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<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Publication date</th>
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</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>27.10.2017</td>
<td>• Initial version of the Guide for Applicants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2.0     | 22.12.2017      | • Page 5: reference to the guidance note annexed on 'pre-events';  
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• Page 14: modification of the evaluation threshold, passing from 10,5 to 10;  
• Page 40: annex 2, guidance note related to 'pre-events'. |
The Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions in Horizon 2020

The Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions (MSCA) aim to support the career development and training of researchers – with a focus on innovation skills – in all scientific disciplines through international and intersectoral mobility.

The Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions are expected to finance around 65 000 researchers between 2014 and 2020, including 25 000 doctoral candidates. The Actions will address several objectives of the Europe 2020 strategy, including the Innovation Union flagship initiative. This states that the EU will need at least one million new research jobs if it is to reach the target of spending 3% of EU GDP on research and development by 2020.

By funding excellent research and providing attractive working conditions, the Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions offer high-quality professional opportunities open to researchers of any age, nationality or discipline. The 2018-2020 Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions are:

- **Innovative Training Networks (ITN):** Innovative doctoral-level training providing a range of skills in order to maximise employability
- **Individual Fellowships (IF):** Support for experienced researchers undertaking mobility between countries, and also to the non-academic sector
- **Research and Innovation Staff Exchange (RISE):** International and intersectoral collaboration through the exchange of research and innovation staff
- **Co-funding of regional, national and international programmes (COFUND):** Co-financing high-quality fellowship or doctoral programmes with transnational mobility.

In addition, there are several Coordination and Support Actions to support the four main actions, such as European Researchers’ Night.

The **European Researchers' Night (NIGHT), funded under the** Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions, **is a Europe-wide public event to enhance researchers' public recognition, and to stimulate interest in research careers, especially amongst young people.**

This Guide is based on the rules and conditions contained in the legal documents relating to Horizon 2020 (in particular the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme and Specific Programme, the Rules for Participation, and the Work Programme), all of which can be consulted via the Participant Portal.

Guides for Applicants for any other MSC action, can be found by following the links on the Participant Portal at: [http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/portal](http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/portal)

The MSC actions website can be found at: [http://ec.europa.eu/msca](http://ec.europa.eu/msca)
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Definitions used throughout this Guide:

**Coordinator** is the participating organisation who takes the lead in the preparation of the proposal as the "proposal coordinator". For a given proposal, the coordinator acts as the single point of contact between the participants and the REA.

**Beneficiary**: organisations who are signatories to the Grant Agreement. They directly contribute to the implementation of the action. They take complete responsibility for executing the proposed programme and other requirements of the project.

**International European Interest Organisation**: an international organisation, the majority of whose members are Member States or Associated Countries, and whose principal objective is to promote scientific and technological cooperation in Europe.

**Europe**: EU Member States (MS) (including Overseas Countries and Territories (OCT) linked to MS) and Associated Countries (AC).

**Associated Country (AC)**: means non EU-countries that are associated to Horizon 2020.

1. General Aspects

1.1. Purpose

“The European Researchers’ Night aims to bring researchers closer to the general public and to increase awareness of research and innovation activities, with a view to supporting the public recognition of researchers, creating an understanding of the impact of researchers’ work on citizen’s daily life, and encouraging young people to embark on research careers.”

(Work Programme, p. 26)

This call will cover the events in 2018 and 2019. There will not be a new call in 2019.

1.2. Concept of the European Researchers’ Night

The European Researchers' Night takes place yearly, typically on the last Friday of the month of September, i.e. on 28th September 2018 and 27th September 2019 (except for countries which for strong cultural reasons would be prevented from organising any action addressing the public at large on such a date). In such an exceptional case, they would be expected to organise it on the closest date possible. It is the occasion for a Europe-wide public and media event for the promotion of research careers, aimed in particular towards young people. Supported main events can last up to two full days; they can start on Friday and continue the following day. Pre-events can be organised during spring or summer prior to the main event occurring in September event.

A guidance note is annexed to the present Guide regarding the organization of pre-events.

The activities, articulated around 4 compulsory Work Packages (i.e. Awareness campaign, Activities during the NIGHT, Impact assessment and Management) will target the general public, addressing and attracting people regardless of the level of their scientific background, with a special focus on pupils and students.

Activities should combine education and aspects with entertainment, especially when addressing a young audience. They can take various forms such as hands-on experiments, science shows, simulations, debates, games, competitions, demos, enquiries, quizzes...

2. Beneficiaries

Being support actions, European Researchers’ Nights can be organised either by one single beneficiary or by several participating organisations one of them being the coordinator of the project.

Participating organisations are signatories of the Grant Agreement. They directly contribute to the implementation of the action and may participate in one or several Work Packages and take complete responsibility for executing the proposed programme.

The coordinator is responsible for the management of the action. It coordinates the claiming of the costs, receives funding and distributes it.
3. Eligible entities for funding

Legal entities established in an EU Member State or Horizon 2020 Associated Country, or created under Union law, and International European Interest Organisations. The minimum condition is the participation of one legal entity.

4. Structures and features

The maximum duration of the project will be 20 months from the starting date specified in the Grant Agreement. Projects should as a rule last as a maximum until two months after the second event in case of two-event projects.

