

How do infringements of your intellectual property rights impact your investment in research, development and innovation (eg. estimated loss in investments/amount of investments not undertaken)?	Infringements of intellectual property rights have generally had a positive impact on my work, because they serve as free advertisement and motivate me to keep working.
What is the relevance of the quality of civil enforcement system for intellectual property rights for your research, development and innovation investments?	Medium
Please explain:	I'd like to be able to make profit of my work once I publish something that will have a marketing campaign. I'd also like the internet to function as a free library, because it obviously serves the original purpose of the library institution better than actual libraries.

Efficiency and effectiveness of civil proceedings in cases concerning infringements of intellectual property rights

Did you pursue alternative dispute resolution mechanisms before instituting court proceedings in the cases of intellectual property rights' infringements?	N/A
Do you consider that alternative dispute resolution mechanisms in cases of intellectual property rights' infringements are sufficiently accessible to parties affected by an infringement?	NO
Please explain:	Alternative dispute resolution mechanisms are one-sided blackmail by the IPR lobby and prevent courts from establishing precedent. Consequently, even courts won't find out how laws are supposed to be applied.
Did you take part in litigation of cases concerning the infringements of IPRs during the period under examination?	NO
In approximately what percentage of infringements/alleged infringements you detected did you decide to litigate against the infringer/alleged infringer?	
For what reasons did you refrain from litigating?	OTHER
Please explain:	I don't want to disable piracy as a marketing channel.
Did your decision on whether or not to litigate depend on the jurisdiction?	NO
Did your decision on whether or not to litigate depend on the type of court concerned (e.g. courts specialised in intellectual property as opposed to standard commercial courts)?	NO
Do you think it would be useful to establish, at EU level, model rules for fast track proceedings for civil law cases concerning infringements of IPRs?	NO

Please explain, what would be in your opinion the drawbacks of this system?	The reasoning behind intellectual property rights is already flawed, and enforcing this reasoning with a fast track system does not sound smart.
Do you think it would be useful to establish, at EU level, specific (in addition to Regulation (EC) No 861/2007 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 July 2007 establishing a European small claims procedure) model rules for small claims proceedings for civil law cases concerning infringements of IPRs?	NO OPINION
Do you think it would be useful to establish rules for fast track proceedings for litigation of infringements of community trademarks and community designs?	NO OPINION
Do you think it would be useful to establish rules for small claims proceedings for litigation of infringements of community trademarks and community designs?	NO OPINION
What safeguards of defendant's rights should be put in place in case of the EU-level fast track/small claims proceedings concerning infringements of IPRs?	

Right of information

How do you identify infringers/alleged infringers of your IPRs?	They come to tell me what they did.
Do you face problems identifying infringers/alleged infringers of your IPRs?	N/A
Has it been possible for you to obtain information allowing identification of infringers/alleged infringers directly from an intermediary?	N/A
Has it been possible for you to obtain a court order obliging an intermediary to disclose the identity of the infringer/alleged infringer?	N/A
Has it been possible for you to obtain a court order obliging an intermediary to disclose the identity of the infringer/alleged infringer of your IPRs in case where the intermediary and/or the infringer/alleged infringer of your IPRs were incorporated, or resident in a Member State other than the one in which you operate?	N/A

Mechanisms to inform about the alleged infringement and to impede access to goods and services allegedly infringing IPRs

Do you consider the possibility to use notification mechanisms to be a useful tool to inform the intermediary about the fact that his services are being (allegedly) used to infringe an intellectual property right and thus bring a stop to the infringing/allegedly infringing activity?	NO
Please explain:	Why do we speak of a "notification mechanism" as some separate entity?

Do you consider the possibility to use notification mechanisms to be a useful tool also where the infringements/alleged infringements occurred in, or the intermediaries are incorporated in, a Member State other than the one in which you operate?	NO
Please explain:	Why do we speak of a "notification mechanism" as some separate entity?
Do you consider the possibility to use notification mechanisms to be a useful tool to inform the infringer/alleged infringer about the infringing/allegedly infringing character of his activity?	NO
Please explain:	
In cases of commercial scale infringements of intellectual property rights, do you consider that there should be particular consequences (i.e. including e.g. suspension of the infringer's/alleged infringer's account) resulting from a notification mechanism?	NO
Please explain:	Anyone can fabricate a notification.
In cases of notorious infringers of intellectual property rights, do you consider that there should be particular consequences (i.e. including e.g. suspension of the infringer's/alleged infringer's account) resulting from a notification mechanism?	NO
Please explain:	Why do we speak of a "notification mechanism" as some separate entity intended only for notification of IPR violations? Mandating that such a mechanism is compulsory for certain websites would just damage the internet.

Requirements for granting injunctions

Injunctions imposed on intermediaries

Third party facilitation of infringements of IPRs

Corrective measures

Should the competent judicial authorities privilege one specific type of corrective measure?	NO OPINION
Should the competent judicial authorities be able to order that the goods that were found to infringe an intellectual property right should be disposed of outside the channels of commerce?	NO OPINION
Should the consent of the right holder constitute a <i>conditio sine qua non</i> for disposing of the goods that were found to infringe an intellectual property right outside the channels of commerce?	NO OPINION
Please explain how in your opinion the infringing goods could be disposed of outside the channels of commerce:	

Would you be in favour of introducing sanctions for a party who, notwithstanding that the infringing goods were subject to corrective measures ordered by the competent judicial authorities, allowed these goods to subsequently return to the channels of commerce?	NO OPINION
---	------------

Damages

How do you determine the amount of damages to be awarded for the purpose of filing a civil claim concerning an infringement of an IPR?	Determining this amount is very hard and agencies that make such claims tend to exaggerate them.
--	--

Do you take into account the resources invested in research and development while determining the damages to be awarded for the purpose of filing a civil claim concerning an infringement of an IPR?	N/A
---	-----

Would you agree that the level of damages awarded to the right holder in civil law cases concerning an infringement of IPRs should at least equal the profits made by the infringer?	NO OPINION
--	------------

Use of IPR enforcement measures for frivolous and/or anti-competitive purposes