

ARECI study

Summary of comments from JRC/IPSC/SERAC/SCNI

**Nicolas Dubois, Marcelo Masera, Gustav Soederlind,
Igor Nai Fovino, Bernhard Haemmerli**

scni Security of Critical Networked Infrastructures

Sensors, Radar Technologies and Cyber-security (SERAC) Unit

Introduction

- Extensive and well structured report:
 - 10 recommendations and 100 key findings

...but:

one-sided: the different viewpoints are not evident (e.g. operators, manufacturers, regulators, users)

- Annexes present interesting elements, such as the vulnerability analysis using the “eight ingredients framework”

...but

it is not applied for evaluating the recommendations

What is needed for next steps

1. Disaggregate stakeholder views:
 - More precise role and responsibility of each stakeholder
 - ‘Private sector’ for instance is too vague.
 - Reflect diversity of opinions according to each type of stakeholders
2. Time and economic aspects should be considered:
 - How much time is needed to implement the recommendation?
 - How much will it cost?
3. Impact on the overall robustness/availability should be evaluated:
 - Which would be the impact of implementing the recommendations?

Key comments on the recommendations

1. Emergency preparedness:

- Synchronise with PSC Europe forum.
- Provide a more precise scope and identification of the roles of stakeholders.

2. Priority communications:

- Why these solutions and not others?
- Costs/benefits ratio and scope.

3. Formal mutual aid agreements:

- Define scope: Telecom branch or whole public service industry.
- Work on legal barriers

and

4. Consider alternatives for all the recommendations and evaluate their effectiveness