Self-evaluation form
Societal challenge 1

Personalising health and care

Call identifier: **H2020-PHC-2015-single-stage**

Research and innovation actions
Innovation actions
SME instrument

This form is made available to applicants who may themselves wish to arrange an evaluation of their proposal (e.g. by an impartial colleague) prior to final editing, submission and deadline. The aim is to help applicants identify ways to improve their proposals.

The forms used by the experts for their evaluation reports will be broadly similar, although the detail and layout may differ.

These forms are based on the standard criteria, scores and thresholds. Check whether special schemes apply to the topics of interest to you. The definitive evaluation schemes are given in the work programme.

A self-evaluation, if carried out, is not to be submitted to the Commission, and has no bearing whatsoever on the conduct of the evaluation.

Scoring

Scores must be in the range 1-5. Half marks may be given.

Interpretation of the scores

0 — The **proposal fails to address the criterion** or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information.
1 — **Poor**. The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.
2 — **Fair.** The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.
3 — **Good.** The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present.
4 — **Very Good.** The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.
5 — **Excellent.** The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.

Thresholds

The thresholds for individual criteria are 4,4,3. The overall threshold, applying to the sum of the three individual scores, is 12.

Weighting

For Innovation actions and the SME instrument (phases 1 and 2), to determine the ranking, the score for the criterion 'impact' will be given a weight of 1.5.

1. Excellence *Note: The following aspects will be taken into account, to the extent that the proposed work corresponds to the topic description in the work programme:* • Clarity and pertinence of the objectives; • Credibility of the proposed approach; • Soundness of the concept, including trans-disciplinary considerations, where relevant; • Extent that proposed work is ambitious, has innovation potential, and is beyond the state of the art (e.g. ground-breaking objectives, novel concepts and approaches). Comments:	**Score 1:** *Threshold 4/5*
2. Impact *Note: The following aspects will be taken into account, to the extent to which the outputs of the project should contribute at the European and/or International level:* • The expected impacts listed in the work programme under the relevant topic; • Enhancing innovation capacity and integration of new knowledge; • Strengthening the competitiveness and growth of companies by developing innovations meeting the needs of European and global markets, and where relevant, by delivering such innovations to the markets; • Any other environmental and socially important impacts; • Effectiveness of the proposed measures to exploit and disseminate the project results (including management of IPR), to communicate the project, and to manage research data where relevant. Comments:	**Score 2:** *Threshold 4/5*
3. Quality and efficiency of the implementation* *Note: The following aspects will be taken into account:* • Coherence and effectiveness of the work plan, including appropriateness of the allocation of tasks and resources; • Complementarity of the participants within the consortium (when relevant); • Appropriateness of the management structures and procedures, including risk and innovation management. Comments:	**Score 3:** *Threshold 3/5*
Total score (1+2+3) *Threshold 12/15*	

* Experts will also be asked to assess the operational capacity of applicants to carry out the proposed work.