As specified above, the Grant Agreement should cover two editions of the NIGHT in consecutive years. One single edition of the European Researchers’ Night may also be funded, covering either 2018 or 2019.

5. Typical activities of a European Researchers' Night

European Researchers' Night is a Coordination and support action of Horizon 2020, in particular of the Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions. Coordination and support actions consist primarily of accompanying measures such as standardisation, dissemination, awareness-raising and communication, networking, coordination or support services, policy dialogues and mutual learning exercises and studies, including design studies for new infrastructure and may also include complementary activities of strategic planning, networking and coordination between programmes in different countries.¹

Specific activities conducted during the event, as well as the topic they relate to, are freely chosen by the applicants.

2018 is the “European Year of Cultural Heritage; applicants are encouraged to include activities relating to Europe’s cultural heritage in all it forms: tangible, intangible, natural and digital Once selected for funding, projects responding to the objectives of the European Year of Cultural Heritage can apply to obtain the label of the European Year.²

In the specific case of the European Researchers’ Night, the eligible activities cover all the activities necessary for the implementation of the action:

- The awareness campaign (press, radio, TV campaign, media search, purchase of advertising space, conception and realisation of promotional items, setting up and maintenance of website and social networks profiles, press releases, press conference, public advertising,

² https://ec.europa.eu/eusurvey/runner/requestLabelEYCH2018
street marketing, organisation of pre-events, promotion in occasion of public events, P.R. campaign, travel and subsistence costs if necessary…);

- The **activities during the NIGHT** and all preparatory activities, including the training of researchers such as communication courses, visits of researchers/trainers/multipliers to other similar events, rent of premises and equipment, consumables – reagents and various other products – necessary for hands-on experiments and demos, animation and moderation of debates if any, science shows, display of films, quizzes, competitions and contests, sport-linked activities involving both researchers and public, exhibitions, workshops, specific young people’s programmes, crime investigation, riddles solved by public with researchers’ support, presentation of prototypes…;

- The **impact assessment** (conception and production of questionnaires and surveys, conducting interviews, organisation of polls, collection and processing of feedback, conclusions and suggestions for improvement…);

- The **management of the project** (organisation of meetings, travel and subsistence costs if any, elaboration of common guidelines, accounting, legal management…)

## 6. Financial Regime

### 6.1. Direct costs

The financial support for European Researchers’ Night may represent up to 100% of the eligible costs of the action.

The eligible costs potentially covered by the contribution are the expenses linked to the activities as referred to above.

### 6.2. Overheads

Overheads (indirect costs) are deemed to represent 25% of the direct costs (except subcontracting costs and resources set at disposal by third parties outside the premises of the beneficiaries in the consortium).

### 6.3. Budget Calculations

Applicants are required to indicate the amount of the estimated EU contribution in their proposal.

It is **critical** that the information given in Part A is identical to the information given in proposal Part B.

**Applicants are reminded that under Horizon 2020 proposals with an overestimated or cost-inefficient budget may score lower and therefore may not receive funding, even though the rest of the proposal is excellent.**
7. Contractual Conditions

Complete details regarding contractual obligations that bind all beneficiaries, can be found in the model Grant Agreement and its annotated version, both available on the Participant Portal. 

8. Timetable and Specific Information for this Call

The description of the European Researchers' Night call in the Work Programme provides the essential information for this call. The basic data on implementation (Deadline, budget, additional conditions etc.) are posted as a separate document ("call fiche" on the Participant Portal).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication of call</th>
<th>7 November 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deadline for submission of proposals</td>
<td>14 February 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation of proposals</td>
<td>19-23 March 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation Summary Reports sent to proposal coordinators (&quot;initial information letter&quot;)</td>
<td>As from April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invitation letter to coordinators likely to be proposed for funding to prepare grant agreement negotiations with REA services</td>
<td>As from April 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signature of first grant agreements</td>
<td>May-June 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Information on the budget
EUR 12 million from the 2018 budget will cover the European Researchers’ Night implemented in both 2018 and 2019.

Further information and help
The Participant Portal call pages contain links to other sources that you may find useful in preparing and submitting your proposal. Direct links are also given where applicable.

Participant Portal:

Information Day related to this call:
14 December 2017, 9.30 a.m.
Research Executive Agency
COVENT GARDEN, Place Rogier, 16
1210 Brussels, Belgium
(Registration: Laurence.VAN-DEN-BOSSCHE@ec.europa.eu)
General sources of support

The Commission's Horizon 2020 Enquiry Service:
http://ec.europa.eu/research/enquiries

National Contact Points

http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/portal/desktop/en/support/national_contact_points.html

How to register your organisation

https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/portal/desktop/en/support/national_contact_points.html

Specialised and Technical Assistance

Submission Service Help Desk:
DIGIT-EFP7-SEP-SUPPORT@ec.europa.eu
http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/api/contact/index.html
9. Evaluation Criteria and Procedures to be applied for this Call

1. General

The evaluation of proposals is carried out by the Research Executive Agency (REA) with the assistance of independent experts.

REA staff ensures that the process is fair and in line with the principles contained in the Commission’s rules.4

Experts perform evaluations on a personal basis, not as representatives of their employer, their country or any other entity. They are expected to be independent, impartial and objective, and to behave throughout in a professional manner. They sign an expert contract, including a declaration of confidentiality and absence of conflict of interest, before beginning their work. Confidentiality must be adhered to at all times before, during and after the evaluation.

