



Do you think it would be useful to establish rules for small claims proceedings for litigation of infringements of community trademarks and community designs?

What safeguards of defendant's rights should be put in place in case of the EU-level fast track/small claims proceedings concerning infringements of IPRs?

## Right of information

### Mechanisms to inform about the alleged infringement and to impede access to goods and services allegedly infringing IPRs

Do you consider the possibility to use notification mechanisms to be a useful tool to inform the intermediary about the fact that his services are being (allegedly) used to infringe an intellectual property right and thus bring a stop to the infringing/allegedly infringing activity? NO

Please explain:

Do you consider the possibility to use notification mechanisms to be a useful tool also where the infringements/alleged infringements occurred in, or the intermediaries are incorporated in, a Member State other than the one in which you operate?

Do you consider the possibility to use notification mechanisms to be a useful tool to inform the infringer/alleged infringer about the infringing/allegedly infringing character of his activity?

In cases of commercial scale infringements of intellectual property rights, do you consider that there should be particular consequences (i.e. including e.g. suspension of the infringer's/alleged infringer's account) resulting from a notification mechanism? NO

Please explain:

In cases of notorious infringers of intellectual property rights, do you consider that there should be particular consequences (i.e. including e.g. suspension of the infringer's/alleged infringer's account) resulting from a notification mechanism? NO OPINION

## Requirements for granting injunctions

### Injunctions imposed on intermediaries

### Third party facilitation of infringements of IPRs

## Corrective measures

Should the competent judicial authorities privilege one specific type of corrective measure? NO

Should the competent judicial authorities be able to order that the goods that were found to infringe an intellectual property right should be disposed of outside the channels of commerce?

Should the consent of the right holder constitute a *conditio sine qua non* for disposing of the goods that were found to infringe an intellectual property right outside the channels of commerce? NO

Please explain how in your opinion the infringing goods could be disposed of outside the channels of commerce:

Would you be in favour of introducing sanctions for a party who, notwithstanding that the infringing goods were subject to corrective measures ordered by the competent judicial authorities, allowed these goods to subsequently return to the channels of commerce? NO

Please explain:

### Damages

Would you agree that the level of damages awarded to the right holder in civil law cases concerning an infringement of IPRs should at least equal the profits made by the infringer? NO

Please explain:

### Use of IPR enforcement measures for frivolous and/or anti-competitive purposes