



Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety

SCCS

**OPINION ON
Resorcinol**

COLIPA n° A11



The SCCS adopted this opinion at its 6th plenary meeting
of 23 March 2010

About the Scientific Committees

Three independent non-food Scientific Committees provide the Commission with the scientific advice it needs when preparing policy and proposals relating to consumer safety, public health and the environment. The Committees also draw the Commission's attention to the new or emerging problems which may pose an actual or potential threat.

They are: the Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS), the Scientific Committee on Health and Environmental Risks (SCHER) and the Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks (SCENIHR) and are made up of external experts.

In addition, the Commission relies upon the work of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), the European Medicines Evaluation Agency (EMA), the European Centre for Disease prevention and Control (ECDC) and the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA).

SCCS

The Committee shall provide opinions on questions concerning all types of health and safety risks (notably chemical, biological, mechanical and other physical risks) of non-food consumer products (for example: cosmetic products and their ingredients, toys, textiles, clothing, personal care and household products such as detergents, etc.) and services (for example: tattooing, artificial sun tanning, etc.).

Scientific Committee members

Jürgen Angerer, Ulrike Bernauer, Claire Chambers, Qasim Chaudhry, Gisela Degen, Gerhard Eisenbrand, Corrado Galli, Thomas Platzek, Suresh Chandra Rastogi, Vera Rogiers, Christophe Rousselle, Tore Sanner, Kai Savolainen, Jacqueline Van Engelen, Maria Pilar Vinardell, Rosemary Waring, Ian R. White

Contact

European Commission
Health & Consumers
Directorate C: Public Health and Risk Assessment
Unit C7 - Risk Assessment
Office: B232 B-1049 Brussels
Sanco-Sc6-Secretariat@ec.europa.eu

© European Union, 2010

ISSN 1831-4767

doi:10.2772/22207

ISBN 978-92-79-12731-1

ND-AQ-09-003-EN-N

The opinions of the Scientific Committees present the views of the independent scientists who are members of the committees. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the European Commission. The opinions are published by the European Commission in their original language only.

http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/consumer_safety/index_en.htm

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Prof. J. Angerer
Dr. C. Chambers
Prof. G. Eisenbrand
Prof. T. Platzek (chairman)
Dr. S.C. Rastogi
Dr. C. Rousselle
Prof. T. Sanner
Dr. J. van Benthem (associated member)
Prof. M.P. Vinardell
Dr. I.R. White

External experts

Dr. Mona-Lise Binderup COWI A/S, Denmark (rapporteur)

This opinion has been subject to a commenting period of four weeks after its initial publication. All comments received during this time have been considered by the SCCS and discussed in the subsequent plenary meeting. Where appropriate, the text of the relevant sections of the opinion has been modified or explanations have been added. In the cases where the SCCS after consideration and discussion of the comments, has decided to maintain its initial views, the opinion (or the section concerned) has remained unchanged.

Keywords: SCCS, scientific opinion, hair dye, A11, resorcinol, directive 76/768/ECC, CAS 108-46-3, EC 203-585-2

Opinion to be cited as: SCCS (Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety), Opinion on resorcinol, 23 March 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-----------------------|-------|----|
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS | | 3 |
| 1. BACKGROUND | | 5 |
| 2. TERMS OF REFERENCE | | 5 |
| 3. OPINION | | 6 |
| 4. CONCLUSION | | 29 |
| 5. MINORITY OPINION | | 29 |
| 6. REFERENCES | | 29 |

1. BACKGROUND

Submission I for resorcinol with the chemical name 1,3-Di-hydroxybenzene was submitted in August 1980 by COLIPA¹ according to COLIPA.

Submission II for this substance was submitted in June 1985 by COLIPA.

Submission III for this substance was submitted in March 1987 by COLIPA.

The Scientific Committee on Cosmetology (SCC) has expressed its opinion on the meeting October 10-11, 1988 with the conclusion:

"The SCC does not consider the use of Resorcinol in the hair dyes to be linked to any particular toxic risk for consumers. National Toxicology Program's result might confirm the absence of any type of toxic risk in the use of Resorcinol."

Submission IV for this substance was submitted in December 1993 by COLIPA.

SCC has at the 54th plenary meeting of 10 December 1993 confirmed its conclusion: *"The SCC does not consider the use of Resorcinol in hair dyes to be linked to any particular toxic risk for consumers"*.

The substance is currently regulated in part 1 on the list of substances which cosmetic products must not contain except subject to restrictions and conditions laid down under entry 22.

Submission V of Resorcinol (A011) was submitted by COLIPA in July 2005. According to this submission resorcinol is used in oxidative hair colouring products at a maximum concentration of 2.5%, which after mixing in a 1:1 ratio with hydrogen peroxide just prior to use, corresponds to a concentration of 1.25% upon application.

The Scientific Committee on Cosmetology (SCCP) has expressed its opinion (SCCP/1117/07) on the meeting April 15, 2008 with the conclusion *"that the information submitted is insufficient to allow a final risk assessment to be carried out.*

Before any further consideration, an in vivo Comet assay on stomach, liver and bladder cells should be performed as the mutagenic potential of resorcinol in vitro was not excluded in an in vivo study.

Studies on genotoxicity/mutagenicity in finished hair dye formulations should be undertaken following the relevant SCCNFP/SCCP opinions and in accordance with its Notes of Guidance."

The current submission VI consists of an *in vitro* Mouse Lymphoma Assay *hprt* assay.

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE

1. *Does SCCS consider resorcinol safe for use as an oxidative hair dye with a concentration on the head of maximum 1.25 % taken into account the scientific data provided?*
2. *And/or does the SCCS have any scientific concerns with regard to the use of resorcinol in hair dye formulations?*

¹ COLIPA - European Cosmetics Toiletry and Perfumery Association

3. OPINION

3.1. Chemical and Physical Specifications

3.1.1. Chemical identity

3.1.1.1. Primary name and/or INCI name

Resorcinol (INCI)

3.1.1.2. Chemical names

| | |
|----------------------|-----------------|
| 1,3-Dihydroxybenzene | 3-Hydroxyphenol |
| 1,3-Benzenediol | m-Phenylenediol |
| m-Dihydroxybenzene | Resorcin |
| m-Hydroquinone | |

3.1.1.3. Trade names and abbreviations


| | |
|----------------|------------------|
| Colorex RES-CG | Rodol RS TECH SP |
| Covastyle RCN | Rodol RS USP-C |
| Jarocol RL | Rodol RS USP-F |
| Rodol RS | Unichem RSC |
| Rodol RS TECH | |

COLIPA n° A11

3.1.1.4. CAS / EC number

CAS: 108-46-3
EC: 203-585-2

3.1.1.5. Structural formula



3.1.1.6. Empirical formula

Formula: C₆H₆O₂

3.1.2. Physical form

Light pink flakes

3.1.3. Molecular weight

Molecular weight: 110.11

3.1.4. Purity, composition and substance codes

| Description | Batch | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------------|------------------|------------------------|
| | 706030517 | 03346009 | IN-79-7087 | 706010501 |
| Identification/ characterisation | MS, IR, NMR, UV, HPLC, Elemental analysis | IR, UV, HPLC | IR, NMR UV, HPLC | NMR, UV, GC-FID |
| Titre ¹ (g/100 g) | 98.8 | > 98.4 | > 99 | |
| HPLC content (% peak area) | > 99.5 | > 99.5 | > 99* | 100% (GC peak area) |
| Impurities ² (g/100 g) | see 3.1.5 | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | see 3.1.5 |
| Water content (µg/g) | < 50 | | | |
| Loss on drying (g/100g) | < 0.1 | | | |

¹ Bromination in an acetic acid medium, potassium iodide addition and titration of the liberated iodine with sodium thiosulfate.

² Informed total impurity

* 102% relative to the USP standard

3.1.5. Impurities / accompanying contaminants

Batch n° 706030517

Hydroquinone <0.01% (w/w)

Pyrocatechol <0.01% (w/w)

Orcinol <0.01% (w/w)

Phenol <0.01% (w/w)

Ag, Al, As, Ba, Bi, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo, Ni, Pb, Pd, Pt, Sb, Se, Sn, Ti, V, Zn: each < 1 mg/kg

Hg: < 0.1 mg/kg

Solvent residues: Less than 100 µg/g of solvents such as methanol, ethanol, isopropanol, n-propanol, acetone, ethyl-acetate, cyclohexane, methylethyl ketone and monochlorobenzene

Possible impurities (g/100 g) in USP resorcinol 706010501 Lot No. 02-77RP-1 (described in 2-generation drinking water study), reanalysis by capillary GC

| | |
|-------------------|-----------|
| Phenol | 0.002 |
| o-Cresol | < 0.001 |
| 2,6-xylenol | < 0.001 |
| m-Cresol | < 0.001 |
| 3,5-xylenol | < 0.001 |
| Catechol | < 0.005 |
| Mercaptophenol | < 0.02 |
| Methylresorcinol | < 0.001 |
| Unknowns (No.) | 0.035 (3) |
| 2,2'-Biphenyldiol | < 0.001 |
| Unknowns (No.) | 0.001(3) |
| 2,5-Biphenyldiol | < 0.001 |
| Unknowns (No.) | < 0.005 |
| 3,4-Biphenyldiol | < 0.005 |
| Unknowns (No.) | < 0.005 |
| 3,3'-Biphenyldiol | < 0.005 |
| 3,4'-Biphenyldiol | < 0.005 |
| 4,4'-Biphenyldiol | < 0.01 |
| Unknowns (No.) | < 0.01 |
| THD isomer | < 0.01 |
| Unknowns (No.) | < 0.01 |
| 2,4,3'-THD | < 0.01 |

3.1.6. Solubility

Water: 678 ± 21 g/L at 20 °C (according to EEC Method A6)
 Ethanol: ≥ 20 g/100 ml at 22 °C after 24h
 DMSO: ≥ 20 g/100 ml at 22 °C after 24h

3.1.7. Partition coefficient (Log P_{ow})

Log P_{o/w}: 0.04 at 24°C and pH 7.2 (Experimental value according EEC Method A8 – HPLC)

3.1.8. Additional physical and chemical specifications

Melting point: 108-111 °C
 Boiling point: 276-280 °C
 Flash point: /
 Vapour pressure: 0.03 Pa at 25 °C
 Density: /
 Viscosity: /
 pKa: /
 Refractive index: /
 pH: /
 UV_Vis spectrum: absorption maxima at 275.8 nm and at 281.6 nm

3.1.9. Stability

Resorcinol was stable in the dosage forms at 0.1 and 200 mg/mL in purified water over a 6-hour period at room temperature and over a 9-day period at +4°C, protected from light and under inert gas atmosphere; at 0.1 and 250 mg/mL in DMSO and at 0.1, 10 and 500 mg/mL in DMF over a 4-hour period at room temperature, protected from light and under inert gas atmosphere: deviation from the original concentration were in the range of -5 to +3%.