In addition, an independent expert will be appointed by the REA to observe and report on the evaluation process. The observer gives independent advice to the REA on the conduct and fairness of the evaluation sessions, on the way in which the experts apply the evaluation criteria, and on ways in which the procedures could be improved. The observer will not express views on the proposals under examination or on the experts’ opinions on the proposals.

Proposals are submitted in a single stage and evaluated in one step by the experts against all evaluation criteria.

Conflicts of interest: under the terms of the expert contract, all experts must declare beforehand any known conflicts of interest, and must immediately inform the responsible REA staff member if one becomes apparent during the course of the evaluation.

Confidentiality: the expert contract also requires experts to maintain strict confidentiality with respect to the whole evaluation process. They must follow any instruction given by the REA to ensure this. Under no circumstance may an expert attempt to contact an applicant on his/her own account, either during the evaluation or afterwards.

2. Before the Evaluation

On receipt by the REA, proposals are registered and acknowledged and their contents entered into a database that supports the evaluation process. Admissibility and eligibility criteria for each proposal are also checked by REA staff before the evaluation begins. Proposals which do not fulfil these criteria will be deemed inadmissible or ineligible and not included in the evaluation.

To be considered **admissible**, a proposal/application must be:

(a) submitted in the electronic submission system before the deadline given in the call conditions or rules of contest;

(b) readable, accessible and printable;

(c) complete and include the requested administrative data, the proposal description, and any obligatory supporting documents specified in the call/contest;

(d) include a draft plan for the exploitation and dissemination of the results, unless otherwise specified in the call conditions. The draft plan is not required for proposals at the first stage of two-stage procedures.

For this call, a proposal will only be considered **eligible** if it meets all of the following conditions:

a) Its content corresponds, wholly or in part, to the topic description for which it is submitted;

b) It is submitted by at least one legal entity established in an EU Member State or Horizon 2020 associated country;

In addition to the above, the following applies:

Page limits will apply to parts of proposals/applications. The page limits and sections subject to limits will be clearly shown in the proposal templates in the Participant Portal electronic submission system. The limit for a full proposal will be 30 pages. After the call deadline, excess pages (in over-long proposals/applications) will be automatically made invisible and will not be taken into consideration by the experts;

Proposals must be written in a legible font. Further guidance on the use of fonts, margins and other page formatting are included in Annex 4 of this document;

The structure must correspond to the requirements specified under each section of the proposal template (see Annex 5);

A predefined list must be filled to determine the operational capacity of each applicant (see Annex 5).

### 3. Evaluation criteria

Each proposal will be assessed independently by at least three experts chosen by the REA from the pool of experts taking part in this evaluation. An expert will be designated as the proposal “rapporteur” and will draft the consensus report. Proposals will not be evaluated anonymously. Proposals may be evaluated remotely.

An example of the evaluation forms used by the experts will be made available on the Participant Portal.

The proposal will be evaluated against the award criteria defined in the Work Programme and applicable to all coordination and support actions:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXCELLENCE</th>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY OF THE IMPLEMENTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The following aspects will be taken into account, to the extent that the proposed work corresponds to the topic description in the work programme:</strong></td>
<td><strong>The following aspects will be taken into account:</strong></td>
<td><strong>The following aspects will be taken into account:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Clarity and pertinence of the objectives.</td>
<td>(1) The extent to which the outputs of the project would contribute to each of the expected impacts mentioned in the work programme under the relevant topic, namely:</td>
<td>(1) Quality and effectiveness of the work plan, including extent to which the resources assigned to work packages are in line with their objectives and deliverables;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Soundness of the concept and credibility of the proposed methodology.</td>
<td>o Increased awareness among the general public of the importance of research and innovation and more favourable general attitude towards its public funding;</td>
<td>(2) Appropriateness of the management structures and procedures, including risk and innovation management;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| (3) Quality of the proposed coordination and/or support measures.         | o Better understanding of the key benefits that research brings to society; | (3) Complementarity of the participants and extent to which the consortium as a whole brings together the necessary expertise; |*
|                                                                           | o Reduction in the stereotypes about researchers and their profession; |                                                                           |
|                                                                           | o Increase, in the long term, of people taking up research careers; | (4) Appropriateness of the allocation of tasks, ensuring that all participants have a valid role and adequate resources in the project to fulfil that role. |*
|                                                                           | o Better understanding of the European Union among the general public. |                                                                           |
|                                                                           | (2) Quality of the proposed measures to:                              |                                                                           |
|                                                                           | o Exploit and disseminate the project results (including management of IPR), and to manage research data when relevant; |                                                                           |
|                                                                           | o Communicate the project activities to different target audiences.    |                                                                           |

* Not applicable to proposals with one single beneficiary
Evaluation scores will be awarded for the 3 criteria, and not for the different aspects listed in the above table. Each criterion will be scored out of 5. The threshold for individual criteria will be 3. The total score will be subject to a threshold of \( 66.66 \% \), (i.e. 10 against a maximum of 15 at overall level). The scoring table is the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>The proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor: The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fair: Proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Good: Proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Very Good: Proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Excellent: Proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Associated events

Coordinators of projects that passed the evaluation thresholds but were not retained for funding due to lack of financial resources will receive an information letter from the REA, indicating that they may request their event to be associated to the European Researchers’ Night.

