



Do you think it would be useful to establish, at EU level, specific (in addition to Regulation (EC) No 861/2007 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 July 2007 establishing a European small claims procedure) model rules for small claims proceedings for civil law cases concerning infringements of IPRs?	NO
Please explain, what would be, in your opinion, the drawbacks of this system?	
Do you think it would be useful to establish rules for fast track proceedings for litigation of infringements of community trademarks and community designs?	NO
Do you think it would be useful to establish rules for small claims proceedings for litigation of infringements of community trademarks and community designs?	NO
What safeguards of defendant's rights should be put in place in case of the EU-level fast track/small claims proceedings concerning infringements of IPRs?	FAIR USE, right to culture, non-liability of ISPs, and banning of DRM Europe-wide.

## Right of information

<b>Mechanisms to inform about the alleged infringement and to impede access to goods and services allegedly infringing IPRs</b>	
Do you consider the possibility to use notification mechanisms to be a useful tool to inform the intermediary about the fact that his services are being (allegedly) used to infringe an intellectual property right and thus bring a stop to the infringing/allegedly infringing activity?	NO
Please explain:	If we perceive the intermediary (i think that's the ISP) as a mailman or post office, to inform the intermediary about the fact that his services are being (allegedly) used to infringe means READING PEOPLE'S LETTERS. I thought that was illegal in the EU.
Do you consider the possibility to use notification mechanisms to be a useful tool also where the infringements/alleged infringements occurred in, or the intermediaries are incorporated in, a Member State other than the one in which you operate?	NO
Please explain:	
Do you consider the possibility to use notification mechanisms to be a useful tool to inform the infringer/alleged infringer about the infringing/allegedly infringing character of his activity?	NO
Please explain:	

In cases of commercial scale infringements of intellectual property rights, do you consider that there should be particular consequences (i.e. including e.g. suspension of the infringer's/alleged infringer's account) resulting from a notification mechanism?	NO
---	----

Please explain:

In cases of notorious infringers of intellectual property rights, do you consider that there should be particular consequences (i.e. including e.g. suspension of the infringer's/alleged infringer's account) resulting from a notification mechanism?	NO
---	----

Please explain:

### Requirements for granting injunctions

### Injunctions imposed on intermediaries

### Third party facilitation of infringements of IPRs

### Corrective measures

Should the competent judicial authorities privilege one specific type of corrective measure?	NO
--	----

Should the competent judicial authorities be able to order that the goods that were found to infringe an intellectual property right should be disposed of outside the channels of commerce?	NO
--	----

Should the consent of the right holder constitute a conditio sine qua non for disposing of the goods that were found to infringe an intellectual property right outside the channels of commerce?	NO
---	----

Please explain how in your opinion the infringing goods could be disposed of outside the channels of commerce:

Would you be in favour of introducing sanctions for a party who, notwithstanding that the infringing goods were subject to corrective measures ordered by the competent judicial authorities, allowed these goods to subsequently return to the channels of commerce?	NO
---	----

Please explain:	People's rights come first, and corporations are NOT people.
-----------------	--

### Damages

Would you agree that the level of damages awarded to the right holder in civil law cases concerning an infringement of IPRs should at least equal the profits made by the infringer?	NO
--	----

Please explain:

Aaron Swartz legally downloaded some scientific papers with the intent to distribute them in the future. Nobody was harmed by his actions. He was facing 50 years in jail for that. (!) Rapists get 5 years in jail. Bankers are involved in fraud schemes worth trillions of dollars, ruin global economy, and they freely walk among us. I think general good should be the criterion to estimate damage, not the profits of some CEO's with houses on Bahamas.

**Use of IPR enforcement measures for frivolous and/or anti-competitive purposes**