Ref.: 11

Batch 706010501

Solutions of 300 ng resorcinol/mL and 1000 ng resorcinol/mL in a HPLC mobile phase (water/acetonitrile, 85/15) stored at room temperature were shown to be stable up to 7 days:

300 ng /mL, storage time 7 days, concentration 104% of the original concentration,
 1000 ng/mL, storage time 3 days, concentration 90.2% of the original concentration

The solutions of 5000 ng resorcinol/mL in a HPLC mobile phase (water/acetonitrile, 85/15) stored at room temperature were less stable up to 7 days: concentration 88.4-89.8% of the original concentration

1000 ng resorcinol/mL and 5000 ng resorcinol/mL plasma were stable at room temperature up to 4 hours: concentrations 90.9- 93.7% of the original concentration

Decay of resorcinol in 1000 ng resorcinol/mL plasma and 5000 ng resorcinol/mL plasma, stored frozen (-20°C), was 10% in 29 days, 20% in 61 days and 50% in 191 days.

The water solutions of resorcinol (120 -3000 mg/L), used in the 2 generation drinking water study, were stable up to 24 days: concentration 95.3 - 100 % after storage at room temperature for 15 days, and 87.5-96.1% after storage at room temperature for 24 days.

The water solutions of resorcinol, used in the 2 generation drinking water study, were shown to be homogeneous after storage for 1, 8 and 15 days in a refrigerator (range 95.7-102% of the original concentration)

Ref.: 12

General Comments to physico-chemical characterisation

- The stability of resorcinol in the marketed products is not described.

3.2. Function and uses

Resorcinol is used in oxidative hair colouring products at a maximum concentration of 2.5%, which after mixing in a 1:1 ratio with hydrogen peroxide just prior to use, corresponds to a concentration of 1.25% upon application.

Resorcinol is also used as a food additive and was recently evaluated by EFSA. Resorcinol is a specific inhibitor of polyphenol oxidase and therefore it can act as an anti-browning agent in crustaceans. The EFSA panel established an ADI of 0.12 mg/kg bw/day. The conservative estimates of acute consumption of shrimps (the only category for which experimental data were reported) indicate that dietary exposure to resorcinol for adults and for children would exceed the ADI when the residual concentration of resorcinol in whole raw shrimps is above 35 mg/kg. The EFSA Panel noted that this value is only applicable if other uses of resorcinol are excluded.

Ref.: EFSA, 2010

Resorcinol is included in Annex I as an existing active substance in biocidal products in accordance with the requirements of Article 3(1) of Commission Regulation (EC) 1451/2007 concerning the placing of biocidal products on the market (EC, 2007).

It has found use in a variety of topical medicaments, which may be obtained over the counter.

3.3. Toxicological Evaluation

3.3.1. Acute toxicity

Taken from SCCP/1117/07

3.3.1.1. Acute oral toxicity

Guideline: OECD 420 (fixed dose method)
Species/strain: Rats, Sprague-Dawley Rj:SD (IOPS Han)
Group size: 5 females
Test substance: Resorcinol
Batch: 706030517
Purity: 98.8%
Dose: 200 mg/kg bw
Route: Oral by gavage
Exposure: single oral dosing
GLP: in compliance
Date: 18 February – 23 March 2004

A sighting test was performed in three female rats at doses of 200, 500 and 2000 mg/kg bw to determine the dose level for the principal study. Based on the results of the preliminary study, the main study was performed in a group of 4 female rats at the dose of 200 mg/kg bw.

Animals were observed at least once daily for mortality/morbidity and daily for clinical signs over a period of 14 days following a single administration of the test substance. Body weights were recorded on day 1 prior to treatment, and on days 7 and 14 thereafter. All study animals were subjected to a macroscopic examination as soon as possible after death.

Results

In the sighting experiment, the animal treated at 2000 mg/kg bw died within 15 minutes of treatment; tonic-clonic convulsions were observed prior to death. At 500 mg/kg bw, death occurred within 20 minutes of treatment. Piloerection and dyspnoea were observed within two hours of treatment at 200 mg/kg bw.

In the main experiment, no mortality occurred at 200 mg/kg bw. Hypoactivity, dyspnoea and tremors were observed in all animals on day 1. Recovery was complete on day 2. No effect on body weight gain and no gross abnormalities were observed.

Conclusion

The maximal non-lethal dose of resorcinol was 200 mg/kg bw.

Ref.: 1

3.3.1.2. Acute dermal toxicity

No data submitted

3.3.1.3. Acute inhalation toxicity

No data submitted

3.3.2 Irritation and corrosivity

Taken from SCCP/1117/07

3.3.2.1. Skin irritation

Guideline: OECD 404
Species: New Zealand White rabbits
Group: 3 male
Substance: resorcinol
Batch: 706030517
Purity: 98.8%
Dose: 0.5 mL of a 2.5% solution
Vehicle: water
GLP: in compliance
Date: 17 - 22 February 2004

The cutaneous irritation potential of resorcinol at a concentration of 2.5% in water was assessed. A single dose of 0.5 mL of resorcinol was placed on a dry gauze pad and then applied to a clipped area on the posterior right flank (4 hours), the anterior right flank (1 hour) and the left flank (3 minutes) of one animal. The gauze pads were held in place under a semi-occlusive dressing and a restraining bandage. Untreated skin served as a control.

Because the dosage form was not irritant in the first animal, it was applied for 4 hours to the two additional animals.

The skin was examined at 1, 24, 48 and 72 hours after removal of the dressing, and then daily until day 4 in the absence of persistent irritation reactions.

Results

No cutaneous reactions were observed in any of the animals tested.

Conclusion

A 2.5% aqueous solution of resorcinol was not irritant when applied to rabbit skin.

Ref.: 2

3.3.2.2. Mucous membrane irritation

Guideline: OECD 405
Species: New Zealand White rabbits
Group: 3 males
Substance: resorcinol
Batch: 706030517
Purity: 98.8%
Dose: 0.1 mL of 2.5% solution
Vehicle: water
GLP: in compliance
Date: 24 - 29 February 2004

The ocular irritation potential of resorcinol at a concentration of 2.5% in purified water was assessed. Because the possibility of irritant effects was suspected, the test substance was first administered to a single animal. As it was not irritant, it was evaluated in two additional animals.

A single dose of 0.1 mL of a preparation of resorcinol was placed into the conjunctival sac of the left eye of the animals. The upper and lower lids were held closed for about 1 second to avoid any loss of the test substance. The eyes were not rinsed after administration of the test substance. The untreated right eye of each animal served as a control. Evaluations of the conjunctiva, cornea and iris were made 1 hour after compound administration, and at 24, 48 and 72 hours thereafter.

Results

Grade 1 conjunctival redness was observed in 2/3 animals on day 1 or day 2. No other ocular lesions were observed during the study.

Conclusion

A 2.5% aqueous solution of resorcinol caused mild conjunctival irritation to the rabbit eye.

Ref.: 3

| |
|----------------------------------|
| 3.3.3. Skin sensitisation |
|----------------------------------|

Taken from SCCP/1117/07

Local Lymph Node Assay (LLNA)

Guideline: OECD 429
 Species: CBA/J mice
 Group: two independent experiments were performed in 7 groups of 4 mice; fifty-six (56) female
 Substance: resorcinol
 Batch: 706030517
 Purity: 98.8%
 Dose: experiment 1: 2.5%, 5%, 10%, 25% and 50%
 Experiment 2: 0.1%, 0.5%, 1%, 5% and 25%
 Vehicle: dimethylformamide
 Control: 25% (v/v) α -hexylcinnamaldehyde in DMF
 GLP: in compliance
 Date: 17 February – 14 June 2004

The sensitisation potential of resorcinol in dimethylformamide (DMF) was assessed. A preliminary test was performed in four (4) female mice to determine the irritant potential of the test compound. The maximum practicable, non-irritant concentration was found to be 50%.

For the principal study, two independent experiments were performed in 7 groups of 4 mice. The dose volume of 25 μ l was applied to the dorsal surface of both ears once daily for 3 days. In the first experiment, concentrations of 2.5%, 5%, 10%, 25% and 50% resorcinol were tested. Vehicle control animals received DMF, while positive control animals received 25% (v/v) α -hexylcinnamaldehyde in DMF. A second experiment was performed at the concentrations of 0.1%, 0.5%, 1%, 5% and 25% resorcinol to more precisely determine the EC₃ value.

On days 1, 2, 3 and 6 of each experiment, the thickness of the left ear was measured and any irritation reactions recorded to assess any possible irritant effect of the test item. Ear thickness was not measured in the positive control group.

On day 6 of each experiment, all animals were administered 250 μ l of 0.9% NaCl containing 20 μ Ci of tritiated thymidine (³H-TdR). After approximately 5 hours, they were killed and the auricular lymph nodes excised. The nodes from each group were pooled, a suspension of auricular lymph node cells prepared, and proliferation of these cells measured using β -scintillation counting. The results were used to calculate the Stimulation Index (SI) for proliferation. The EC₃ value (the theoretical concentration resulting in an SI value of 3) was subsequently determined.

Results

Lymphoproliferative responses observed in negative control groups fell within historical ranges, while significant lymphoproliferation was observed with α -hexylcinnamaldehyde at 25%, thus validating the sensitivity of the test system and procedure used.

No mortality and no clinical signs were observed in the first experiment. In the second experiment, hypoactivity, piloerection and dyspnoea were observed on day 3 in 1/4 and 2/4 animals of the treated groups given 1% and 5%, respectively. No cutaneous reactions and no increase in ear thickness were observed at any tested concentrations.