No funding will be provided for associated events.

The country where the project is going to be undertaken, the title of the project, and its link to the website will be advertised on the European Researchers’ Night website in the ‘events’ section. The project organisers will clearly indicate on the website of the project and in any promotional material (on-line and off-line) produced under the project that it is associated to the NIGHT event with the following wording:

*The (XXX) project is an associated event to the European Researchers’ Night initiative of the European Union funded under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions. Call identifier: H2020-MSCA-NIGHT-2018 (title of the project and specific number of the call to be included)*.
10. Instructions for Completing "Part A" of the Proposal

Proposals for this call must be submitted electronically, using the electronic submission service of the European Commission accessible from the call page on the Participant Portal.

In Part A the applicant will be asked for administrative details and information that will be used in the evaluation and further processing of the proposal. Part A constitutes an integral part of the proposal. Details of the work the applicant intends to carry out will be described in Part B (see Annexes 4 and 5 of this guide).

The electronic submission service provides guidance on how to complete the Part A for a European Researchers’ Night proposal, which includes the following sections:

Section 1: General information about the proposal (including the abstract)
Section 2: Data on the participating organisation(s)
Section 3: Budget and request for funding
Section 4: Ethics table (not applicable)

Coordinator
The coordinator fills in form A1 (General information) and form A3 (Budget) with details for each participating organisation (one per line). The participating organisation numbers correspond to those defined in the A2 forms (Administrative data of participating organisations). (Participant number one must be the coordinator).

Participating organisations (beneficiaries)
Each participating organisation (including coordinator) fill in one A2 (Administrative data of participating organisations).

Budget
The overall budget of the proposal will be mentioned in the relevant table, including one separate line for each beneficiary (if multi-beneficiary project). When completing part A, please make sure that numbers are always rounded to the nearest whole number.

Figures provided in Part A have to be the same as those included in Part B of the proposal.

A clear overestimation of the costs may be considered as a significant shortcoming by the evaluators and may therefore lead to a lower score of the proposal against the "implementation" criterion.
11. Instructions for Drafting "Part B" of the Proposal

This annex provides guidelines for drafting Part B of your European Researchers' Night proposal. It will help you to present important aspects of your planned work in a way that will enable the experts to make an effective assessment against the evaluation criteria (see Annex 2 of this Guide).

Part B of the proposal contains the details of the proposed action along with the practical arrangements planned to implement it. They will be used by the independent experts to undertake their assessment.

Applicants must structure their proposal according to the headings indicated in the Part B proposal template, in compliance with the evaluation criteria. No further headings or subheadings should be added.

They also must comply with all the requirements described hereinafter, notably related to the promotion of the initiative and the compulsory mention of the 'European Researchers' Night', which has to appear under the title of their action. The three words European Researchers Night are inseparable. Other formulations e.g. Researchers' Night, Country/City X Researchers' Night, etc. are not acceptable.

A maximum length of 30 pages is applicable to Part B (Section 1 to 3) of the proposal. If a proposal/application exceeds the limits, the applicant will receive an automatic warning, and will be advised to re-submit a version that conforms.

After the call deadline, excess pages (in over-long proposals/applications) will be automatically made invisible, and will not be taken into consideration by the experts.

The minimum font size allowed is 11 points (and 8 for the tables). The page size is A4, and all margins (top, bottom, left, right) should be at least 15 mm (not including any footers or headers). Ensure that the font chosen is clearly readable (e.g. Arial or Times New Roman).

Please make sure that Part B of your proposal carries as a header to each page the proposal acronym and the scheme to which you are applying (NIGHT). All pages should also be numbered in a single series on the footer of the page to prevent errors during handling. It is recommended that the numbering format “Part B - Page X of Y” is used.
You must use exclusively PDF (“Portable Document Format”, compatible with Adobe version 3 or higher, with embedded fonts). Other file formats will not be accepted by the electronic submission system of the Commission. You will only be able to upload one single PDF file which should include the sections 1 to 3 (see below). If you upload it twice, the second file will overwrite the first.

Scientific Misconduct

Please note that the REA takes the issue of misconduct very seriously. In line with the Horizon 2020 Rules for Participation, appropriate action will be taken against any applicant found to have misrepresented, fabricated or plagiarised any part of their proposal. Coordinators will be required to make a “declaration on honour” in Part A of the proposal.

Gender Issues

The Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions pay particular attention to gender balance, also related to the management structure. In line with the European Charter for Researchers⁵ and Code of Conduct for Recruitment of Researchers⁶, all Marie Skłodowska-Curie proposals are encouraged to take appropriate measures related to equal opportunities.

---

Annex 1 - Part B Template

START PAGE

MARIE SKŁODOWSKA-CURIE ACTIONS

European Researchers' Night
Call: H2020-MSCA-NIGHT-2018

PART B

“PROPOSAL ACRONYM”

This proposal is to be evaluated as:

NIGHT
In drafting PART B of the proposal, applicants must follow the structure outlined below.

LIST OF PARTICIPATING ORGANISATIONS

1. EXCELLENCE
2. IMPACT
3. QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY OF THE IMPLEMENTATION

OPERATIONAL CAPACITY OF PARTICIPATING ORGANISATIONS

NB: Applicants must ensure that sections 1-3 do not exceed the limit of 30 pages.

