Case Id: 57507148-9ca9-41b5-890b-dde8988cea46

Date: 09/05/2016 17:01:27

# Open Public Consultation: Revision of the European Interoperability Framework (Citizens)

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

#### **Disclaimer**

The European Commission is not responsible for the content of questionnaires created using the EUSurvey service - it remains the sole responsibility of the form creator and manager. The use of EUSurvey service does not imply a recommendation or endorsement, by the European Commission, of the views expressed within them.

#### Introduction

One of the priorities of the Juncker Commission is to create a Digital Single Market, where the **free movement of goods, persons, services and capital** is ensured and where citizens and businesses can seamlessly and fairly access online goods and services, whatever their nationality, and wherever they live. The Digital Single Market also means that **information should be exchanged easily** nationally and across borders, helping citizens to fully benefit from the freedoms of the single market (e.g. moving countries for work, studying or retiring abroad).

In this context, "**interoperability**" is crucial. Interoperability is the ability of public administrations to interact with their citizens through their public services in an easy and timely manner, mainly over online systems. Examples are the tax declaration, request for certificates, etc.

The general objective of this questionnaire is to understand the needs from EU citizens, as well as the potential issues they face, when it comes to digital public services. These include the digital public services provided by your country's administrations but also by other EU countries' administrations.

Completing the survey should not take more than 15 minutes.

In case you need any additional information about this Impact Assessment, please do not hesitate to contact DG DIGIT B6 directly by addressing an email to the following address: DIGIT-ISA2-CONSULTATIONS@ec.europa.eu or by post at:

European Commission
DG DIGIT
Unit B6 - Interoperability solutions for European public administrations (ISA)
B-1049 Brussels

## 1. Registration

<b>∗</b> Su	rname:								
S	oares								
* Na	me:								
Р	aulo								
<b>∗</b> En	nail addres	ss:							
р	gpsoares(	gmail.com							
⋆WI	nat is your	nationality?							
0	Austria n	Belgian	British	0	Bulgarian	Croatian		Cypriot	
0	Czech	Danish	Dutch	0	Estonian		Finni	sh	French
0	Germa n	Greek	<ul><li>Hungaria</li><li>n</li></ul>	( C		Irish		Italian	
	Latvian	<ul><li>Liechtenstein er</li></ul>	Lithuania	( C	Luxemboi er	urg	Malte	ese	<ul><li>Norwegia</li><li>n</li></ul>
0	Polish	<ul><li>Portuguese</li></ul>	Romania	0			Slove n	enia	_
0	Swedis h	Other							
⋆WI	nere do yo	ou live?							
0	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	0 (	Croatia	0	Cyprus	0	Czech Republic
0	Denmar k	Estonia	Finland	© F	rance	0	German y	0	Greece
0	Hungary	Iceland	Ireland	(i)	taly	0	y Latvia	0	Liechtenstein
	Lithuani a	Luxembour	Malta	_	Netherlan	0	Poland	•	Portugal
0	Romani	g © Slovakia	Sloveni		Spain	0	Sweden	0	United
0	a Norway	Other	а						Kingdom
<b>∗</b> Ho	w old are	you?							
0	Under 20								
	20-40								
<b>(a)</b>	41-65								
	More than	1 65							

- \* Before you reply to this public consultation, please tell us to what extent you are aware of the European Interoperability Strategy and its content.
  - Fully aware
  - Partially aware
  - I only found out about it through this public consultation
  - Don't know / No opinion
- \* Before you reply to this public consultation, please tell us to what extent you are aware of the European Interoperability Framework and its content.
  - Fully aware
  - Partially aware
  - I only found out about it through this public consultation
  - Don't know / No opinion

#### 2. Publication consent

- \* Please indicate your preference for the publication of your response on the Commission's website:
  - Under the name given: I consent to publication of all information in my contribution and I declare that none of it is subject to copyright restrictions that prevent publication.
  - Anonymously: I consent to publication of all information in my contribution and I declare that none of it is subject to copyright restrictions that prevent publication.
  - Please keep my contribution confidential. (it will not be published, but will be used internally within the Commission)

## 3. Assess the need of revising the EIS and EIF

In December 2010, the Commission adopted the Communication "<u>Towards interoperability for European public services</u>" that included the <u>European Interoperability Strategy</u> (EIS) and <u>European Interoperability Framework</u> (EIF).