Opinion on resorcinol

| Dose | SI |
|---|------|
| Resorcinol 2.5% | 3.83 |
| Resorcinol 5.0% | 4.14 |
| Resorcinol 10% | 3.97 |
| Resorcinol 25% | 3.51 |
| Resorcinol 50% | 3.30 |
| α -hexylcinnamaldehyde 25% (v/v) | 7.48 |

In the first experiment, positive lymphoproliferative responses (SI>3) were noted at all tested concentrations, but no clear dose-response relationship was observed.

| Dose | SI |
|---|------|
| Resorcinol 0.1% | 1.58 |
| Resorcinol 0.5% | 2.87 |
| Resorcinol 1% | 1.97 |
| Resorcinol 5% | 3.51 |
| Resorcinol 25% | 5.74 |
| α -hexylcinnamaldehyde 25% (v/v) | 6.97 |

In the second experiment, a dose-related increase in SI was observed (except at the concentration of 1%) and the threshold positive value of 3 was exceeded at concentrations \geq 5%. The EC3 value for this experiment was 1.4%.

Conclusion

Resorcinol induced contact sensitisation in this study.

Ref.: 4

According to the grading scheme used by the SCCS (SCCP/0919/05), resorcinol should be considered as a strong sensitiser.

3.3.4. Dermal / percutaneous absorption

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Guideline: | OECD 428 |
| Tissue: | Human dermatomed skin, female breast, abdomen; 350-400 μ m in thickness |
| Group size: | 8 donors |
| Diffusion cells: | flow-through diffusion (7/10 chambers analysed in oxidative conditions, and 12/12 chambers analysed in non-oxidative conditions) |
| Skin integrity: | permeability coefficient for tritiated water ($< 2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ cm/h for all selected membranes) |
| Test substance: | resorcinol |
| Batch: | 706030517 |
| Purity: | 98.8% |
| Radiolabel | [U- ¹⁴ C]-resorcinol |
| Radiolabel batch | SEL/1398 |
| Radiolabel purity | > 99% |
| Test item: | <u>oxidative conditions</u> : typical hair colouring formulation at 2.50% (w/w) associated with p-phenylenediamine (PPD) at 2.45% (w/w) before mixing with hydrogen peroxide (1:1, w/w) to give a final concentration of 1.25% (w/w) <u>Non-oxidative conditions</u> : same formulation devoid of primary intermediate at 2.50% (w/w) before mixing with water (1:1, w/w) to give a final concentration of 1.25% (w/w). |
| Doses: | 20 mg/cm ² of oxidative and non-oxidative test preparations. |
| Receptor fluid: | calcium- and magnesium-free PBS Buffer |
| Solubility receptor fluid: | >100 g/L in water |
| Stability: | / |

Method of Analysis: liquid scintillation counting
 GLP: in compliance
 Date: 25 August – 9 October 2004

Human skin samples (4 breast and 4 abdomen) were obtained from eight female donors subjected to plastic surgery. They were kept frozen at *ca* -20°C until use.

Skin samples were dermatomed (350-400 µm in thickness) and mounted in flow-through diffusion cells with calcium- and magnesium-free PBS Buffer as the receptor fluid. Their integrity was verified by measuring the permeability coefficient for tritiated water ($< 2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ cm/h for all selected membranes) prior to application of the hair dye formulations. The skin was maintained at *ca* 32°C.

Resorcinol was tested under both oxidative and non-oxidative conditions.

Under oxidative conditions, it was incorporated into a typical hair colouring formulation at 2.50% (w/w) associated with p-phenylenediamine (PPD) at 2.45% (w/w) before mixing with hydrogen peroxide (1:1, w/w) to give a final concentration of 1.25% (w/w).

Under non-oxidative conditions, it was incorporated into the same formulation devoid of primary intermediate at 2.50% (w/w) before mixing with water (1:1, w/w) to give a final concentration of 1.25% (w/w).

Twenty (20) mg/cm² of oxidative and non-oxidative test preparations were applied to the skin surface for 30 minutes. After this time period, the remaining formulation on the skin surface was removed using a standardized washing procedure.

Twenty-four hours after application, the percutaneous absorption of resorcinol was estimated by measuring its concentration in the following compartments using liquid scintillation counting: dislodgeable dose, *stratum corneum* (isolated by tape strippings), skin (living epidermis + dermis) and receptor fluid.

Results

Seven out of ten (oxidative conditions) and all twelve samples (non-oxidative conditions) yielded data that could be analysed for the oxidative and the non-oxidative conditions, respectively. Three chambers used in the oxidative experiment were rejected because of a low mass balance ($< 90\%$).

The dermal delivery (sum of the amounts measured in the living epidermis, dermis and receptor fluid) under oxidative conditions was 1.04 ± 0.51 µg/cm² (range 0.37 to 2.0 µg/cm²); 0.40 ± 0.18 % (range 0.15 to 0.74%). Chamber 6, one of the chambers rejected because of poor mass balance, had a dermal delivery of 4.10 µg/cm².

The dermal delivery (sum of the amounts measured in the living epidermis, dermis and receptor fluid) under non-oxidative conditions was 2.95 ± 2.22 µg/cm² (range 0.96 to 8.67 µg/cm²); $1.16 \pm 0.88\%$ (range 0.36 to 3.42%).

Conclusion

The dermal absorption (sum of the amounts measured in the living epidermis, dermis and receptor fluid) of resorcinol i at 1.25% in a typical oxidative hair dye formulation was determined to be 1.04 ± 0.51 µg/cm² of the applied dose.

Ref.: 13

Comment

As too few evaluable chambers were available in the experiment under oxidative conditions, the mean + 2 SD of 2.06 µg/cm² ($1.04 + 2 \times 0.51$) will be used for calculating the MOS of resorcinol under oxidative conditions.

3.3.5. Repeated dose toxicity

3.3.5.1. Repeated Dose (17 days) oral / dermal / inhalation toxicity

In a 17-day Oral Toxicity Study in F344/N rats and B6C3F₁ mice, resorcinol was administered by gavage 5 days per week at dose levels of 0, 27.5, 55, 110, 225 and 450 mg/kg bw/d in male and female rats (5 animals per sex / dose), and at 0, 37.5, 75, 150, 300 and 600 mg/kg bw/d in male and female mice (5 animals per sex / dose). Animals were observed daily for signs of toxicity. Animals were weighed at the start of the study, and weekly. Weights of the brain, heart, right kidney, liver, lung, and thymus were recorded and these organs from the control and the high-dose group were examined microscopically.

Results

Rats

All animals survived to the end of the studies. No differences in final body weight were observed. Clinical signs of toxicity appeared within one-half hour after dosing and lasted 1 to 2 hours. Hyperexcitability and tachypnoea were observed in males from the 225 and 450 mg/kg bw/d groups. Females receiving doses of 55 mg/kg bw/d and above showed hyperexcitability and those receiving 110 and 450 mg/kg bw/d showed tachypnoea. Absolute and relative Thymus weights were statistically significantly decreased in high-dose females in comparison to the control. No gross and microscopic lesions attributable to resorcinol administration were observed (NTP, 1992).

Mice

All females and four males receiving 600 mg/kg bw/day and one male receiving 300 mg/kg bw/d died as a result of the resorcinol administration. The final body weights of surviving dosed mice were similar to those of the controls.

Clinical findings including prostration and tremors were recorded among males from the 150, 300, and 600 mg/kg bw/d groups, and among females from the 300 and 600 mg/kg bw/d groups.

The clinical signs in both rats and mice appeared usually within one-half hour after dosing and lasted 1 to 2 hours in surviving animals. No biologically significant changes in organ weights were recorded. No gross and microscopic lesions attributable to resorcinol administration were observed

Conclusion

Based on the clinical signs reported, the EFSA Panel concluded that the NOAEL in rats was 27.5 mg resorcinol/kg bw/d.

Based on the clinical effects reported the EFSA Panel concluded that the NOAEL in mice was 75 mg/kg bw/d.

Ref.: 10, EFSA (2010)

Comment

The NOAELs were based on short term acute effects after oral gavage.

3.3.5.2. Sub-chronic (90 days) oral / dermal / inhalation toxicity

Taken from SCCP/1117/07

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Guideline: | OECD 408 |
| Species/strain: | rat, Sprague-Dawley, Crl CD® (SD) IGS BR |
| Group size: | 20/group (10 males and 10 females), 12 (6 males and 6 females) in satellite group |
| Test substance: | Resorcinol |
| Batch: | 706030517 |
| Purity: | 98.8% |
| Dose: | 0, 40, 80, 250 mg/kg bw |
| Route: | oral |
| Exposure: | once a day by oral gavage for at least 13 weeks (93 days) |

GLP: in compliance
Date: 15 April – 24 August 2004

Four groups of 10 male and 10 female Sprague-Dawley rats received the test item, (A011, batch No 70603051), daily by gavage at 0, 40, 80 or 250 mg/kg bw/day for at least 13 weeks. Vehicle was degassed purified water. At 0 and 250 mg/kg bw/day (groups 1 and 4), six animals of each sex were treated for 13 weeks and then kept for a 4-week treatment-free period. Six animals of each sex in groups 2, 3 and 4 were used for toxicokinetic investigations.

During the treatment period, animals were observed at least twice daily for mortality/morbidity and daily for clinical signs. Body weight was checked once a week. Detailed clinical observations were performed on each animal, in a standard arena, once before the beginning of the treatment period and then once a week until the end of the study. Any found dead animal was submitted to a macroscopic *post-mortem* examination.

Results

At 250 mg/kg bw/day, all males and females (including satellites) showed intermittent convulsive movements, starting between weeks 6 and 8 and lasting until the end of the treatment period. Also excessive salivation (majority of animals) and loud breathing (2 males) was reported in the 250 mg/kg bw/day group. Mortality was mentioned in the 80 mg/kg bw/day (2 males) and the 250 mg/kg bw/day dosage group (1 female). According to the study report, observed deaths at these dose levels were not treatment-related but may be caused by lung lesions due to incidental gavage errors. With the exception of the two males which had convulsions and died, no clinical observations were recorded at 80 mg/kg bw/day. No treatment-related effects on body weight, food consumption, blood and urine parameters, organ weights and necropsy findings were noted. The female group receiving 250 mg/kg bw/day gained slightly less weight (86% of the weight gained by the controls) from week 4 to week 8. Examination of the animals during the Functional Observation Battery did not reveal any treatment-related effect.

Under the experimental conditions of the study, the NOEL was reported by the applicant to be 80 mg/kg bw/day.

Ref.: 5

Comments

In the study described above, absolute and relative thyroid gland weight was slightly decreased (respectively -19% and -13%) in the 250 mg/kg bw/day group. According to the study authors, these effects were considered of no toxicological importance (no dose-response relationship and without relevant histopathological abnormalities). However, since also in the reproductive study effects on the thyroid were observed, these effects might be of relevance. For more discussion on the goitrogenic effects of resorcinol see section 3.3.14.

