



The EU Framework Programme
for Research and Innovation

HORIZON 2020



Self-evaluation form

ERC Starting Grant (StG)
and
Consolidator Grant (CoG) 2014

Version 1.0
19 May 2014

*Research and
Innovation*

ERC Starting and Consolidator Grant 2014

Evaluation form and scoring

1. Research Project

Ground-breaking nature, ambition and feasibility

Ground-breaking nature and potential impact of the research project

To what extent does the proposed research address important challenges?

To what extent are the objectives ambitious and beyond the state of the art (e.g. novel concepts and approaches or development across disciplines)?

To what extent is the proposed research high risk/high gain?

Scientific Approach

To what extent is the outlined scientific approach feasible (based on the Extended Synopsis)?

*To what extent is the proposed research methodology appropriate to achieve the goals of the project (based on the full Scientific Proposal)? **Only step2***

*To what extent does the proposal involve the development of novel methodology (based on the full Scientific Proposal)? **Only step2***

*To what extent are the proposed timescales and resources necessary and properly justified (based on the full Scientific Proposal)? **Only step2***

2. Principal Investigator

Intellectual capacity, creativity and commitment

Intellectual capacity and creativity

To what extent has the PI demonstrated the ability to propose and conduct ground-breaking research?

To what extent does the PI provide evidence of creative independent thinking?

To what extent have the achievements of the PI typically gone beyond the state of the art?

Commitment

*To what extent does the PI demonstrate the level of commitment to the project necessary for its execution and the willingness to devote a significant amount of time to the project (min 50% of the total working time on it and min 50% in an EU Member State or Associated Country) (based on the full Scientific Proposal)? **Only step2***

At each evaluation step, each proposal will be evaluated and marked for each of the two main elements of the proposal: Research Project and Principal Investigator.

At the end of each evaluation step, the proposals will be ranked by the panels on the basis of the marks they have received and the panels' overall appreciation of their strengths and weaknesses.

At the end of **step 1** of the evaluation applicants will be informed that their proposal:

- A.** is of sufficient quality to pass to step 2 of the evaluation;
- B.** is of high quality but not sufficient to pass to step 2 of the evaluation;
- C.** is not of sufficient quality to pass to step 2 of the evaluation.

At the end of **step 2** of the evaluation applicants will be informed that their proposal:

- A.** fully meets the ERC's excellence criterion and is recommended for funding **if sufficient funds are available**;
- B.** meets some but not all elements of the ERC's excellence criterion and will not be funded.

In addition, once the evaluation of their proposal has been completed, applicants will receive an evaluation report which will include the ranking range of their proposal out of the proposals evaluated by the panel.

Projects recommended for funding will be funded by the ERC if sufficient funds are available. Proposals will be funded in priority order based on their rank.