No reference to the outcome of previous evaluations of this or any similar proposal should be included in the text. Experts will be strictly instructed to disregard any such references.
LIST OF PARTICIPATING ORGANISATIONS

Please provide a list of the consortium’s participating organisations indicating the legal entity and the department carrying out the work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participating organisation no.*</th>
<th>Participating organisation name</th>
<th>Participating organisation short name</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (Coordinator)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (Participating organisation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (Participating organisation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Please use the same participating organisation numbering as that used in the administrative forms

Note that any inter-relationship between different participating institutions or individuals (e.g. family ties, shared premises or facilities, joint ownership, financial interest, overlapping staff etc.) must be declared and justified.

The data provided relating to the capacity of the participating organisations will be subject to verification during the preparation of the Grant Agreement phase.
1. EXCELLENCE

Please structure your proposal according to the following subheadings related to the first evaluation criterion.

1.1. Clarity and pertinence of the objectives

Describe the specific objectives pursued, keeping in mind that the common main objective consists of “bringing researchers to the general public and increasing awareness of research and innovation activities, with a view to supporting the public recognition of researchers, creating an understanding of the impact of researchers’ work on citizen’s daily life, encouraging young people to embark on research careers.”

1.2. Soundness of the concept and credibility of the proposed methodology

Please describe:
- The main ideas on which the proposal relies;
- The lessons possibly learnt from any previous similar experience (whether EU funded or not);
- If a submission of a two-events proposal: clearly describe the alternative chosen (two identical proposals for 2018 and 2019, proposals partially identical or completely different and reasons for such choice).

1.3. Quality of the proposed coordination and support measures

- Indicate the topics considered, the various disciplines involved;
- Describe the stakeholders (local/regional/national authorities, funding agencies, companies…) and their engagement;
- If relevant, describe the novelties (compared to current situation in the territory considered and/or all over Europe), and the possible evolution compared to previous similar initiatives.
2. IMPACT

Please structure your proposal according to the following subheadings related to the second evaluation criterion:

2.1. The extent to which the outputs of the project would contribute to each of the expected impacts mentioned in the work programme under the relevant topic. These are:

- Increased awareness among the general public of the importance of research and innovation and more favourable general attitude towards its public funding;
- Better understanding of the key benefits that research brings to society;
- Reduction in the stereotypes about researchers and their profession;
- Increase, in the long term, of people taking up research careers;
- Better understanding of the European Union among the general public.

Please explain how the approach and activities chosen will contribute to reach the five objectives described above.

2.2. Quality of the proposed measures to exploit and disseminate the project results (including management of IPR), and to manage research data where relevant and to communicate the project activities to different target audiences.

Please:

- Indicate the estimated overall number of people likely to be made aware of the European Researchers' NIGHT and its objectives, as well as the estimated overall number of attendees expected;
- Describe the involvement of researchers funded by Framework Programmes, and in particular by Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions;
- Mention the way and the estimated overall number of people informed via dissemination of the results/sharing practices;
- Indicate the potential synergies with European/international/national/regional other initiatives (whether similar or not);
- Describe the expected improvement regarding researchers' public recognition;
- Describe the expected increase of scientific career's popularity, in particular amongst young people about choosing a career orientation.
3. QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY OF THE IMPLEMENTATION

Please structure your proposal according to the following subheadings related to the third evaluation criterion:

3.1. Quality and effectiveness of the work plan (work packages, milestones, deliverables), including the extent to which the resources assigned to work packages are in line with objectives and deliverables:
   - Provide the schedule and description of the Work Packages (see template tables below, tables 1 to 5);
   - Fill in the list of deliverables (see template table below, table 6);
   - Describe the planned allocation of resources by Work Package and by partner (see template below, table 7).

3.2. Appropriateness of the management structures and procedures, including risk and innovation management:
   - Describe the management structure and processes;
   - Describe the management organs, advisory committees if any, steering committee if relevant... and their composition;
   - Describe the decision- making process (unanimity, majority vote, consensus...);
   - Indicate which critical risks could be faced and the possible mitigation measures to adopt, such as:
     - Bad weather conditions;
     - Insufficient response from research community;
     - Insufficient response from target audience

3.3. Complementarity of the participating organisations and extent to which the consortium as a whole brings together the necessary expertise;

3.4. Appropriateness of the allocation of tasks, ensuring that all participating organisations have a valid role and adequate resources in the project to fulfil that role.


**TEMPLATES**

**Preliminary note**

The applicants may choose between submitting identical proposals covering 2 years, completely different proposals for each of the 2 years proposed, proposals partially identical or even proposals covering one single year (whether 2018 or 2019). Such choice should be reflected as follows with regard to the Work Package description:

- **Identical proposals submitted for 2018 and 2019:** in such case, please use one single sheet for all Work Packages, simply adding "2018/2019" above the description of each Work Package;

- **Partially identical proposals:** in such case, please use one single sheet for the identical WPs, simply adding "2018/2019" above the description of each Work Package, while using two separate sheets for the different WPs;

- **Completely different proposals:** in such case, please use two different sheets for each Work Package.
Table 1

Work Package 1: Awareness campaign

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work package number</th>
<th>Lead beneficiary</th>
<th>Month n°....2018</th>
<th>Month n°....2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work package title</th>
<th>Awareness campaign</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participating organisation number</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participating organisation short name</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person-months per participating organisation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start month</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End month</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Objectives

Common to both years 2018-2019 - NOT TO BE MODIFIED

- Make as many people as possible aware of the European Researchers’ Night and its objectives;
- Attract as large as possible a number of participating organisation to the events organised.