The SCCS considers the 80 mg/kg bw/day as a NOAEL.

NTP study

In this 13-week oral Toxicity Study in F344/N rats and B6C3F₁ mice, resorcinol was administered 5 days per week by gavage at dose levels of 0, 32, 65, 130, 260 and 520 mg/kg bw/d to male and female rats (10 animals per dose), and 0, 28, 56, 112, 225 and 420 mg/kg bw/d to male and female mice (10 animals per dose). Animals were observed twice daily for mortality and weekly for signs of toxicity. Animals were weighed at the start of the study and weekly thereafter. Blood samples for haematology and clinical chemistry were collected at termination. In addition to standard clinical parameters, measurements of triiodothyronine (T3) and thyroxine (T4) were performed in the control and 130 mg resorcinol/kg bw/d 5 days per week groups. All animals were subjected to macroscopic examination. Organ weights were recorded for adrenal glands, brain, heart, right kidney,

liver, lungs, and thymus for all animals, and the right testis of all males. Histopathological examination was performed on animals from the control, 260 and 520 mg/kg bw/d groups.

Results

Rats

All female and 8 male rats from the high-dose group died from resorcinol-related toxicity during the first four weeks of the study. On day 2 of the study, rats from the 260 mg/kg bw/d group were given 520 mg/kg bw/d by mistake. Within 5 days, two males and four females in this group died. These deaths were attributed to incorrect dosing because no further deaths occurred among rats receiving the correct dose during the study. The final mean body weights and changes in mean body weights of rats receiving resorcinol were similar to those of the controls. Tremors were observed in high-dose rats of both sexes. No differences were observed in haematology or clinical chemistry parameters that could be attributed to the resorcinol administration. The few significant differences in various parameters were scattered among the groups, but none were considered biologically significant. The levels of T3 and T4 in the 130 mg resorcinol/kg bw/d 5 days per week group were comparable to the control values. There were no gross or microscopical lesions attributable to resorcinol administration. Changes in organ weights were recorded in the liver of both sexes and in the adrenal glands of males. Absolute and relative liver weights of males dosed with 130 mg/kg bw/d or 260 mg/kg bw/d were statistically significantly ($p < 0.01$) increased compared to controls. For females, statistically significant increased absolute liver weights were recorded after doses higher than 32 mg/kg bw/d. The EFSA Panel noticed that the increases in liver weights in the treated groups were slight, with no marked dose-response relationships, and not accompanied by any changes in clinical chemistry parameters indicative of impaired liver function, or by any histopathological changes. The EFSA Panel considered therefore that the effect on the liver weight was not biologically significant. The absolute and relative weights of the adrenal glands in males from all dosed groups were statistically significantly increased ($p < 0.01$) compared to the controls. The EFSA Panel noted that the absolute adrenal weights were low in the male controls, that no dose-response relationship was apparent, and that the changes in adrenal weights were not accompanied by histopathological findings (NTP, 1992). Due to the incorrect dosing of the animals in the 260 mg resorcinol/kg bw/day dose-group the Panel concluded that this dose-group should not be used to define the NOAEL.

Mice

In the high-dose group seven mice of each sex died during the first week of the study, another male died during week 4 and another female died during week 12. The authors of the study attributed these deaths to resorcinol-related toxicity. Furthermore, one male died in the 112 mg/kg bw group due to improper gavage technique. The final body weights of the 2 surviving high-dose male mice were statistically significantly less than controls. The final body weights and changes in body weights of all other mice receiving resorcinol were similar to those of the controls. Clinical signs of toxicity recorded for the high-dose animals were dyspnoea, prostration, and tremors. These signs appeared within 30 minutes of dosing. No resorcinol-related, biologically significant changes in haematology or clinical chemistry parameters were seen. Statistically significant decreases ($p < 0.01$) were noted in absolute and relative adrenal gland weights in males from all dosed groups. The EFSA Panel noticed that there was no dose-response relationship for the decreased adrenal weights and that the changes were not accompanied by microscopical findings. A few other differences in various organ weights were scattered among the study groups, and none were considered biologically significant. There were no gross or microscopic lesions attributable to resorcinol administration (NTP, 1992).

Conclusion

The EFSA Panel considered 130 mg resorcinol/kg bw/day as the NOAEL in rats.

Based on the clinical effects reported the EFSA Panel concluded that the NOAEL was 225 mg resorcinol/kg bw/d in B6C3F1 mice. The Panel noticed that the dose causing mortality was less than two-fold greater than this NOAEL.

Ref.: 10, EFSA (2010)

Comment

The SCCS agrees with this evaluation. Interestingly, in contrast to other repeated dose toxicity studies, in this study acute toxic effects were only observed at the highest dose of 520 mg/kg bw/day (rats) and 420 mg/kg bw/day (mice).

3.3.5.3. Chronic (> 12 months) toxicity

See section 3.3.7. Carcinogenicity

3.3.6. Mutagenicity / Genotoxicity

3.3.6.1 Mutagenicity / Genotoxicity *in vitro*

Taken from SCCP/1117/07

Bacterial reverse mutation assay

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Guideline: | Not indicated |
| Species/strain: | TA 98, TA 100, TA 1535, TA 1537, TA102 |
| Replicates: | Three replicates in two independent experiments |
| Test substance: | Resorcinol |
| Solvent: | Degassed purified water |
| Batch: | 706030517 |
| Purity: | > 95% |
| Concentrations: | Experiment 1: 1.6, 8, 40, 200, 1000, 5000 µg/plate Experiment 2: 51.2, 128, 320, 800, 2000 and 5000 µg/plate and TA 102 was also tested at: 3.28, 8.19, 20.48 µg/plate |
| Treatment: | Standard plate incorporation assay and experiment 2 with metabolic activation was done with the pre-incubation assay. Both experiments were conducted with and without Aroclor 1254 induced rat liver S9-mix. |
| GLP: | In compliance |
| Date: | 24 March – 23 April 2004 |

Resorcinol was assayed for mutation in five histidine-requiring strains of *Salmonella typhimurium*, both in the absence and in the presence of metabolic activation.

An initial toxicity range-finder experiment was carried out in strain TA100 in the absence and presence of metabolic activation, using concentrations of resorcinol at 1.6, 8, 40, 200, 1000 and 5000 µg/plate, plus. Evidence of toxicity was observed at the highest dose level in the absence and presence of S9-mix, expressed as a marked decrease in revertant numbers. Negative (solvent) and positive controls were included according to OECD guidelines.

Results

In experiment 1, no toxicity was observed. In experiment 1, a statistically significant increase in revertants was observed at a single dose level for strains TA1537 (at 200 µg/plate) and TA102 (at 8 µg/plate) in the absence of S9-mix. These increases showed no evidence of a dose response, and were not reproducible in Experiment 2. No statistically significant, dose-related increases in revertant numbers were observed in any other strain in the absence or presence of metabolic activation.

In experiment 2, evidence of toxicity in the form of a marked decrease in revertant numbers and/or a thinning of the background lawn was observed at the highest test dose for strains TA98 and TA1535 in the absence of S9-mix and strains TA98 and TA102 in the presence of S9-mix. There were no indications of any increase in revertant numbers in any tester strains or any concentrations tested.

Conclusion

Under the test conditions used, resorcinol did not induce gene mutations in bacteria.

Ref.: 6

Taken from SCCP/1117/07

***In vitro* Gene Mutation Assay (mouse lymphoma assay, *tk*^{+/-} locus)**

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Guideline: | OECD 476 |
| Species/strain: | Mouse lymphoma cell line L5178Y (<i>tk</i> locus) |
| Replicates: | Duplicates in two independent experiments |
| Test substance: | Resorcinol |
| Solvent: | DMSO |
| Batch: | 706030517 |
| Purity: | 99.5% (Area% without response factor, UV detection) |
| Concentrations: | First experiment without S9-mix and second experiment with and without S9-mix: 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 mM First experiment with S9-mix: 0.313, 0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5 and 10 mM |
| Treatment | 3 h treatment with and without Aroclor induced S9-mix. |
| GLP: | In compliance |
| Date: | 9 June – 16 August 2004 |

The test substance was examined for its mutagenic activity in the L5178Y *tk*⁻ mouse lymphoma test in the absence and presence of metabolic activation. A preliminary toxicity test was conducted. Following the 3-hour treatment without S9-mix, a moderate to marked toxicity was noted at dose-levels ≥ 1 mM (50-75% decrease in adjusted relative suspension growth (Adj. RSG). Following the 3-hour treatment with S9 mix, a moderate to marked toxicity was observed at dose-levels ≥ 0.2 mM (51-77% decrease in Adj. RSG).

Results

The highest concentration tested, 10 mM (corresponding to 1100 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) showed no precipitation in the culture medium. Without metabolic activation, in both experiments, a moderate to marked toxicity was noted at all dose-levels as shown by 54-76% decrease in Adj. RSG. Significant increases in the mutation frequency (up to 4.8-fold the vehicle control value) were observed following the 3-hour treatment in both experiments. In the first experiment, the increase was clearly concentration related. In both experiments, there was an increase in large and in small colonies. In the second experiment, the increase was mainly in small colonies, indicating mutagenic as well as clastogenic potential.

With metabolic activation, a slight to marked toxicity was observed at dose-levels ≥ 5 mM, as shown by 30-78% decrease in Adj. RSG. In the first experiment, a clear concentration related increase in mutation frequency was observed. The increase was relatively weak and at the highest concentration (10 mM) a 1.9 fold increase was achieved compared to the control. This increase could not be reproduced in the second experiment, which did not indicate any increase in mutation frequency at the tested concentrations and is therefore not considered biological relevant.

Conclusion

Under the test conditions used Resorcinol is considered genotoxic (mutagenic and or clastogenic) in the absence of metabolic activation.

