Stock-flow adjustment (SFA) for the Member States, the euro area and the EU28 for the period 2012-2015, as reported in the April 2016 EDP notification

The main factors contributing to changes in government debt other than government deficit/surplus (stock-flow adjustment)

The stock-flow adjustment (SFA) explains the difference between the change in government debt and the government deficit/surplus for a given period. Although SFAs generally have legitimate explanations, they are closely monitored by Eurostat during quality checks of data for the excessive deficit procedure (EDP)¹, to ensure adherence to statistical rules and consistency across the reported data.

Conceptually, the stock-flow adjustment can be distinguished into the following categories: net acquisition of financial assets, debt adjustment effects and statistical discrepancies. The main purpose of this note is to explain the individual elements of the SFA, and analyse their patterns and trends.

Countries with exceptionally large SFAs in absolute terms deserve particular attention, even though these values normally have appropriate explanations. Close consideration should also be given to large but offsetting values.

Figure 1 shows the 2015 SFA for each Member State, together with the government deficit/surplus (reversed sign) and the change in government debt, expressed as a percentage of GDP. Five countries exhibit an SFA larger than 3% of GDP in absolute terms: Greece (-11.9%), Denmark (-5.7%), Latvia (-4.3%), the Netherlands (-3.4%) and Ireland (-3.2%).

In absolute terms, Greece reported the highest SFA (negative) in 2015, which is mainly due to the Greek government returning EFSF's bonds issued in 2012 and 2013 that have not been used to recapitalize credit institutions.

Denmark has the second largest SFA (negative) for 2015, mainly explained by a decrease in currency and deposits relating to repayment of debt as well as by a decrease in tax receivables.

In Latvia, the negative SFA is mainly due to early redemption of debt.

The significant negative SFA for the Netherlands in 2015 is linked mainly to the redemption and sales of claims and of equity, acquired by the Dutch government as a


¹ Council Regulation 479/2009, as amended, requires the prompt and regular reporting of deficit and debt data by Member States to Eurostat. For definitions of government deficit and debt, and of consolidation, see the Methodological Annex.



result of support to financial institutions during the financial crisis, and to the cancellation of interest rate swaps.

Similarly in Ireland, the negative SFA is mainly related to the redemption and conversion of preference shares of Irish banks acquired during the financial crisis.

Figure 1: Government deficit/surplus (reversed sign), change in government debt, and stock-flow adjustment as percent of GDP: 2015 figures



General government (S. 13) deficit/surplus

Change in general government (S.13) consolidated gross debt o Stock - flow adjustment (SFA)

⁽reversed sign)

Introduction

It is widely known that deficits contribute to an increase in debt levels, while surpluses reduce them. However, as Figure 1 shows, the change in government debt also reflects other elements. A positive SFA means that the government debt increases more than the annual deficit (or decreases less than implied by the surplus). On the contrary, a negative SFA means that the government debt increases less than the annual deficit (or decreases more than implied by the surplus).

SFAs have legitimate accounting explanations. The change in the stock of debt does not originate only from the deficit but could be affected, for example, by loans granted by government or by equity injections into corporations, which do not appear in the deficit figures. The importance of the SFA has been emphasized many times, as an efficient statistical monitoring of fiscal performance requires understanding the coherence between the two key fiscal indicators – government deficit and debt. Closely monitoring SFAs can also highlight data quality problems: governments might, for example, have an incentive to underreport their deficits by reporting transactions under the SFA.

Figure 2: Evolution of change in debt and annual deficit in the EU28 in percent of GDP, 2012-2015




Figure 2 shows the evolution of the EU28 SFAs (measured as the gap between the two lines): 0.4% of GDP in 2012, -0.1% of GDP in 2013, 0.1% of GDP in 2014 and -0.9% of GDP in 2015. This trend indicates a slowdown in the EU28 government debt accumulation which is more pronounced than the reduction in the EU28 deficit.

Table 1 shows the SFAs for the years 2012-2015, as a percentage of GDP. The last column shows average SFAs over the last four years, indicating whether SFAs offset each other over time (with average SFAs at close to zero).

