



Open Standards in Procurement some Dutch experiences

DG CNECT

July 7th, 2014

Walter van Holst



Independent IT-consultancy

combining technical, business and legal expertise

Features

- Since 1991
- Court experts
- Best practices
- Professional network
- Trusted advisor
- Branches in Gouda & Enschede

Core values

- Professional
- Pragmatic
- Objective
- Ambitious
- Committed
- Consistent



Programme

- Introduction
- Policy & outcomes
- Best practices
- Q & A





Introduction


- ◆ Background
- ◆ Goals
 - ◆ Share some lessons learned
 - ◆ Having a conversation
- ◆ Assumption
 - ◆ Dutch policy is well known in this group





Policy

- ◆ Preference for open standards since 2003
- ◆ In 2007 an “instruction”
 - ◆ Applicable to *investments* over 50k EUR
 - ◆ Special list of open standards (very MSP-like)
 - ◆ If not *chosen*, then explanation in annual report required



Results

Measurements:

- 2010 University of Groningen: ~40% compliance, 0% explained
- 2011 BFS: ~40% compliance, 0% explained
- 2012 BFS: ~40% compliance, 0% explained





Issues/bottlenecks

- ◆ Policy awareness (what)
- ◆ Application of policy (when)
- ◆ Putting it in practice (how)





Best practices

- ◆ Identified common tenders
 - ◆ “top twelve”
 - ◆ Matrix of semi-mandatory standards for top-12 ICT tenders
 - Will be expanded to all relevant open standards in the future
 - ◆ Extensive selection and awarding criteria for two categories
 - Websites
 - Web applications





End goal

- ◆ Raise awareness on procurement side
- ◆ Provide tools to have meaningful conversation
- ◆ Share best practices





Questions?

- ◆ By e-mail: w.van.holst@mitopics.nl

Other information

- ◆ Newsletter: nieuwsbrief@mitopics.nl
- ◆ Internet: www.mitopics.nl