Taken from SCCP/1117/07***In vitro* micronucleus test**

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Guideline: | Draft OECD 487 |
| Species/strain: | Human peripheral blood lymphocytes from two female donors |
| Replicates: | Duplicates in two independent experiments |
| Test substance: | Resorcinol |
| Solvent: | Purified water |
| Batch: | 706030517 |
| Purity: | >99.5% (Area% without response factor) |
| Concentrations: | Experiment 1: with S9-mix: 360.4, 563.2 and 704 µg/ml Experiment 1: without S9-mix: 60.47, 75.59 and 94.49 µg/ml Experiment 2: with S9-mix: 704, 880 and 1100 µg/ml Experiment 2: without S9-mix: 94.49, 184.5 and 704 µg/ml |
| Treatment: | Experiment 1: 24 h mitogen stimulation followed by 20 h treatment + 28h recovery period (-S9-mix) 24 h mitogen stimulation followed by 3 h treatment + 45 h recovery period (+S9-mix) Experiment 2: 48 h mitogen stimulation followed by 20 h treatment + 28 h recovery period (-S9-mix) 24 h mitogen stimulation followed by 3 h treatment + 45 h recovery period (+S9-mix) Both experiments with and without Aroclor induced rat liver S9-mix |
| GLP: | in compliance |
| Date: | 7 June – 20 July 2004 |

To calculate the replication index (RI), 500 cells per replicate (1000 cells per dose) were examined for proportions of mononucleate, binucleate and multinucleate cells. 1000 binucleate cells from each culture (2000 cells per dose) were analysed for the occurrence of micronuclei. A broad concentration range (from 31 to 1100 µg/ml), separated by narrow intervals was evaluated for the test item to define as closely as possible the test concentration at which the replication index (RI) was reduced by approximately 60%. This test concentration was used as the highest concentration to be evaluated. Two lower test concentrations were selected to cover a range of low (none) to maximum (60%) cytotoxicity.

Results

Experiment 1 with metabolic activation resulted in cytotoxicity between 23 to 55%. The frequency of micronucleated binucleate (MNBN) cells were significantly higher compared to the control at all three concentration tested in a dose dependent manner. Without metabolic activation cytotoxicity was between 0 to 60%. The frequency of MNBN cells was elevated and at the two highest concentrations a significant increase was observed.

Experiment two with metabolic activation resulted in cytotoxicity between 24 to 57%. There were no indications of an increase in frequencies of MNBN cells compared to the control. Without metabolic activation cytotoxicity was between 23 to 55%. The frequency of micronucleated binucleate (MNBN) cells were significantly higher compared to the control at all three concentration tested in a dose dependent manner.

Conclusion

Resorcinol induced micronuclei in cultured human peripheral blood lymphocytes following 20+28 hour treatment in the absence of metabolic activation, where treatment commenced either 24 or 48 hours following mitogen stimulation. Increased frequencies of

micronucleated cells were also observed following 3+45 hour treatment in the presence of metabolic activation where treatment commenced 24 hours post mitogen stimulation. No such increases in micronucleated cells were observed following 3+45 hour treatment in the presence of S9-mix where treatment commenced 48 hours post mitogen stimulation at concentrations up to its limit of cytotoxicity. Based on these results, Resorcinol is evaluated as clastogenic in the absence of metabolic activation and the results were equivocal in the presence of metabolic activation in this *in vitro* assay, since the positive result in the first experiment could not be reproduced in the second experiment.

Ref.: 8

Submission VI, 2009

***In vitro* Gene Mutation Assay (mouse lymphoma assay, *hprt* locus)**

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Guideline: | OECD 476 (1997) |
| Species/strain: | Mouse lymphoma cell line L5178Y (<i>hprt</i> locus) |
| Replicates: | Duplicates in two independent experiments |
| Test substance: | Resorcinol |
| Solvent: | Degassed purified water |
| Batch: | 706061001 |
| Purity: | 96.8% (titre by potentiometry) |
| Concentrations: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Experiment 1 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Without S9-mix: 100-200-400-650-800-950 and 1101 µg/mL - With S9-mix: 100-200-300-400-500-650-800-950 and 1101 µg/mL • Experiment 2 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Without S9-mix: 100-200-400-600-800-1000 and 1101 µg/mL - With S9-mix: 100-200-400-600-800-1000 and 1101 µg/mL |
| Treatment: | 3 h treatment with and without Aroclor induced S9-mix. |
| GLP: | In compliance |
| Date: | May 2009 |

Resorcinol was evaluated in two independent experiments using duplicate cultures each (single cultures for positive controls). In both experiments it was tested at concentrations up to 1101 µg/mL (equivalent to 10 mM, the maximal recommended concentration), and all concentrations tested were selected to determine viability and 6-TG resistance. The expression period was 7 days and cells were plated for viability after 6 days. At the end of the expression period, acceptable cultures were then plated for viability (2 plates per culture, 7-8 days) or 6-TG resistance (4 plates per culture, 12 days). Negative (solvent) and positive controls were included according to OECD guidelines.

Result

Resorcinol was tested up to the maximum recommended concentration. In experiment 1, the relative survival at 1101 µg/ml was 19% and 29% with and without S9-mix respectively. In experiment 2, the relative survival was 16% with S9-mix and 35% without S9-mix at the highest concentration tested. No statistical significant increases in mutant frequency were observed at any concentration tested either with or without S9-mix. The mutant frequencies of the treated cultures fell within the historical negative control range of the test laboratory (based on the last 20 experiments). The positive controls gave a distinct increase in mutant frequency.

Conclusion

Under the test condition used, resorcinol did not induce gene mutations in the mouse lymphoma assay at the *hprt* locus either in the presence or in the absence of metabolic activation

Ref.:34

3.3.6.2 Mutagenicity/Genotoxicity *in vivo*

Taken from SCCP/1117/07

Mammalian Erythrocyte micronucleus test

Guideline: OECD 474
 Species/strain: CrI:CD (SD)BR rats
 Group size: 5 male and 5 female in each group
 Test substance: Resorcinol
 Lot no: 706030517
 Purity: >99.5% (Area % without response factor, UV detection)
 Dose level: 125, 250 and 500 mg/kg bw
 Route: Oral gavage (only once)
 Vehicle: Water
 Sacrifice times: 24 h and 48 h (highest dose only)
 GLP: In compliance
 Date: 28 October 2004 – 25 May 2005

Dose selection was based on findings in the dose range finding study for toxicity covering a range of 100 to 750 mg/kg bw administered to three males and three females and observed for up to two days for toxic signs and mortality. Based on the results of this study, the maximum tolerated dose was estimated to be 500 mg/kg bw. At least 2000 PCEs per animal were analysed for the frequency of micronuclei. In addition, the ratio between polychromatic and total erythrocytes in at least the first 500 total erythrocytes per animal was analysed.

Results

The test article caused mortality in one female and signs of clinical toxicity in the treated animals at 500 mg/kg bw, including tremors, rapid respiration, salivation, and squinted eyes. There were no indications of increases in micronucleated PCEs at any concentrations tested in either males or females at 24 h and 48 h harvest time-points. There was a significant decrease in the PCE:NCE ratios in females at 500 mg/kg bw at the 48 h harvest time-point indicating cytotoxicity and that the test article had reached the bone marrow.

Conclusion

It is concluded that under the test conditions reported in this study, Resorcinol did not induce clastogenic activity determined by this *in vivo* assay.

Ref.: 9

3.3.7. Carcinogenicity

Taken from SCCP/1117/07

A 2-year carcinogenicity study was conducted by administering resorcinol (> 99% pure) in water by gavage to groups of F3344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice of each sex. Under the conditions of the study, there was no evidence of carcinogenic activity of resorcinol in male F344/N rats given 112 or 225 mg/kg bw/day or female F344/N rats given 50, 100, or 150 mg/kg bw/day. There was no evidence of carcinogenic activity of resorcinol in male or female B6C3F1 mice given 112 or 225 mg/kg bw/day.

Groups of 60 male rats and male and female mice were administered 0, 112, or 225 mg/kg bw resorcinol in deionized water by gavage, five days per week for up to 104 weeks. Groups of 60 female rats were initially administered the same doses as male rats, but by week 22 of the study 16 of the high-dose females had died. Consequently, the female rat study was restarted using doses of 0, 50, 100, or 150 mg/kg bw.

After 15 months of exposure, interim evaluations were performed on 10 animals from each group. No chemical-related changes in clinical pathology parameters or incidence of neoplasms or non-neoplastic lesions were found during the 15-month interim evaluations.

Body weights and survival: mean body weights of high-dose male rats were 10% to 15% lower than those of the controls from week 87 to study termination. Mean body weights of high-dose female rats were 11% to 14% lower than those of controls from week 95 to study termination. Mean body weights of other dosed rat groups were similar to those of controls. Survival of high-dose male and female rats was significantly lower than controls. Decreased survival in high-dose groups was attributed to chemical-related toxicity.

Mean body weights of high-dose female mice were 10% to 15% lower than those of controls from week 85 to study termination, whereas those of the remaining dosed mouse groups were similar to those of the controls. Survival of dosed mice was similar to that of controls. Clinical signs suggestive of a chemical-related effect on the central nervous system, including ataxia, recumbency, and tremors, were observed at 100 and 150 mg/kg bw in rats and 112 and 225 mg/kg bw in mice.

Neoplasms and Non-neoplastic: there were no treatment-related increased incidences of neoplasms or non-neoplastic lesions in rats or mice administered resorcinol for 2 years.

Mammary gland fibroadenomas occurred at significantly reduced incidences in all exposed groups of female rats (25/50, 14/50, 12/50, 9/50). The incidence of subcutaneous fibroma or sarcoma in high-dose male mice was significantly lower than for the controls (8/50, 6/50, 1/50).

Conclusions on carcinogenicity

Under the conditions of the study, there was no evidence of carcinogenic activity of resorcinol in male F344/N rats given 112 or 225 mg/kg bw or female F344/N rats given 50, 100, or 150 mg/kg bw. There was no evidence of carcinogenic activity of resorcinol in male or female B6C3F1 mice given 112 or 225 mg/kg bw.

Ref.: 10, EFSA 2010

Comment

Based on the acute clinical signs of toxicity, which were considered a resorcinol-related effect on the CNS, the EFSA Panel concluded that the NOAEL was 50 mg resorcinol/kg bw/d. This NOAEL corresponds to a daily dose of 36 mg/kg/d when adjusted from the 5-day dosing week to a 7-day dosing week.

Since the dosing was performed by gavage and the clinical signs lasted 30-60 minutes after dosing, these signs might be the result of the high (local) dose. In the case of dermal application, such effects are not relevant. Therefore, 50 mg/kg bw/day will not be used as the NOAEL for the calculation of the MOS.

