

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 What is the name of your organisation?

FEDEPOM

1.2 What stakeholder group does your organisation belong to?

Other

1.2.1 Please specify

FEDEPOM is a french organisation representing breeders and suppliers in seed potatoes

1.3 Please write down the address (postal, e-mail, telephone, fax and web page if available) of your organisation

FEDEPOM, 43,45, rue de NAPLES, 75008,PARIS, 01/44/69/42/33 fax 01/42/69/42/31 mail: federation@fedepom.org

2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

2.1 Are the problems defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

No

2.2 Have certain problems been overlooked?

Yes

2.2.1 Please state which one(s)

registration of varieties and control for phytosanitary purposes are necessary. The european legislation must improve food security both in quality and quantity

2.3 Are certain problems underestimated or overly emphasized?

Overestimated

2.3.1 Please indicate the problems that have not been estimated rightly

th the french registration and certification system is made under official supervision. The cost is already paid by users

2.4 Other suggestions or remarks

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE REVIEW

3.1 Are the objectives defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

No

3.2 Have certain objectives been overlooked?

Yes

3.2.1 Please state which one(s)

The productivity mustn't be forgiven. It must be one of the objecives

3.3 Are certain objectives inappropriate?

Yes

3.3.1 Please state which one(s)

Seed potato market has his own specific rules due mainly to phytosanitary aspects. Starvation in Ireland during the 19th century was not a joke.

3.4 Is it possible to have a regime whereby a variety is considered as being automatically registered in an EU catalogue as soon as a variety protection title is granted by CPVO?

No opinion

3.5 If there is a need to prioritise the objectives, which should be the most important ones? (Please rank 1 to 5, 1 being first priority)

Ensure availability of healthy high quality seed and propagating material

1

Secure the functioning of the internal market for seed and propagating material

2

Empower users by informing them about seed and propagating material

Contribute to improve biodiversity, sustainability and favour innovation

Promote plant health and support agriculture, horticulture and forestry

3.6 Other suggestions and remarks

4. OPTIONS FOR CHANGE

4.1 Are the scenarios defined correctly in the context of S&PM marketing?

No

4.2 Have certain scenarios been overlooked?

Yes

4.2.1 Please state which one(s)

Optimisation of the system by reducing costs must be relevant but without forgoing the phytosanitary purpose.

4.3 Are certain scenarios unrealistic?

Yes

4.3.1 Please state which one(s) and why

It's necessary to have an official control, scenarii 3 and 4 are not réalistic

4.4 Do you agree with the reasoning leading to the discard of the "no-changes" and the "abolishment" scenarios?

Yes

4.5 Other suggestions and remarks

5. ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS

5.1 Are the impacts correctly analysed in the context of S&PM marketing?

No

5.2 Have certain impacts been overlooked?

Yes

5.2.1 Please state which one(s)

In seed potatoes, the french registration system has allowed companies to grow. This standard influence the all world.

5.3 Are certain impacts underestimated or overly emphasized?

Underestimated

5.3.1 Please provide evidence or data to support your assessment:

Phytosanitary and health security must be improved.

5.4 How do you rate the proportionality of a generalised traceability/labelling and fit-for-purpose requirement (as set out in scenario 4)?

5 = not proportional at all

5.5 How do you assess the possible impact of the various scenarios on your organisation or on the stakeholders that your organisation represents?

Scenario 1

Fairly beneficial

Scenario 2

Fairly beneficial

Scenario 3

Very negative

Scenario 4

Very negative

Scenario 5

Don't know

5.5.1 Please state your reasons for your answers above, where possible providing evidence or data to support your assessment:

Rules and official control is necessary.

6. ASSESSMENT OF SCENARIOS

6.1 Which scenario or combination of scenarios would best meet the objectives of the review of the legislation?

A combination of scenarios

6.1.1 What are your views with regards to combining elements from the various scenarios into a new scenario?

no answer

6.1.1 Please explain the new scenario in terms of key features

6.2 Do you agree with the comparison of the scenarios in the light of the potential to achieve the objectives?

No

6.2.1 Please explain:

The costs for the companies mainly in scenarii 3 and 4 , will be much more expensive than the cost for controlling a standard

7. OTHER COMMENTS

7.1 Further written comments on the seeds and propagating material review:

7.2 Please make reference here to any available data/documents that support your answer, or indicate sources where such data/documents can be found:

