

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic	

Consensus Report (CR)

IMPORTANT:

We believe this proposal is relevant ("in scope") because it addresses, fully or partially, a topic that is open in the call: It conforms to any special conditions set out in those parts of the relevant work programme, and it corresponds to an eligible funding scheme.

YES: *The consensus scores and comments are given below under each criterion.*

NO: *This proposal is "out of scope" because:*

If no, evaluator's comments will be inserted here.

SCORING

Scores must be in the range 0-5. Half marks may be given.

Interpretation of the scores:

0 –*The proposal fails to address the criterion under examination or cannot be judged due to missing or incomplete information.*

1 –*Very poor. The criterion is addressed in a cursory and unsatisfactory manner.*

2 –*Poor. There are serious inherent weaknesses in relation to the criterion in question.*

3 –*Fair. While the proposal broadly addresses the criterion, there are significant weaknesses that would need correcting.*

4 –*Good. The proposal addresses the criterion well, although certain improvements are possible.*

5 –*Excellent. The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion in question. Any shortcomings are minor.*

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic	

1. Technical excellence <i>Comments:</i>	Score 1: <i>Threshold 3/5</i>
--	---

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic	

2. Innovative character <i>Comments:</i>	Score 2: <i>Threshold 3/5</i>
--	---

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic	

<p>3. Compliancy with the Call for Proposals specification and timetable (relevance)</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p>	<p>Score 3: <i>Threshold 3/5</i></p>
---	---

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic	

<p>4. Adequacy and quality of respondent's resources, management and implementation capabilities and track record</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p>	<p>Score 4: <i>Threshold 3/5</i></p>
--	---

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic	

<p>5. Appropriateness and efficient allocation of the resources to be committed (budget, staff, equipment)</p> <p><i>Comments:</i></p>	<p>Score 5: <i>Threshold 3/5</i></p>
---	---

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic	

6. Contribution to European Competitiveness <i>Comments:</i>	Score 6: <i>Threshold 3/5</i>
--	---

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic	

Total score
(1+2+3+4+5+6)
Threshold 20/30

--

Any other remarks
e.g. recommendations for negotiation, only if the proposal is above threshold

--

Does this proposal raise ethical issues?

(If so please complete an ethical issues report form (EIR))

YES

NO

	Name	Signature	Date
Moderator			
Topic Manager			
Expert <i>(rapporteur)</i>			
Expert			
Expert			
Expert			

CLEAN SKY: RESEARCH and TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPEMENT PROJECTS

Consensus report: Collaborative project
Call identifier: SP1-JTI-CLEAN SKY-2009-1

Proposal No. :	Proposal acronym :
Call topic	