3.3.8. Reproductive toxicity

Taken from SCCP/1117/07

3.3.8.1. Two generation reproduction toxicity

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Guideline: | OECD 416 |
| Species/strain: | rat, CrI:CD®(SD) (prior to 01/01/2005 this strain was named CrI:CD®(SD)IGS BR |
| Group size: | 30/sex group, 4 groups |
| Test substance: | Resorcinol |
| Batch: | Lot no. 706010501 |
| Purity: | 99.8% |

Opinion on resorcinol

Dose: 0, 120, 360, 1000 and 3000 mg/L for the F₀ and F₁ generations.
Vehicle: deionized water
Route: oral
Exposure: on a continuous basis in the drinking water for at least 70 consecutive days
GLP: in compliance
Date: 16 December 2003 – 24 September 2004

Five groups of male and female CrI:CD®(SD) rats (30/sex/group) were administered the test article Resorcinol (batch no 706010501) on a continuous basis in the drinking water for at least 70 consecutive days prior to mating. Exposure levels were 0, 120, 360, 1000 and 3000 mg/L for the F₀ and F₁ generations. Vehicle was deionized water. All animals were observed twice daily for appearance and behaviour. Clinical observations, body weights and water and food consumption were recorded at regular intervals for males throughout the study and for females prior to mating and during gestation and lactation.

Results

No F₀ or F₁ parental test article-related deaths or clinical findings were reported. Mean body weights and body weight gains were affected in the 3000 mg/L treatment group F₀ and F₁ animals. The mean water consumption was decreased with ~10% in the 1000 mg/L (F₀ animals only) and with ~20% in the 3000 mg/L treatment group (F₀ and F₁ animals) due to the poor palatability of high concentration of water containing Resorcinol. Decreases in water consumption were not associated with effects on food intake and food utilization.

Reproductive performance (oestrous cycles, mating and fertility indices, number of days between pairing and coitus, and gestation length) and parturition in the F₀ and F₁ animals were unaffected by the test article. Spermatogenic endpoints (mean testicular and epididymal sperm numbers and sperm production rate, motility, progressive motility and morphology) in the F₀ and F₁ males were unaffected by the test article. No test article-related effects were observed on F₁ and F₂ pup survival or the general physical condition of the pups during the pre-weaning period. No test article-related macroscopic findings, organ weight or adverse microscopic target-organ effects were observed in the F₀ or F₁ parental animals. In addition, no test article-related macroscopic findings or effects on organ weights were noted in the F₁ or F₂ pups at the scheduled necropsies; no test article-related macroscopic findings were noted for found dead F₁ or F₂ pups.

No statistically significant test article-related changes in the mean concentrations of T₃, T₄ or TSH were noted in the F₀ or F₁ parental animals or in the F₁ or F₂ pups selected for analysis (PND 4 or PND 21). The higher (but non-significant) TSH values noted at all dose levels in the F₀ males at the scheduled necropsy were not considered test article-related in the absence of effects on T₃ or T₄, organ weights or adverse macroscopic or microscopic findings. Test article-related decreased colloid within the thyroid glands of the 3000 mg/L F₀ males was not considered adverse due to the lack of associated functional effects.

Conclusions

Based on the results of this study, the NOAEL was considered to be 3000 mg Resorcinol/L, which corresponds to ~233 mg/kg bw/day for males over the entire generation, 304 mg/kg bw/day for females during pre-mating and gestation and 660 mg/kg bw/day for females during lactation.

Ref.: 12

Comments

As the mean water consumption was significantly decreased with ~10% in the 1000 mg/L (F₀ animals only) and with ~20% in the 3000 mg/L treatment group (F₀ and F₁ animals), SCCP considers $0.8 \times 3000 = 2400$ mg Resorcinol/L as the NOAEL. This corresponds to ~186 mg/kg bw/day for males over the entire generation, ~243 mg/kg bw/day for females during pre-mating and gestation and ~528 mg/kg bw/day for females during lactation.

| |
|-------------------------|
| 3.3.8.2. Teratogenicity |
|-------------------------|

Guideline: OECD Guideline 414
Species/strain: rat, Sprague-Dawley CrI CD® (SD) IGS BR
Group size: 24 females/group; 4 groups
Test substance: Resorcinol
Batch: 706030517
Purity: 98.8%
Dose: 40, 80 or 250 mg/kg bw/day, control group received vehicle (purified water)
Route: oral
Exposure: once daily via gavage from GD 6-19
GLP: in compliance
Date: 1 – 25 June 2004

Four groups of Sprague-Dawley CrI CD® (SD) IGS BR rats (24 females/group) were administered with the test item, Resorcinol, by gavage once daily from day 6 to day 19 of gestation at the dose-level of 0, 40, 80 or 250 mg/kg bw/day. The females were sacrificed on day 20 of gestation and subjected to a macroscopic examination.

The numbers of corpora lutea, implantations and live foetuses were recorded. The foetuses were removed from the uterus, weighed, sexed and externally examined. Half of the foetuses underwent soft tissue examination while the remaining foetuses received a skeletal examination.

Results

At 250 mg/kg bw/day the net body weight change was significantly reduced. No other maternal effects were observed. All group mean numbers of implantations and live foetuses and the extent of pre- and post-implantation losses were comparable with the controls.

There were no effects of treatment on foetal body weight. In the litters, no external, soft tissue or skeletal malformations or variations were considered to be treatment-related. There was a significantly increase in the incidence of foetuses with an incompletely ossified interparietal at 40 and 80 mg/kg bw/day, when compared to controls ($p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$, respectively). The incidence of incompletely ossified parietals was also significantly greater at 80 mg/kg bw/day, when compared to controls ($p < 0.05$). In the absence of any effects at 250 mg/kg bw/day these observations were not considered to be treatment-related.

Conclusion

The maternal NOAEL of Resorcinol administered by gavage to pregnant female rats was 80 mg/kg bw/day and the developmental NOAEL was 250 mg/kg bw/day.

Ref.: 11

3.3.9. Toxicokinetics

No data submitted

3.3.10. Photo-induced toxicity

No data submitted

3.3.11. Human data

In publicly available literature², resorcinol has also been described to exert effects on the thyroid due to interruption of the synthesis of thyroid hormones.

² Lynch B.S et al. Toxicology Review and Risk Assessment of Resorcinol: Thyroid Effects (2002). Reg. Tox. Pharm 36, 198-210

3.3.12. Special investigations

No data submitted

3.3.13. Safety evaluation (including calculation of the MoS)**CALCULATION OF THE MARGIN OF SAFETY****(Resorcinol)**

(oxidative conditions)

| | | |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Absorption through the skin (mean + 2SD) | A | = 2.06 µg/cm² |
| Skin Area surface | SAS | = 580 cm² |
| Dermal absorption per treatment | SAS x A x 0.001 | = 1.19 mg |
| Typical body weight of human | | = 60 kg |
| Systemic exposure dose (SED) | SAS x A x 0.001/60 | = 0.02 mg/kg bw |
| No observed adverse effect level (90-day study and maternal toxicity in teratogenicity study, oral, rat) | NOAEL | = 80 mg/kg bw |

| | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Margin of Safety | NOAEL / SED | = 4000 |
|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------|

3.3.14. Discussion*Physico-chemical properties*

Resorcinol is used in oxidative hair colouring products at a maximum concentration of 2.5%, which after mixing in a 1:1 ratio with hydrogen peroxide just prior to use, corresponds to a concentration of 1.25% upon application. The stability of resorcinol in the marketed products is not reported.

*General toxicity***Relevance of anti-thyroid effects of Resorcinol**

In the review on resorcinol published by the Health Council of the Netherlands in 2004 (Committee on Updating of Occupational Exposure Limits, Ref. 33) and in IPCS report published in 2006, several human case studies and animal studies are described in which effects on the thyroid were investigated:

Animal data*1. Acute toxicity studies*

A single subcutaneous injection of 154 mg/kg bw Resorcinol in rats produced myxoedema and goitre whereas a similar injection of 50 mg/kg bw failed to produce any such disturbance in rat thyroid. In contrast, a temporary reduction of iodine uptake in the thyroid was noted in rats subcutaneously exposed to 5 mg/kg bw (ACGIH, 1998; Lundberg, 1992).

2. Repeated dose toxicity studies

Oral exposure to 5 mg/kg bw/day for 12 weeks resulted in an early stage of goitre in rats (Seffner et al, 1995). In another study (Cooksey et al, 1985) a similar exposure to 5 mg bw/day for 30 days had resulted in significant enlargement of the thyroid gland and decreased T₃ and T₄ levels in Wistar rats. In contrast, multiple (2/day) subcutaneous daily doses of 100 mg/kg bw for 14 or 30 days to male Sprague-Dawley rats did not result in adverse changes in thyroid function indicated by serum T₃ and T₄ (Merker et al, 1982). Also, in subacute, subchronic, and chronic oral studies performed in rats by the NTP no detectable

effects on thyroid function were found (NOAEL 27.5, 32 and 50 mg/kg bw/day, respectively).

Based on these data, the thyroid was considered to be a target organ for (sub-chronic) exposure to resorcinol. However, the Health Council of the Netherlands (Committee on Updating of Occupational Exposure Limits) attached more importance to the NTP studies than to the studies of Seffner et al. and Cooksey et al. and questioned the relevance of the thyroid effects found by these authors because in each case only one concentration was used and thyroidal effects were not substantiated with measurements of thyroid function (Seffner study).

In contrast, a problem with the NTP study is that oral gavage may not optimally reveal goitrogenic effects as oral gavage is associated with an initially high C_{max} and a rapid clearance from the blood.

In vitro and in vivo data indicate that the anti-thyroidal activity of resorcinol is caused by inhibition of thyroid peroxidase enzymes, resulting in decreased thyroid hormone production and increased proliferation due to an increase in the secretion of TSH (IPCS, 2006).

In conclusion, evidence of anti-thyroid activity of resorcinol in animals is only demonstrated when administered continuously (diet, sc injection with oil-based vehicle) or sub-cutaneous at higher doses. Additionally, it was stated that 'effects of resorcinol on the thyroid, particularly in rats, must be interpreted with caution as there are species-specific differences [...] that complicate interpretation of goitrogenesis in these species' and '...it has been suggested that high doses of substances that cause hormonally induced changes of the thyroid in rodents, have little relevance to humans'

Human data

In a review paper by Lynch et al (2002) case reports of thyroid dysfunction after exposure to Resorcinol have been described. Recurrent exposure over a prolonged period to high doses (greater than 30 mg/kg bw/day) may induce reversible hypothyroidism (after cessation of Resorcinol exposure symptoms of hypothyroidism rapidly disappeared). Also in De Groot et al (1994) case reports of reversible hypothyroidism have been described in patients with persistent skin ulcers. These patients are treated with Resorcinol-containing ointments containing high doses (~12.5-40%) of Resorcinol for several weeks or months. Epidemiological studies indicate that exposure via inhalation and dermal contact with concentrations of Resorcinol found in occupational settings is not sufficient to cause thyroid abnormalities. The few cases of hypothyroidism reported in cross-sectional studies of exposed workers may simply reflect the background occurrence of this disorder. The prevalence of hypothyroidism in exposed workers does not appear to be unusual when compared with general population values. The hypothesis of a link between Resorcinol in drinking water and the occurrence of goitre and hypothyroidism is speculative and has not been evaluated adequately.

