

Dear Commission,

On (a) question(s) raised in this questionnaire I would like to comment.

In general and as to question 10 specifically, I would like to comment that I think that the arrangements that govern the provision of access to the internet are largely adequate in general right now, and I think they should not change for the most of it, just to ensure that the internet remains open and that infrastructure investment is maintained.

As I have understood it, some internet service providers would like to have this changed, in order to advantage their own services, and therefore would like to be able to control internet access and limit and pinch off internet traffic, and I am against all of that. For these providers, I think their neutrality as to the internet and as to passing its connections in a neutral way, should be maintained (and here I mean: both remained and enforced) and the aforementioned behaviour of controlling and limiting should be forbidden (and rules should be enacted that would fine that behaviour heavily!) by the Commission, right now and, even more important, in the future.

In general, openness of the internet has to be maintained and also guaranteed, I believe. And, openness should not be confused with transparency here. If providers would practise the control and limitations on internet access and traffic and would be transparent on these, I would deem that that would not do, would not be sufficient at all. Instead, whereas I would like to maintain that transparency is good in itself, real openness, i.e. real and indiscriminate provision of access and further connections to the internet, should be guaranteed and enforced, in ways mentioned above, so: rather than only be transparent on these issues, providers would have to be forbidden to practise these practices. If transparency would also be reached along with this, that would be a merit, but would be of less importance, I think.

If you would publish my comments, then would you see to it, that my e-mailaddress is not published along with it, or the other way around, so, that my name and address are not combined with my e-mailaddress in your publication(s)? Thanks.

Kind regards,

Jack Brouwer  
Paulus Potterstraat 161  
2526 SR Den Haag  
The Netherlands