Description of work

Please follow the structure below

Main target of the awareness campaign

Describe target groups:
- Public at large regardless of age and scientific background;
- Special attention to be paid to children and young people, especially about making a career choice.

Communication tools

List choice of tools:
- Off line: such as written press, radio, TV, mailings, direct visits, meetings...(specify)
- On line: such as project website, blogs, social networks, e mailings....(specify)

Messages to be conveyed

Describe
- The main message of the European Researchers’ Night;
- Other more specific messages chosen (all related with the main objective of the action as described in the Work Programme, namely “The European Researchers' Night aims to bring researchers closer to the general public and to increase awareness of research and innovation activities, with a view to supporting the public recognition of researchers, creating an understanding of the impact of researchers’ work on citizen’s daily life, and
encouraging young people to embark on research careers).

**Promotional material**

All announcements and promotional material will refer the compulsory mention "European Researchers' Night", complying with the following editing guidelines:

- The off line material, such as written material (such as folders, brochures, programmes, posters, and give away) will always bear the mention "European Researchers' Night";

- A European Researchers’ Night MSCA roll-up will have to be placed at the entrance of the event, complying with the following format requirements: 200 X 85 cm, and the bearing the mentions: "European Researchers' Night", “Marie Skłodowska-Curie: an inspiration to follow”, as well as an image provided by the European Commission;

- The on line material, such as banners, ads, websites, link to relevant EU websites and social networks (European Researchers’ Night website, Facebook, amongst others) will bear the compulsory mention: “This European Researchers’ Night project is funded by the European Commission under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions”.

- Promotional gadgets (displayed through the European corner notably), complying with the general guidelines available at http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/communication/services/visual_identity/index.en/htm

**Some tips**

- Applicants might wish to identify another specific target audience beyond the two described above;

- Applicants should plan a timely awareness campaign (in particular with regards to schools and universities: start campaign before summer break whether possible); in such context, consider the potential effectiveness of pre-events and early announcements whichever their form;

- Messages and communication means should be adapted to the specific target audience;

- International partnerships are also encouraged: get in touch with potential applicants in the same or other countries/region/city and search for scale economies and synergies (without forgetting that activities in the same city in any case will have to be coordinated and commonly promoted).

**Deliverables**

Report on the awareness campaign (actions, media feedback, activities on Internet such as hits on website, blogs, social networks ...), accompanied by samples of promotional material (posters, leaflets, programmes, gadgets...) not later than 30 days after the event.
Table 2

Work Package 2: Activities during the NIGHT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work package number</th>
<th>Lead beneficiary</th>
<th>Month n°...2018</th>
<th>Month n°...2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work package title</th>
<th>Activities during the NIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participating organisation number</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participating organisation short name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person-months per participating organisation:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start month</td>
<td>End month</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Objectives  

*Common to both years 2018-2019 - NOT TO BE MODIFIED*

Offering all the categories of the public at large, regardless of age and scientific background, as broad as possible a range of activities:

- Directly and actively involving both researchers and audience;
- Combining in a balanced way entertainment and "education to science through researchers";
- Contributing to enhancing the public recognition and appraisal of researchers and their work.

Description of work  

*Please follow the structure below*

Locations

Indicate the city(ies) within which events will take place.

Venues

- When already identified, list the venues planned for each location;
- If not yet identified, indicate the type of venues intended (such as universities' premises, labs, museums, theatres, squares, streets, shopping malls...).

Activities

Describe:

- Common theme to all venues/locations if relevant;
- List of the types of activities planned: hands-on experiments, demos, simulations, science shows, games, competitions, contests, exhibitions, presentation of prototypes, quizzes, guided tours, lab visits, speed dating, crime investigation, orienteering, display of films, ....
- Draft programme;
- Overall schedule;
- Organisational aspects: links between venues and locations, characteristics of venues,
possibly organised transport linking them...

**EU-corner**

Describe the set up:

- Located in a frequented area;
- Offering: the European flag, connections to all relevant EU websites (list to be provided by REA), demonstration about at least one local research project EU-supported in the area considered, interactive activities;
- Permanent presence of personnel likely to answer public questions about EU policies and programmes, and promoting the European role in research and innovation;
- Testimonials/activities conducted by researchers funded by the EU, in particular Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions (having been abroad or being on site), who will also take part in the activities organised outside the EU corner.