Following recent political, legal and technological evolutions, a revision is now necessary so that interoperability is ensured for the public services of the Digital Single Market and that e-barriers do not emerge between the public administrations of the Members States to the detriment of other public administrations, businesses and citizens that need to interact with them.

Questions included in the following sections will focus, on the one hand, on interoperability at Member States' (national) level and, on the other hand, on interoperability at cross-border level.

### 3.1 Assessment of needs and problems at Member States level

The following set of questions will address interoperability at Member State level, i.e. between citizens and national public administration's entities of different levels and sectors.

\*Q1. How often have you used the digital public services provided by your country's administrations during the last year?

Rarely used
Occasionally used
Frequently used
Always used
Don't know / No opinion
Which digital public services have you used the most frequently?
Tax filling. Property certificates.
What are the main issues, if any, that you have faced when using digital public services provided by your country's administrations?
Need more areas but as a whole is satisfactory.
C2. How likely is it that you would prefer using digital public services provided by your country's administrations instead of other means (e.g. post, phone calls, physical presence)?  Not at all likely  Rather not likely  Neither likely nor unlikely  Rather likely  Pan't know (Ne eninion
<ul> <li>□ Don't know / No opinion</li> <li>k Q3. To what extent did the digital public services provided by your country's administrations</li> </ul>
meet or exceed your expectations?
Far below my expectations
Slightly below my expectations
Meet my expectations
Slightly above my expectations
Far above my expectations
O Don't know / No opinion
2 Assessment of needs and problems at cross-border level
The following set of questions will address interoperability at cross-border level, i.e. between citizens and public administrations that are not located in the same country.
Q4. Have you had the need to interact with public administration(s) located in another

country than your own during the last year?

Yes

Never used

- No
- ★Q5. How often have you used the digital public services provided by other EU countries' administrations during the last year?
  - Never used
  - Rarely used
  - Occasionally used
  - Frequently used
  - Always used
  - Don't know / No opinion
- \*Q6. How likely is it that you would prefer using digital public services provided by other EU countries' administrations instead of other means (e.g. post, phone calls, physical presence)?
  - Not at all likely
  - Rather not likely
  - Neither likely nor unlikely
  - Rather likely
  - Very likely
  - Don't know / No opinion
- \*Q7. To what extent do digital public services provided by other EU countries' administrations meet or exceed your expectations?
  - Far below my expectations
  - Slightly below my expectations
  - Meet my expectations
  - Slightly above my expectations
  - Far above my expectations
  - Don't know / No opinion

## 4. Accompanying document

The document accessible <u>here</u> is a draft version of the revised European Interoperability Framework (EIF). While still being under continuous improvement by the Commission Services, it already reflects the results of a targeted consultation with the Member States representatives to ISA programme (the predecessor of ISA<sup>2</sup>), as well as other inputs.

The EIF is a technical document, mainly addressing recommendations on interoperability, based on an existing framework and as such is herewith consulted with stakeholders. It mainly addresses recommendations on interoperability.

Q8. Please feel free to express any further comment that you may have on the draft revised EIF text.

In the section "Openness of formalised specifications," the following is ambiguous: "Intellectual property rights related to the specification are licensed on FRAND terms or preferably on a royalty-free basis in a way that

allows implementation in both proprietary and open source software."

I believe it should be rewritten along the following lines: "Intellectual property rights related to the specification are licensed either on FRAND terms or preferably on a royalty-free basis, but in either case, in such a way that allows implementation in both proprietary software and by all open-source projects." This makes clear that FRAND licensing must not only be compatible with open source, but that it must be compatible with all open source projects.

Another section that needs revision is "2.1 Underlying principle 3: Openness and Transparency." The proposed text is almost meaningless in terms of openness. I suggest returning to the following phrasing, found in EIF v2.0: "In the context of the EIF, openness is the willingness of persons, organisations, or other members of a community of interest to share knowledge and stimulate debate within that community, the ultimate goal being to advance knowledge and the use of this knowledge to solve problems." This could obviously be expanded as necessary, but the key element—sharing—must be present.

#### Contact

M DIGIT-ISA2-CONSULTATIONS@ec.europa.eu 

■ DIGIT-ISA2-CONSULTATIONS@ec.europa.eu