

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 What is the name of your organisation?**

FRANCOIS Rose Marie

### **1.2 What stakeholder group does your organisation belong to?**

Consumer

#### **1.2.1 Please specify**

### **1.3 Please write down the address (postal, e-mail, telephone, fax and web page if available) of your organisation**

4 rue jules Pottier F 59320 Sequedin

## **2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION**

### **2.1 Are the problems defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?**

No

### **2.2 Have certain problems been overlooked?**

Yes

#### **2.2.1 Please state which one(s)**

Le règlement permet d'avoir des informations précises sur la qualité des produits.

### **2.3 Are certain problems underestimated or overly emphasized?**

Underestimated

#### **2.3.1 Please indicate the problems that have not been estimated rightly**

La résistance aux maladies , l'appétence..

#### **2.4 Other suggestions or remarks**

## **3. OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW**

### **3.1 Are the objectives defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?**

Yes

### **3.2 Have certain objectives been overlooked?**

Yes

#### **3.2.1 Please state which one(s)**

Sécurité alimentaire , liée à la parfaite connaissance des variétés produites : seul un organisme officiel peut sécuriser ces informations , pour l'inscription de variété autorisée à la vente. (dans certains pays du sud de l'U.E des gazons très laids sont vendus car il n'y a pas de règle technique pour cela)

### **3.3 Are certain objectives inappropriate?**

No

#### **3.3.1 Please state which one(s)**

### **3.4 Is it possible to have a regime whereby a variety is considered as being automatically registered in an EU catalogue as soon as a variety protection title is granted by CPVO?**

No

**3.5 If there is a need to prioritise the objectives, which should be the most important ones? (Please rank 1 to 5, 1 being first priority)**

**Ensure availability of healthy high quality seed and propagating material**

**Secure the functioning of the internal market for seed and propagating material**

**Empower users by informing them about seed and propagating material**

**Contribute to improve biodiversity, sustainability and favour innovation**

1

**Promote plant health and support agriculture, horticulture and forestry**

2

**3.6 Other suggestions and remarks**

Un règlement précis , sérieux , appliqué à tous , permet le developpement d'un marché animé d'une saine compétitivité. De plus , il évite les fraudes , nombreuses là ou il n'y a pas de règlement (pureté , mauvaises herbes , mauvaise variété)

**4. OPTIONS FOR CHANGE**

**4.1 Are the scenarios defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?**

No

**4.2 Have certain scenarios been overlooked?**

Yes

**4.2.1 Please state which one(s)**

scenario 5 : aucune sécurité , pas confiance aux entreprises

**4.3 Are certain scenarios unrealistic?**

Yes

**4.3.1 Please state which one(s) and why**

scenario 5

**4.4 Do you agree with the reasoning leading to the discard of the "no-changes" and the "abolishment" scenarios?**

Yes

**4.5 Other suggestions and remarks**

**5. ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS**

**5.1 Are the impacts correctly analysed in the context of S&PM marketing?**

No

**5.2 Have certain impacts been overlooked?**

Yes

**5.2.1 Please state which one(s)**

Sécurité des consommateurs Traçabilité des semences et des plantes

### **5.3 Are certain impacts underestimated or overly emphasized?**

Underestimated

#### **5.3.1 Please provide evidence or data to support your assessment:**

L'importance de la valorisation génétique par des notes sérieuses et officielles (pas privées)

### **5.4 How do you rate the proportionality of a generalised traceability/labelling and fit-for-purpose requirement (as set out in scenario 4)?**

5 = not proportional at all

### **5.5 How do you assess the possible impact of the various scenarios on your organisation or on the stakeholders that your organisation represents?**

#### **Scenario 1**

Very beneficial

#### **Scenario 2**

Fairly beneficial

#### **Scenario 3**

Rather negative

#### **Scenario 4**

Rather negative

#### **Scenario 5**

Very negative

#### **5.5.1 Please state your reasons for your answers above, where possible providing evidence or data to support your assessment:**

Notes officielles : très important pour la sécurité du consommateur

## **6. ASSESSMENT OF SCENARIOS**

### **6.1 Which scenario or combination of scenarios would best meet the objectives of the review of the legislation?**

Scenario with new features

#### **6.1.1 What are your views with regards to combining elements from the various scenarios into a new scenario?**

#### **6.1.1 Please explain the new scenario in terms of key features**

Des tests officiels mais avec des partenariats entre pays , pour diminuer les couts.

### **6.2 Do you agree with the comparison of the scenarios in the light of the potential to achieve the objectives?**

No

#### **6.2.1 Please explain:**

Trop d'incertitude sur la qualité des informations concernant les variétés

## **7. OTHER COMMENTS**

### **7.1 Further written comments on the seeds and propagating material review:**

L'importance de maintenir le choix , avec des entreprises de taille moyenne ou petites , qui n'auront les mêmes moyens que les multinationales pour préparer et tester leur variété : des essais officiels de notations et de distinction sont très importants

**7.2 Please make reference here to any available data/documents that support your answer, or indicate sources where such data/documents can be found:**

Le catalogue des variétés de gazon Les notes dans la revue Elevage Laitier des semences pour prairies.