While the majority of the annual SFAs reported by countries for 2012-2014 are positive, the reverse is true in 2015. Large negative SFAs can be observed for Greece for the whole EDP reporting period (2012-2015). The particularly large negative SFA for Greece in 2012 was due to government debt alleviation (so-called PSI –



private sector involvement). Substantial *negative* SFAs can also be seen for Denmark and Latvia in 2015 and for Ireland, Cyprus, and Poland in 2014.

On the other hand, high **positive** SFAs, exceeding 4% of GDP, are reported by Bulgaria, Slovenia and Sweden for 2014, Croatia and Cyprus for 2013, Cyprus, Portugal and Slovakia for 2012. Estonia, Luxembourg, Romania, Slovenia and Finland report positive SFAs for all four years.

More than half of the annual SFAs observed for the Member States over 2012-2015 exceed 1% of GDP, in absolute values. For a majority of Member States in 2012 and 2014, and for eight Member States in 2013 and 2015, the SFAs exceed 2% of GDP in absolute values.

Table 1:	Stock-flow	adjustment,	in	percent	of GDP,
2012-2015	5				

	2012	2013	2014	2015	average
EU 28	0.4	-0.1	0.1	-0.9	-0.1
Euro area	1.3	0.0	0.1	-0.9	0.1
BE	-0.2	-0.6	0.3	-0.8	-0.3
BG	1.4	-0.1	4.8	-1.5	1.2
CZ	1.0	-0.4	-2.4	0.0	-0.5
DK	-3.5	-1.1	2.5	-5.7	-2.0
DE	2.7	-0.7	0.3	-0.1	0.5
EE	3.8	0.8	1.7	0.1	1.6
IE	3.6	-2.7	-10.2	-3.2	-3.1
EL	-35.6	-4.5	-4.1	-11.9	-14.0
ES	3.7	0.4	0.6	-1.5	0.8
FR	0.7	0.0	0.1	-0.9	-0.1
HR	-0.2	6.0	-1.4	-1.6	0.7
П	2.1	2.1	1.1	-0.4	1.2
CY	7.4	12.2	-7.1	-0.2	3.0
LV	0.8	-1.5	1.5	-4.3	-0.9
LT	1.8	-1.8	2.8	2.6	1.3
LU	3.7	3.5	2.4	1.2	2.7
HU	-3.4	-0.4	2.2	0.6	-0.3
МТ	-2.7	2.3	0.2	0.8	0.1
NL	1.1	-0.3	-0.9	-3.4	-0.9
AT	-0.6	-0.7	2.3	2.8	1.0
PL	-2.0	-1.1	-6.8	0.2	-2.4
PT	4.0	-0.7	-3.6	-1.3	-0.4
RO	1.3	0.9	2.6	0.4	1.3
SI	2.0	2.0	7.6	1.9	3.4
SK	6.0	0.9	-2.5	-2.2	0.5
FI	3.0	0.8	1.2	1.6	1.6
SE	-0.4	2.1	4.9	1.2	2.0
UK	-2.5	-1.3	0.2	-1.1	-1.2

The following sections present the individual components of the SFA, focusing at the same time at large transactions reported by the Member States.

Categories of stock-flow adjustment -Factors contributing to the general government debt

The SFA is made up of 17 elements. This note presents these elements grouped into main categories.

Table 2 presents the SFA elements, as reported to Eurostat by Member States, showing EU28 figures for 2012-2015. Table 3, at the end of this document, details the SFA of each Member State for each year over the period 2012-2015. Its columns are numbered, and cross-references to the data are included throughout the text in brackets.

The starting point of the analysis is the Net borrowing/lending, or Deficit/surplus level (with reverse sign: a deficit is displayed with a positive sign, a surplus with a negative sign) and its contribution to the change in general government debt.

The first SFA category is called Net acquisition of financial assets. These adjustments appear here because financial transactions in assets are not contributing to the deficit, but they lead to increases or decreases in the stock of debt.

A second category of SFA, called Adjustments, includes three sub-categories: The first one includes transactions in those liabilities that are excluded from the government debt definition (Financial derivatives (F.71), Other accounts payable (F.8) and Other liabilities (F.1, F.5, F.6 and F.72).

