Standards in the Digital Single Market: setting priorities and ensuring delivery

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

General information on respondents

* Do you wish your contribution to be published?

Please indicate clearly if you do not wish your contribution to be published.

- (•) YES
- ( ) NO

Submissions that are sent anonymously will neither be published nor taken into account.

The Commission may contact you in case a clarification regarding your submission is needed. If you do not wish to be contacted, please state this clearly in your reply.

I wish to be contacted:

- (•) YES
- ( ) NO

* I'm responding as:

- (•) An individual in my personal capacity
- ( ) The representative of an organisation/company/institution

* What is your nationality?

Belgium

* What is your place of residence?

Belgium

* What is your name?

Heymans

* What is your surname?

Bernard

* Please enter your email address:

bernard.heymans@gmail.com

Please enter your telephone number:

+32486642161

Questions

II.1 Questions on general framework and problem statement

It is of particular interest to understand if the standards currently under development effectively match interoperability and successfully creating a Digital Single Market. In addition, it is especially interesting to identify those actions in standards development that could act as a solution for wider industry and public needs, not limited to the specific technologies that have been standardized.

Please indicate whether you agree to the following statements and explain your answer briefly.

Q1.1 - Do you share the Commission's analysis in Part 2 of this document?

- ○ YES
- ◉ PARTLY
- ○ NO
- ○ NO OPINION

* Please explain:

The analyse does go far enough: any de facto standard should be de facto public.
We would not accept somebody having a royality on printed paper... but we accept this in digital world.

Q1.2 - ICT is assuming a greater role in sectors of the economy which were not previously significant users of ICT. How do you see *the role of ICT standards* for the economy, in particular beyond the ICT sector?

- ( ) Very Important
- (•) Important
- ( ) Modest importance
- ( ) Not important
- ( ) No opinion

* Your comment, indicating a specific sector:

Any exchange between parties (photos, text, maps, sounds is impacted)

Q1.3 - Do you agree that setting priorities for ICT standards at EU level, accompanied by clear time-tables, could help standard-setting organisations in better organising their work and support the Digital Single Market?

- ( ) YES
- (•) PARTLY
- ( ) NO
- ( ) NO OPINION

* Please explain why:

The analyse does go far enough: any de facto standard should be de facto public.
We would not accept somebody having a royality on printed paper... but we accept this in digital world.

Q1.4. - What other steps should be considered to ensure that any such prioritisation would enjoy broad support of key stakeholders?

Q1.5 - What would be the most effective instrument at EU level to ensure that any such prioritisation is taken up by relevant standard-setting organisations? (please select and rank up to 3 instruments)

	1	2	3
A Commission Communication	○	◉	○
A Commission Recommendation	○	○	○
Standardization requests issued to EU standard-setting organisations and included in the Annual Union Work Programme for European standardisation	○	○	○
Regulation	◉	○	○
Priorities stated in the Rolling Plan for ICT Standardisation	○	○	◉
No opinion	○	○	○
None of them	○	○	○

Your comment:

Q1.6 - What would be the impact of a priority ICT standards plan defined at the level of the EU on Europe's effort *to pursue leadership in global standard-setting*?

- ◉ POSITIVE
- ○ NEUTRAL
- ○ NEGATIVE
- ○ NO OPINION

* Please explain:

Companies would be forced to accept rules... if the European institutions themselves follow the standards rules they have issued.

Q1.7 - What would be the impact of a priority ICT standards plan defined at the level of the EU on the ability of European companies *to capture new global market opportunities*?

- ◉ POSITIVE
- ○ NEUTRAL
- ○ NEGATIVE
- ○ NO OPINION

* Please explain:

companies within Europe will start early to follow the rules... and if the rules are going in the direction of more free information exchange, the rest of the world will follow.

Q1.8 - Besides establishing a priority ICT standards plan, what other measures could the Commission (or other EU institutions) take to ensure that standardisation plays its role in achieving a Digital Single Market?

The commission itself should only exchange using open formats internaly and externaly.

Q1.9 - How should standard-setting organisations best respond to the increasing speed of technological development and the integration of technologies in business processes across all industrial sectors?

A - Regarding the *adaptation of existing standards to new developments:*

B - Regarding the *introduction of new standards for new technologies/products*:

Q1.10 - How do you see the involvement of European ICT Standardization experts in international standardisation organisations (ITU, ISO, IEC) and global standard setting organizations (i.e. IEEE, IETF, OASIS, W3C, ECMA international)?

A - The *SCOPE (or LEVEL?) of involvement*:

- ○ Is appropriate
- ◉ Should be increased
- ○ Should be decreased
- ○ No opinion

Please explain:

the public institution should be involved ... and only the European institution represent a large enough market to have influence.

B - The *QUALITY of involvement*:

- ○ Is appropriate
- ◉ Should be improved
- ○ No opinion

Please explain:

II.2 Questions on priority domains for standardisation in the Digital Single Market

In this section, the Commission invites survey participants to express opinions and ideas on setting priorities for ICT standardisation.

The Commission has identified 10 domains set out below, as well as a set of sub-domains within each domain. Please note that domains and subdomains are interrelated and that overlaps are possible and desirable in particular with respect to synergies between different sectors. Some domains are horizontal and may benefit a large number of sectorial applications; some other domains are more sectorial and were identified as areas where ICT standardisation would bring important benefits.

First check whether the list of domains is complete and relevant. If the list is considered incomplete, please complement it with additional domains that you consider priority.

Q2.1 - Please identify and rank the domains (up to 5) and subsequently subdomains (up to 3 per domain) within each domain that you consider a priority. If specific domains or subdomains are missing please add them.

at most 5 answered row(s)

	1	2	3	4	5
Domain 1: 5G communications	○	○	○	○	○
Domain 2: Cloud computing	○	○	○	○	○
Domain 3: Cybersecurity	○	○	○	○	○
Domain 4: Data driven services and applications	○	○	○	○	○
Domain 5: Digitisation of European Industry	○	○	○	○	○
Domain 6: eHealth and aging	○	○	○	○	○
Domain 7: Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS)	○	○	○	○	○
Domain 8: Internet of Things	○	○	○	○	○
Domain 9: Smart Cities	○	○	○	○	○
Domain 10: Smart and Efficient Energy Use	○	○	○	○	○
Others	○	○	○	○	○

Please answer to Q2.2 to Q2.6 for each of the domains selected. In your answer please specify if applicable the subdomains that you have selected.

II.3 Other Comments

Other comments:

Background Documents

analysis.pdf (/eusurvey/files/f2d6718c-7e07-4955-9505-c94113bbbe0f)

Contact

✉ ec-ict-std-platform@ec.europa.eu