



Safety IMprovement for PATients In Europe

Project findings, lessons and future

B. van Beek, S.Cucic www.simpatie.org







SIMPATIE is about:

Using Europe-wide networks of organizations, experts, professionals and other stakeholders to establish, within two years, a common European set of vocabulary, indicators, internal and external instruments for improving safety in health care.





Consortium partners



• AvMA/ (Action against Medical Accidents

LMCA / Long Term Medical Conditions Alliance)

• CoE (Council of Europe)

• CPME (Comité Permanent des Médecins Européens)

• HOPE (European Hospital and Healthcare

Federation)

• ESQH (European Society for Quality in Healthcare)

• HAS (Haut Autorité de Santé former ANAES)

• CBO (Dutch Institute for Healthcare Improvement) consortium leader



Project is sponsored by the EC / DG SANCO, as part of the Information for Policy Program





EC/DG SANCO sponsored

* *

* *

* *

- Promotion CoE's recommendation on management of patient safety and prevention of adverse events in health care Rec. (2006)7
- Knowledge repository of legislation, regulation actions and best practice (national and European)

Tool box:

- Safety vocabulary (definitions) and indicators formulated
- External evaluation and instruments for improvement
- Internal evaluation and instruments for improvement









- 22 countries
- Reference, expert group, validation
- Questionnaire:
 national,
 local/organization
 level, specialist
 resources for safety
- Good practice compendium

Lessons learned:

- > Inclusion of all MS difficult
- ➤ Useful resource if validated and updated
- ➤ Information for professionals, practice, research and policy

Possible way forward:

Expert network and Competent Authorities (EUNetPaS project)









- Adopted by Committee of Ministers May 24, 2006
- Draft used for development other WP (glossary, country overview, medication safety)
- Web based forum www.simpatie.org
- Dissemination project and other meetings
- Further publications in preparation

Lessons learned:

- Participation of all member states
- Representation by formal nomination
- Slow process
- More technical expertise could be useful
- Dissemination plan





Tool box: definitions and indicators



- International expert group and literature / sources based in a national centre
- Vocabulary 24 terms: detection- analysis of risk, resulting actions, failure mode
- 43 Indicators: riskharm reduction, specific

Lessons learned:

- Many national / local lists, EU cooperation has added value
- Relevance/ appropriateness, validity / reliability and feasibility
- Recommendation: use in all MS, some MS, can be used

Next steps:

- Maintain technical exchange
- → 9-10 Jan 2008 Odense DK
- Data availability / comparability





Tool box: safety solutions



- National expert group, international resources and consultation, based in national agency
- Tools for analysis of incidents / risk: retrospective and prospective
- Intervention approaches: system / organization and process / professional level

Lessons learned:

- Many interventions being developed all over
- Generic solutions with national / local adaptation
- > Similar intervention framework

Future soon:

- → Testing international solutions (High 5s'; WHO/CwF 3MS)
- Technical cooperation and solutions repository







Consensus conference

- Two third of project period invitational conference
- Discussed preliminary findings with participants
- Recommendations on three levels;
 EU level, national level,
 provider/HCO level





Tool box: external evaluation



- Leading national agency performs an international study including consultation with international experts
- Improvement, accountability; assessing safety initiatives, actual performance, cost efficacy ratios

- Focus: from safety of goods and individuals to clinical standards and governance to dynamic interfaces and patient participation to global management and safety culture
- How do evaluation programs address those issues: definition of requirements (standards, goals, indicators), survey methods, credible decisions and follow-up actions





EE lessons and future



easy

European harmonization:

- Common general goals and principles
- Port folio of common methods (a minimum tool platform)
- Common standards
 - Physical standards
 - Clinical governance
 - Organization and system approach
- Monitor performance
- Process of evaluation

Proposed European Actions:

- Make existing information available to MS: programs of external evaluation applicable to HCOs and on hospital quality
- Adopt principles for external evaluation (International Accreditation Program of ISQua)
- Incorporate into those principles
 European requirements on patient
 safety as they are being adopted







For and with patients



1. Identify

Patient organizations focused on safety often can not be reached through 'general' patient associations, targeted effort to identify in each MS needed

2. Facilitate and include

Issue of resources is crucial, mostly voluntary organizations, networking on EU level desired and could be facilitated... coordinate through EPF but assure structural representation in safety issues

3. Involve

- All actions to include expertise on patient experiences and communication with them
- > Specific actions on safety priorities as defined by patients









- All WPs reports available on WWW.SIMAPTIE.ORG
- Data to be transferred to Simpatie follow up EUNetPas
- Project coordination by Haut Autorité de Santé (HAS)
 Thank you for your attention!



This paper was produced for a meeting organized by Health & Consumer Protection DG and represents the views of its author on the subject. These views have not been adopted or in any way approved by the Commission and should not be relied upon as a statement of the Commission's or Health & Consumer Protection DG's views. The European Commission does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this paper, nor does it accept responsibility for any use made thereof.