

Horizon Europe

THE NEXT EU RESEARCH & INNOVATION PROGRAMME (2021 – 2027)

Stakeholder workshop: Shaping how Horizon Europe is implemented

Reporting Session: Dissemination & Exploitation

#HorizonEU

Doris Alexander, EARMA



The Commission would like to modify the reporting requirements on D&E activities. What are your views?

- *Data collection happens at the end of the project - it reflects the results, but impact happens after. Currently, that time lap is not taken into consideration.*
- *Projects are required to create websites and social media, however, those are **temporary** and not used properly or visited. The audience asked for the creation of a **centralised mechanism/hub** that promotes a community (it will exist in the form of platform for research results for exploitation but nothing foreseen for dissemination). Cordis is a legal obligation but may not sufficient to be the platform.*
- *True value comes from aggregation of sources not just one source, we also need to look beyond project to the institutional level.*
- *How do we help people to deliver from the results? **lack of translational support** from professionals to help projects in their exploitation.*
- *There is an issue between economic metrics being more classical, societal impact can be more intangible (EC working with experts to address this). Request to also have a set of basic/general indicators and then more tailor made indicators that are topic specific. could perhaps include narrative about impact as well as more classic metrics - so think about a much wider range of indicators*

The Commission would like to introduce a D&E part of the reporting that continues after the end of the project. How can we best implement this?

- *Difficulty to measure 5, 10 years after the end of the project, need to draw the links back to the EU funding, there may be more forms of funding other than EC secured to achieve impact. EC is collecting basic data to draw general trends, need to incentivise people to fill in and provide information after the project conclusion.*
- *One single tool will not deliver what is needed to harvest the information on impact needed – but rather a mixture of many things: prizes, case studies of success, perhaps a proposal submission question asking if you have received funding before and if so what the outcome was, referencing the ID or the project in patenting and licencing deals etc.*
- *The EC already has a pilot working back on historical data TRR (tracking of research results).*

The Commission will facilitate third party exploitation through a pilot, the Horizon results platform, as a place where results can be presented to potential users. How can this novel platform work in the best way?

- *A results platform will be ready on Funding and Tender portal in September 2019. Some discussion as to whether this is driven by user/demand, matchmaking should not be forced. There were some concerns over confidentiality. EC replied that results stay with the beneficiaries, we won't force them.*

Impact Pathway indicators: What are your views on the use of unique identifiers and how do you believe such a system would best be implemented?

- *Unique persistent identifiers allow to harvest existing databases. ORCID ID or institutional ID are already broadly used at the national level in some member states. For companies the ID could be the VAT number. Nordic countries track the career path of their researchers thanks to unique identifiers. Using IDs avoids using surveys, shows multidisciplinary projects/collaborations. ERC is using the unique identifier. By using such unique identifiers - can trace publications and citations to the ID and to the individual. So don't have to ask the individual.*
- *Some issues were raised as to mapping impact with plan S but impact is not focussed on the journal but on field weighted citations*



Thank you!

#HorizonEU

<http://ec.europa.eu/horizon-europe>

**QUESTIONS & COMMENTS AFTER THE WORKSHOP:
EC-RTD-HE-IMPLEMENTATION@EC.EUROPA.EU**