**Some tips**

- Involve the researchers from the very start of the programme elaboration and identify researchers having benefitted from EU support;
- Plan a special training for all researchers who will be in direct contact with the audience (communication, accessibility, clarity, entertainment). Keep in mind that they are expected to exchange with the audience in an accessible and friendly way, including on complicated issues, avoiding jargon, and to be able to link the knowledge they display to the daily lives of the attendees. They are also expected to listen to their concerns and expectations as well as to stimulate them to consider science/research as a career option and to actively take part in reflection on research issues. Pay also attention to the training of trainers and multipliers and don’t underestimate the possible added value of exchanges, visits to other similar events and initiatives;
- As for the venues, try to select at least one usually frequented by the public at large (shopping mall, cinema, public spaces, youth meeting point...) allowing the “capture” of some audience possibly brought to other venues once first possible “reluctance” is overcome;
- Plan activities directly involving schools, notably during the day on Friday (after a timely information: in some countries, schools will just have re-started, so information will have to be displayed prior to the summer break as referred to above under “awareness campaign”) and/or during the following day;
- Plan activities adapted to the age of the specific target audience but also to the possible scientific background;
- When choosing an overall theme (if doing so), try to stick to “hot topics” and/or topics likely to “touch” the expected audience (daily life, health, common diseases…). Take advantage of the “European Year of Cultural Heritage” 2018 and plan related activities if wished.
- Take advantage of the existing scientific community within the area covered by your action, consequently favouring their integration in the local social fabric.

**Deliverables**

Report on the activities of the NIGHT (list, participation, success rate, possible lessons learnt...), including:

- a complete list of all the Marie Skłodowska-Curie fellows involved in the event with name, surname and project’s grant agreement number plus an identical list of any other fellows
funded under another Horizon 2020 funding scheme;

- Promotional/audio-visual material such as: conferences, leaflets, posters, videos. This comprises at least 15 photos (resolution: 3744x5079 pixels [19 Mpix]) and 1 video (resolution: full HD size [1920x1080 pixels], mp4; codec: H.264; average bitrate: between 2500 kbps and 4000 kbps; sound: AAC stereo at 192 kbps);

To be potentially used by the European Commission for promotional/communication purposes. Furthermore, a disclaimer' must be visible at the entrance of the event in the national language(s) of the event.

7 Wording to be used: "Photography and filming is taking place at this event today. If you enter this area, you may be filmed and have your picture taken. By entering this area, you are giving consent to the organisers and the European Commission to film, photograph, and to make sound recordings of you, and to use such recordings and images at their discretion. You hereby release the organisers and the European Commission from any liability in connection with the above." In case any person among the audience does not approve, this possibility should be clearly stated in an application form and the coordinator of the NIGHT event will delete any image/video containing this person before sending it to the European Commission for promotional purposes.
Table 3

Work Package 3: Impact assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work package number</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>Lead beneficiary</th>
<th>Month n°...2018</th>
<th>Month n°...2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work package title</td>
<td>Impact assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participating</td>
<td>organisation number</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participating</td>
<td>organisation short name</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person-months per</td>
<td>participating organisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participating</td>
<td>organisation short name</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person-months per</td>
<td>participating organisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start month</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End month</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Objectives

Common to both years 2018-2019 - NOT TO BE MODIFIED

- Assessing the impact of the event, special attention being paid to possible evolutions of the public perception of researchers and their work (possibly taking into consideration a several years period in case of similar events having been organised during the previous years);
- Identifying the types of activities that proved the most effective towards the achievement of the objectives.

Description of work

Please follow the structure below

Content of the assessment methodology

Describe your approach, e.g.

- Quantitative data about the event: number of attendees, typology of attendees (age, gender, occupation, background...), rating of the event, participation in various activities, number of hits on website, number of friends and followers on social networks, number of promotional items displayed and media coverage, evolution overtime if similar events were organised in the past...;
- Qualitative: opinion of participating organisations on researchers and their job (associations with the word “researcher”, characteristics of “actual researchers”, characteristics of “desired researchers”), interest expressed for science and research, interest expressed for science careers, intention to attend similar events in the future and involvement of researchers funded by Horizon 2020, including Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions in the activities of the NIGHT;
- Comparison of opinions if similar questions have been asked after past events.

Implementation of the assessment methodology

Describe:

- Selection of the sample of responders (random, focus groups...) and tools used (paper
questionnaires, on line questionnaires/surveys, face to face interviews, polls...);

○ Minimum number of feedback expected (through all the vectors used).

**Some tips**

○ *Plan short and simple questions, not too numerous;*

○ *Modulate questions and means used according to the segment of the audience addressed (schools, kids, young people, adults, teachers and families...);*

○ *Reward the participation in the impact assessment exercise;*

○ *Plan the processing of answers collected by a professional body (university department, company...), possibly part of the consortium;*

○ *Whether possible involve independent ‘observers’ in charge of identifying too-shorts or problems towards the achievement of the objectives;*

○ *Get in touch with other potential applicants, possibly in other countries, for a common elaboration of the questionnaires and other relevant materials, with a view to realising economies of scale and making the results comparable at a national level or beyond.*

**Deliverables**

Report on impact assessment (number of responses, tools used, main trends and conclusions), accompanied by samples of questionnaires/interviews in English.
Table 4

Work Package 4: Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work package number</th>
<th>Lead beneficiary:</th>
<th>Month n°....2018</th>
<th>Month n°....2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work package title</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participating organisation number</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participating organisation short name</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person-months per participating organisation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start month</td>
<td>End month</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Objectives**  
*Common to both years 2018-2019 - NOT TO BE MODIFIED*

Ensure a sound management of the project in all its components

**Description of work**  
*Please follow the structure below*

**Coordinator**
- Name of the institution;
- Person in charge of administrative, legal, financial and accounting management;
- Person interfacing with the relevant EU services (negotiation, reporting).

**Steering Committee (if any)**
- Composed of...
- Role...

**Advisory Committee (If any)**
- Composed of...
- Role...

**Work Package Leaders, Local correspondents...**
- Designation
- Role...

**Decision making process**
- Possibly: consensus amongst all partners, majority (simple or not), unanimity, casting vote for chairperson...;
- Procedure in case of conflict.

**Internal communication flows**
Describe communication means:
- Video conferences, meetings (partial or plenary);
- Frequency (if already determined) of the various meetings.

**Some tips**
- Keep the management structures *simple and flexible*;
- Plan a mechanism allowing association of new bodies cooperating to the implementation in the course of the project;
- Elaborate a consortium agreement, **which is compulsory**, that clearly defines tasks, responsibilities and possible allocation of resources (personnel, financial) as early as possible in the proposal preparation process and in principle prior to the signature of the Grant Agreement;

**Deliverables**
Final management report.
Table 5

Overall Scheduling of Work Packages

The work plan will be broken down into 4 compulsory Work Packages (i.e. Awareness campaign, Activities during the NIGHT, Impact assessment and Management).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work package No</th>
<th>Work Package Title</th>
<th>Lead Participating organisation No</th>
<th>Lead Participating Short Name</th>
<th>Start Month</th>
<th>End month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Awareness campaign</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Activities during the NIGHT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Impact assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6

Deliverables List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deliverable (number)</th>
<th>Deliverable name</th>
<th>Work package number</th>
<th>Short name of lead participating organisation</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Dissemination level</th>
<th>Delivery date (in months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7
Allocation of resources

Table to be inserted for EACH participating organisation in case of multi-partner projects.

7a. Summary of staff effort

Please indicate the number of person months over the whole duration of the planned work, for each work package, for each participating organisation. Identify the work-package leader for each WP by showing the relevant person month figures in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participating organisation Number/ Short Name</th>
<th>WP1</th>
<th>WP2</th>
<th>WP3</th>
<th>WP4</th>
<th>Total Person-Months per Participating organisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7b
Allocation of resources

Table to be inserted for EACH participating organisation in case of multi-partner projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WORK PACKAGE</th>
<th>PERSONNEL COSTS (Either personnel of the institution or temporary)</th>
<th>SUBCONTRACTING* (Price paid for subcontracts-services invoiced by external bodies to one of the participating organisations- and related taxes )</th>
<th>OTHER DIRECT COSTS** (Costs that are directly linked to the action implementation and can therefore be attributed to it directly)</th>
<th>INDIRECT COSTS (25 % of personnel costs + other direct costs)</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
* Please mention the **tasks intended to be subcontracted and the corresponding amounts**.
**Under ‘other direct costs’ may also appear contracts to purchase goods, works, or services which do not cover the implementation of action tasks although necessary to implement action tasks by beneficiaries (in other terms, which appear to be strictly ‘instrumental’ to the implementation of the action as a whole)**
OPERATIONAL CAPACITY OF PARTICIPATING ORGANISATIONS

Submission of the following information is required to determine the operational capacity of each applicant in grant proposals:

- A curriculum vitae or description of the profile of the persons who will be primarily responsible for carrying out the proposed activities;

- A list of up to five relevant publications, and/or products, services (including widely-used datasets or software), or other achievements relevant to the call content;

- A list of up to five relevant previous projects or activities, connected to the subject of this proposal;

- A description of any significant infrastructure and/or any major items of technical equipment, relevant to the proposed work;

- A description of any third parties that are not represented as project partners, but who will nonetheless be contributing towards the work (e.g. providing facilities, computing resources).
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Annex 2 – Guidance note: European Researchers' Night Pre-events

The European Researchers' Night welcomes more than one million visitors in a set of science dissemination events occurring annually across Europe on the last Friday of September.

The MSCA Work Programme 2018-2020 gives the possibility to beneficiaries to arrange NIGHT pre-event(s) during spring and summer prior to the main events in September. The main aim of such pre-event(s) is to attract a larger audience to the main event. In addition, they may already help to shape people’s perception of science and be suited to convey the interesting aspects of a profession or a field of research.

Characteristics of NIGHT pre-event(s)
Embedded in the general purpose of the submitted proposal
The pre-event(s) need to be duly justified in the submitted proposal and must be aligned to the main event (through a similar topic or field). They also need to be informative and entertaining.

Pre-existence
The pre-event(s) may be created for the first time or be integrated in science dissemination events previously organised by the coordinators.

Funding
If the pre-event(s) have a larger scope than the European Researchers' Night goals, only those elements directly related to the European Researchers' Night proposal can be covered by the project and should be clearly defined and specified in the proposal in Work Packages 1 (Awareness campaign). Other science dissemination events previously organised by the coordinators but not aligned with the topic, awareness campaign and main activities described in the proposal cannot be taken into account and consequently cannot be funded.

Visibility: EU Corner
In addition, the pre-event(s) must fulfil the awareness objective of the proposal and acknowledge the EU support, for example foresee an EU Corner with a visible MSCA roll-up, put researchers to the fore and inform about EU funding possibilities.

Duration
Coordinators may freely choose the precise duration of the pre-event(s).

Target group and geographical coverage
In line with the European Researchers’ Night initiative, the target group should be the general public and young people in particular. However, other additional target groups might be considered by the coordinator.

The pre-event(s) should have a significant geographical coverage in line with the scope of the proposal.