	40,00%	58,97%	48,72%	37,78%	28,57%	61,54%	39,53%
75	75,00%	40,00%	61,54%	53,85%	40,00%	42,86%	61,54%	39,53%
74	75,00%	40,00%	62,82%	58,97%	42,22%	42,86%	65,38%	39,53%
73	79,17%	40,00%	62,82%	61,54%	46,67%	42,86%	65,38%	41,86%
72	79,17%	40,00%	65,38%	66,67%	46,67%	57,14%	65,38%	46,51%
71	79,17%	40,00%	67,95%	66,67%	51,11%	57,14%	69,23%	53,49%
70	79,17%	40,00%	70,51%	66,67%	55,56%	71,43%	69,23%	55,81%
>70	20,83%	60,00%	29,49%	33,33%	44,44%	28,57%	30,77%	44,19%

interpret this table

The percentage of proposals above the overall threshold and with a given score or higher is shown per ranking list. Green shows the funding range. Proposals below the overall threshold are shown separately and are not part of the cumulative total.

For example:

-in the CHE Panel ranking, 16.67% of all proposals submitted in the ranking list (total 24) scored 91 or higher. The funding cut off is between 89 and 90.