Conclusion

Based on the human data as reviewed by Lynch et al (2002) and IPCS (2006), thyroid effects may occur as a result of dermal exposure to ulcerized skin at resorcinol dose levels greater than 30 mg/kg bw/day. From these data, a thyroid effect threshold value of 10 mg/kg bw/day for dermal exposure was established based on the application of a threefold safety factor. However, high dose exposure has been rare in the past and has occurred mainly in patients as a result of the treatment of ulcers with large amounts of Resorcinol for a long period of time. Based on Lynch et al (2002), there is no evidence that intermittent or low-dose exposure to Resorcinol causes hypothyroidism or any other adverse health effects.

Summary of general toxicity studies

Based on acute oral toxicity study, resorcinol was found to be non-lethal at 200 mg/kg bw. Based on the results of a 90-day sub-chronic oral toxicity study, the NOAEL following oral gavage administration of resorcinol was 80 mg/kg bw/day.

Based on data from a two-generation toxicity study the NOAEL for parental systemic and reproductive toxicity, as well as neonatal toxicity was 2400 mg resorcinol/L, which corresponds to ~186 mg/kg bw/day for males over the entire generation, ~243 mg/kg bw/day for females during pre-mating and gestation and ~528 mg/kg bw/day for females during lactation.

Based on the results of a prenatal developmental toxicity study the maternal NOEL of resorcinol administered by gavage to pregnant female rats was 80 mg/kg bw/day and the developmental NOEL was 250 mg/kg bw/day.

In the chronic/carcinogenicity study in rats, clinical signs were observed in the mid-and high dose females (112 and 150 mg/kg bw/day) and in both male groups (112 and 225 mg/kg bw/day). No effects were seen in females receiving 50 mg/kg bw/day. Since the dosing was performed by gavage and the clinical signs lasted 30-60 minutes after dosing, these signs might be the result of the high (local) dose. The SCCS considers that in the case of dermal application, such effects are not relevant. Therefore, 50 mg/kg bw/day will not be used as the NOAEL for the calculation of the MOS.

For the calculation of the MOS, the NOAEL of 80 mg/kg bw/day of maternal toxicity in the rat teratogenicity study and of the 90-day sub-chronic oral toxicity study in rats can be used. The threshold for the thyroid effects of 10 mg/kg bw/day in humans would, therefore, be adequately covered by the MOS.

Irritation / sensitisation

A 2.5% aqueous solution of resorcinol was not irritant when applied to rabbit skin. A 2.5% concentration of resorcinol caused mild conjunctival irritation to the rabbit eye.

Resorcinol induced contact sensitisation in a LLNA. According to the grading scheme used by the SCCS (SCCP/0919/05), resorcinol should be considered as a strong sensitizer.

Dermal absorption

As too few evaluable chambers were available in the experiment under oxidative conditions, the mean + 2SD, i.e. 2.06 µg/cm², may be used for calculating the Margin of Safety of resorcinol under oxidative conditions.

Mutagenicity / genotoxicity

Resorcinol was investigated in valid genotoxicity tests for the three types of genotoxic endpoints: gene mutation, structural and numerical chromosome aberration. Resorcinol did not induce gene mutations in bacteria. Resorcinol was genotoxic (mutagenic and or clastogenic) in the absence of metabolic activation in the mouse lymphoma assay and a potent clastogen in human peripheral blood lymphocytes. However, resorcinol did not induce gene mutations (*hprt* locus) in the same mammalian cell line (mouse lymphoma cells). It is therefore concluded that the positive result observed in the first performed mouse lymphoma assay was a clastogenic effect.

The clastogenic effects observed in the *in vitro* assays could not be confirmed in one *in vivo* assay. It is therefore concluded that resorcinol itself does not have a genotoxic potential *in vivo*.

This conclusion is based on the data submitted to SCCS, but it is also supported by information from the open literature (see: *Additional References on Mutagenicity, chapter 6. References*). Overall, resorcinol did not induce mutations in bacteria in a number of studies. Only in one non-guideline study (Gocke, 1981) resorcinol induced point mutations in the *Salmonella typhimurium* strain TA100 without metabolic activation and in strain TA1535 with metabolic activation when tested with a special bacterial minimal medium.

Several studies showed that resorcinol induced chromosomal aberrations in mammalian cells *in vitro*. In a range of non GLP studies from the open literature (from the 1980s) resorcinol did not induce micronuclei in the bone marrow of mice.

Carcinogenicity

Under the conditions of the study, there was no evidence of carcinogenic activity of resorcinol in male F344/N rats given 112 or 225 mg/kg bw/day or female F344/N rats given 50, 100, or 150 mg/kg bw/day. There was no evidence of carcinogenic activity of resorcinol in male or female B6C3F1 mice given 112 or 225 mg/kg bw/day.

4. CONCLUSION

Resorcinol is a strong sensitiser.

The SCCS is of the opinion that the use of resorcinol as an ingredient in oxidative hair dye formulations with a maximum on-head concentration of 1.25% will not pose a risk to the health of the consumer, apart from its sensitising potential.

Studies on genotoxicity/mutagenicity in finished hair dye formulations should be undertaken following the relevant SCCNFP/SCCP opinions and in accordance with its Notes of Guidance.

Resorcinol is also used as a food additive and was recently evaluated by EFSA. The exposure from other sources has not been considered in this EFSA-opinion.

5. MINORITY OPINION

Not applicable

6. REFERENCES

The references in italics (15-32) were not submitted by the applicant as full reports in the present dossier, since the studies reported therein were not considered to be adequate.

1. G. Sire. Resorcinol (A011): Acute Oral Toxicity in Rats – “Fixed Dose Method”. CIT Study No. 26937TAR, 2004
2. G. Sire. Resorcinol (A011): Acute Dermal Irritation in Rabbits. CIT Study No. 26939 TAL, 2004
3. G. Sire. Resorcinol (A011): Acute Eye Irritation in Rabbits. CIT Study No. 26938 TAL, 2004
4. G. Sire. Resorcinol (A011): Evaluation of Skin Sensitisation Potential in Mice using the Local Lymph Node Assay (LLNA). CIT Study No. 26940 TSS, 2005
5. O. Foulon. Resorcinol (A011): 13-Week Toxicity Study by Oral Route (Gavage) in Rats Followed by a 4-Week Treatment-Free Period. CIT Study No. 26890 TCR, 2005
6. L. Williams. Resorcinol (A011): Reverse Mutation in five Histidine-requiring strains of *Salmonella typhimurium*. Covance Study No. 413/67, 2005
7. G. Sire. Resorcinol (A011): In Vitro Mammalian Cell Gene Mutation Test in L5178Y TK+/- Mouse Lymphoma Cells. CIT Study No. 27065 MLY, 2004
8. J. Whitwell. Resorcinol (A011): Induction of micronuclei in cultured human peripheral blood lymphocytes. Covance Study No. 413/68, 2004

9. G. Erexson. Resorcinol (A011): *In Vivo* Rat Micronucleus Assay in Resorcinol (A011). Covance Study No. 6182-114, 2005
10. National Toxicology Program. Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Resorcinol in F344/N Rats and B6C3F1 Mice. Technical Report Series 403. NIH Publication No. 92-2858, July 1992
11. O. Foulon. Resorcinol (A011): Prenatal Developmental Toxicity Study by Oral Route (Gavage) in Rats. CIT Study No. 26889 RSR, 2005
12. M. Nemec. A Drinking Water Two-Generation Reproductive Toxicity Study of Resorcinol in Rats. WIL Study No. 455003, 2005
13. F. Toner. The *In Vitro* Percutaneous Absorption of Radiolabelled Resorcinol Through Human Skin. Inveresk Study No. 774075, Report No. 24566, 2005
14. R. Groult. Resorcinol (A011): Validation of the Analytical Method and Determination of Homogeneity and Stability of Dosage Forms. CIT Study No. 26936 AHS, 2004
15. I. Osterburg. *Resorcin: Oral (gavage) teratology study in the rat. Hazleton Study No. 64-213/5, 1982*
16. M. Nemec. *A Drinking Water Dose Range-Finding Reproductive Toxicity Study of Resorcinol in Rats. WIL Study No. 455004, 2003*
17. M. Nemec. *A 14-Day Drinking Water Palatability Study of Resorcinol in Rats. WIL Study No. 455002, 2004*
18. R. Kynoch. "Acute Oral Toxicity to Rats of Resorcinol". Huntingdon Research Centre, Cambridgeshire – ENGLAND, Report 4802/D1/75, 1975
19. R. Kynoch. "Irritant Effects of Resorcinol on Rabbit Skin". Huntingdon Research Centre, Cambridgeshire – ENGLAND, Report 4984/3D/75, 1975
20. R. Kynoch. "Irritant Effects of Resorcinol on Rabbit Eye Mucosa". Huntingdon Research Centre, Cambridgeshire – ENGLAND, Report 4996/2D/75, 1975
21. K.H. Schulz. "Vergleichende Untersuchungen über das Sensibilisierungs-vermögen verschiedener Haarfarbstoffe". Universitäts-Hautklinik, Hamburg, No Report Number, 1976
22. M. Potokar. "Resorcin: Subakute oral Toxizität an Ratten bei einer versuchsdauer von 12 Wochen". HENKEL Kommanditgesellschaft auf aktien, Hauptabteilung Toxikologie, Düsseldorf. No Report Number, 1980
23. Gericke, D. "Bericht über Prüfung von Sustanzen auf mutagene Wirkung". HOECHST AG, Labor für Krebsforschung, Frankfurt/Main. No Report Number, 1976
24. R. Hastwell. "Testing for Mutagenic Activity of 22 Hair Colourant Components". Inveresk Research International (I.R.I), Report n° 824, 1977
25. W.E. Rinehart. Bio/Dynamics Inc.: "A modified segment II Teratology Study of Hair-Dyes in Mice". Submitted to: Clairol Inc. – Stamford, Connecticut, Report Project n° 76-1667, 1977
26. R. Korte. Reprotox: "Prüfung auf Embryotoxische Wirkung an der Maus – Resorzin". Reprotox – Münste r- DEUTSCHLAND, Auftragsnummer 123, 1978
27. I.Osterburg, "Resorcin: Oral (Gavage) Teratology Study in the Rat". Hazleton Laboratories Deutschland GmbH, D – Münster, Report n° 64-213/5, 1982
28. W.E. Rinehart. Bio-Dynamics: "A Modified Segment II Teratology Study on Hair Dyes in Rabbits". Project n° 76-1666, 1977
29. F.X.Wazeter. "Multigeneration Reproduction Study in Rats". International Research and Development Corporation, Michigan, USA, Report n° 355-002 (a), 1977
30. E.I.Goldenthal. "Lifetime Chronic Toxicity/carcinogenesis Study in rats". International Research and Development Corporation, Michigan, USA, Report n° 355-003 (a), 1979
31. Hossack "An in-vivo and in-vitro Evaluation of the Immunosuppressive Action of Resorcinol, 4-Amino-diphenylamine, 2,5-Diamino-1-methylbenzene and 4-Amino-2-hydroxy-1-methylbenzene", Huntingdon Research Centre , Report n° 16/77632, 1977
32. ECETOC Technical Report N°87. Contact Sensitisation: Classification According to Potency. ISSN-0773-8072-87. Brussels, April 2003
33. Health Council of the Netherlands: Committee on Updating of Occupational Exposure Limits. Resorcinol; Health-based Reassessment of Administrative Occupational Exposure Limits. The Hague: Health Council of the Netherlands, 2004; 2000/15OSH/139.

Submission VI, 2009

34. 6. M. Lloyd. Resorcinol (A011): Mutation at the *hprt* locus of L5178Y Mouse Lymphoma cells using the Microtitre® fluctuation technique. Covance Study Number 413/172, 2009

Additional references:

- American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH); resorcinol. In: TLVs® and other occupational exposure values – 1998 [CD-ROM]. Cincinnati OH, USA: ACGIH®, 1998
- EC (2007). Commission Regulation No 1451/2007 of 4 December 2007 on the second phase of the 10-year work programme referred to in Article 16(2) of Directive 98/8/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of biocidal products on the market. OJ L325, p.3, 11.12.2007
- Cooksey RC, Gaitan E, Lindsay RH, Hill HB, Kelly K (1985). Humic substances, a possible source of environmental goitrogens. *Organic Geochemistry*, 8(1):77–80.
- De Groot AC, Weyland JW, Nater, JP (1994). Unwanted effects of cosmetics and drugs used in dermatology. 3rd ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam
- EFSA (2010). EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added to Food (ANS); Scientific Opinion on the use of Resorcinol as a food additive on request from the European Commission. *EFSA Journal* 2010;8(1):1411 [41 pp.].
- International Programme on Chemical Safety (2006). RESORCINOL (first draft). Concise International Chemical Assessment Document 71. World Health Organization. ISBN 92 4 153071 5
- Lundberg P, ed. Consensus report for resorcinol. *Arbete och Hälsa* 1992; (47): 16-20
- Lynch B.S, Delzell E.S., Bechtel D.H. Toxicology Review and Risk Assessment of Resorcinol: Thyroid Effects (2002). *Reg. Tox. Pharm* 36, 198-210
- Merker PC, Yeung D, Doughty D, Nacht S (1982) Pharmacokinetics of resorcinol in the rat. *Research Communications in Chemical Pathology and Pharmacology*, 33(3):367–388.
- SCCP/0919/05. Memorandum; classification and categorisation of skin sensitisers and grading of test reactions. Adopted by the SCCP during the 5th plenary meeting of 20 September 2005
- Seffner W, Schiller F, Heinze R, Breng R (1995) Subchronic application of humic acids and associated compounds provokes histological changes of goitre in the rat. *Experimental Toxicology and Pathology*, 47:63–70.

Additional References on Mutagenicity considered by SCCS, but not individually cited in the text:

- Bracher M, Swistak J, Noser F, 1981. Studies on the potential in vivo induction of sister-chromatid exchanges in rat bone marrow by resorcinol. *Mutat. Res.* 91, 363-369.
- Crebelli R, Paoletti A, Falcone E, Aquilina G, Fabri G, Carere A, 1985. Mutagenicity studies in a tyre plant: in vitro activity of workers' urinary concentrates and raw materials. *Br. J. Ind. Med.* 42, 481- 487.
- Darroudi F and Natarajan AT, 1983. Cytogenetic analysis of human peripheral blood lymphocytes (in vitro) treated with resorcinol. *Mutat. Res.* 124, 179-189.
- Florin I, Rutberg L, Curvall M, Enzell CR, 1980. Screening of tobacco smoke constituents for mutagenicity using the Ames' test. *Toxicology* 15, 219-232.
- Galloway SM, Armstrong MJ, Reuben C, Colman S, Brown B, Cannon C, Bloom AD, Nakamura F, Ahmed M, Duk S, Rimpo J, Margolin BH, Resnick MA, Anderson B, Zeiger E, 1987. Chromosome aberrations and sister chromatid exchanges in Chinese hamster ovary cells. Evaluations of 108 chemicals. *Environ Mol Mutagen* 10 (Suppl 10), 1-175.

- Gocke E, King M-T, Eckhardt K and Wild D (1981). Mutagenicity of cosmetics ingredients licensed by the European Communities. *Mutation Research*, 90 (1981) 91-109
- Ahmed M, Duk S, Rimpo J, Margolin BH, Resnick MA, Anderson B, Zeiger E, 1987. Chromosome aberrations and sister chromatid exchanges in Chinese hamster ovary cells. Evaluations of 108 chemicals. *Environ Mol Mutagen* 10 (Suppl 10), 1-175.
- Haworth S, Lawlor T, Mortelmans K, Speck W, Zeiger E, 1983. Salmonella mutagenicity test results for 250 chemicals. *Environ. Mutagen.* 5 (Suppl. 1), 3-142.
- Hossack DJN and Richardson JC, 1977. Examination of the potential mutagenicity of hair dye constituents using the micronucleus test. *Experientia* 33, 377-378.
- IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer), 1999. Monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. Re-evaluation of some organic chemicals, hydrazine and hydrogen peroxide. Vol. 71, Part Three, 1119-1131. World Health Organization, IARC, Lyon, France.
- Jansson T, Curvall M, Hedin A and Enzell CR, 1986. In vitro studies of biological effects of cigarette smoke condensate II. Induction of sister-chromatid exchanges in human lymphocytes by weakly acidic, semivolatile constituents. *Mutat. Res.* 169, 129139.
- Jansson T, Curvall M, Hedin A, Enzell CR, 1988. In vitro studies of biological effects of cigarette smoke condensate III. Induction of SCE by some phenolic and related constituents derived from cigarette smoke. A study of structure-activity relationship. *Mutat. Res.* 206, 17-24.
- JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives), 2000. Phenol and phenol derivatives. Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants (55th meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee in Food Additives). WHO Food Additives Series, No. 46, Geneva, 2001. Available from: <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v46je09.htm>
- Lynch BS, Delzell ES, Bechtel DH, 2002. Toxicology review and risk assessment of resorcinol: Thyroid effects. *Regulatory Toxicol. Pharmacol.* 36, 198-210.
- McCann J, Choi E, Yamasaki E, Ames BN, 1975. Detection of carcinogens as mutagens in the Salmonella/microsome test: Assay of 300 chemicals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 72, 5135-5139.
- McGregor DB, Brown A, Cattanach P, Edwards I, McBride D, Caspary WJ, 1988. Responses of the L51786 tk+/tk- mouse lymphoma cell forward mutation assay to coded chemicals. II. 18 coded chemicals. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* 11, 91-118.
- Nakamura S, Oda Y, Shimada T, Oki I, Sugimoto K, 1987. SOS-inducing activity of chemical carcinogens and mutagens in Salmonella typhimurium TA1535/pSK1002: examination with 151 chemicals. *Mutat. Res.* 192, 239-246.
- NTP (National Toxicology Program), 1992. Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Resorcinol (CAS No. 108-46-3) in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice (gavage studies), NTP Technical Reports Series No. 403, NTP, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA
- Paschin YV, Bakhitova LM, Benthien TI, 1986. Increased antimutagenic activity of simple substituted phenols mixed with the hindered phenolic antioxidant dibunol. *Food. Chem. Toxicol.* 24, 881-883.
- Probst G S, McMahon RE, Hill LE, Thompson CZ, Epp JK, Neal SB. 1981. Chemically induced DNA synthesis in primary rat hepatocyte cultures: comparison with bacterial mutagenicity using 218 compounds. *Environ. Mutagen.* 3, 11-32.
- Sakano Y, Tsuyoshi T, Kobayashi Y, Andoh H, Masamoto Y, 1985. The role of oxygen free-radicals in the mutagenesis of divalent phenols. *Mutat. Res.* 147:272-273.
- Schulz VR, Schwanitz G, Winterhoff H, 1982. Investigations on the mutagenic and clastogenic activity of resorcin: cytogenetic findings from different types of human cells. *Arzneimittelforschung* 32, 533-536.
- Seiler JP, 1977. Inhibition of testicular DNA synthesis by chemical mutagens and carcinogens: preliminary results in the validation of a novel short term test. *Mutat. Res.* 46, 305-310.
- Shahin MM, Bugaut A, Gilard P, Kalopissis G, 1980. Studies on the mutagenicity of resorcinol and hidroxi-3-(p-amino)aniline-6,N-[(p-amino)phenol]benzoquinonemonoimine-1,4 in Salmonella typhimurium. *Mutat. Res.* 78, 213-218.

- Stich HF, Rosin MP, Wu CH, Powrie WD, 1981. The action of transition metals on the genotoxicity of simple phenols, phenolic acids and cinnamic acids. *Cancer Lett.* (Shannon, Ireland) 14, 251-260.
- Wild D, King MT, Eckhardt K, Gocke E, 1981. Mutagenic activity of aminophenols and diphenols, and relations with chemical structure. *Mutat. Res.* 85, 456 (Abstract).
- Zimmering S, Mason JM, Valencia R, Woodruff RC, 1985. Chemical mutagenesis testing in *Drosophila*. II. Results of 20 coded compounds tested for the National Toxicology Program. *Environ. Mutagen.* 7, 87-100.