The second sub-category comprises the effects of face valuation, as shown in the next three lines (Issuances above/below nominal value, Difference between interest (D.41) accrued and paid and Redemptions of debt above/below nominal value), reflecting the fact that government debt, defined in Council Regulation 479/2009, as amended, is measured at face value.

The third sub-category includes the Appreciation/depreciation of foreign-currency debt, reflecting the impact of changes in exchange rates on government debt components those that are denominated in foreign currencies, taking into account hedging activities. Finally, other changes in volume (Changes in sector classification (K.61), and Other volume changes in financial liabilities (K.3, K.4, K.5)) mainly arise from the reclassification of units inside or outside general government, and other rare cases of disappearance of debt that are not reflected in the deficit/surplus.

The third category is the **Statistical discrepancy**, which reflects differences arising from the diversity of data sources and might also indicate problems with the quality of data.

Table 2: Stock-flow adjustment items for the EU28, in million euro, 2012-2015

EU-28				
April 2016 EDP notification	2012	2013	2014	2015
Net borrowing(+)/lending(-)(B.9) of general government (S.13)*	572,645	445,141	416,199	351,562
Net acquisition (+) of financial assets ^(1, 2)	256,158	-37,513	59,861	-83,302
Currency and deposits (F.2)	38,585	-43,614	49,282	-16,489
Debt securities (F.3)	-9,031	-15,700	-13,719	5,479
Loans (F.4) (1, 2)	162,100	18,935	-1,893	-17,672
Increase (+)	2	2	:	:
Reduction (-)	:	:	:	:
Short term loans (F.41), net	14,919	-2,759	1,409	-324
Long-term loans (F.42)	147,181	21,694	-3,302	-17,347
Increase (+)	:	:	:	:
Reduction (-)	:	1	:	:
Equity and investment fund shares/units (F.5)	47,432	-13,086	-7,798	-38,222
Portfolio investments, net	:	-7,598	-2,416	-3,526
Equity and investment fund shares/units other than portfolio investments	:	-5,487	-5,382	-34,696
Increase (+)	:	76,947	72,323	36,873
Reduction (-)	:	-82,434	-77,705	-71,570
Financial derivatives (F.71)	-4,557	-5,282	-4,462	-16,254
Other accounts receivable (F.8)	21,557	21,147	38,382	152
Other financial assets (F.1, F.6)	72	87	70	-297
Adjustments (1)	-190,229	16,419	-29,745	-45,151
Net incurrence (-) of liabilities in financial derivatives (F.71)	6,942	2,485	11,629	18,618
Net incurrence (-) of other accounts payable (F.8)	-43,080	12,222	-57,688	-20,521
Net incurrence (-) of other liabilities (F.1, F.5, F.6 and F.72)	-3,980	-3,883	-4,659	-4,842
lssuances above(-)/below(+) nominal value	-25,144	-13,874	-37,240	-80,301
Difference between interest (D.41) accrued(-) and paid(+)	-1,503	8,760	11,092	18,368
Redemptions/repurchase of debt above(+)/below(-) nominal value	-112,645	1,793	3,564	5,242
Appreciation(+)/depreciation(-) of foreign-currency debt ^{(2) (3)}	-13,270	-1,798	23,699	6,175
Changes in sector classification (K.61) (+/-)	2,848	6,157	20,346	14,234
Other volume changes in financial liabilities (K.3, K.4, K.5)(-)	-397	4,557	-488	-2,124
Statistical discrepancies	-8,938	2,644	-12,535	-2,114
Difference between capital and financial accounts (B.9-B.9f)	-4,477	2,470	-3,920	-5,911
Other statistical discrepancies (+/-)	:	:	:	:
Change in general government (S.13) consolidated gross debt ^(1, 2) ** [the last item of the core table]	629,635	426,692	433,782	220,995
Memorandum item [1=2+3]: overall aggregation effect**		-91,923	99,104	139,648
Memorandum item [2]: consolidation of intergovernmental lending (iql) effect**		-39,476	-9,812	9,314
Memorandum item [3]: forex aggregation effect**		-52,447	108,915	130,334
Memorandum item [4]: Change in the stock of aggregated (consolidated for IGL) general government debt**		334,769	532,886	360,643
Memorandum item [5]: Stock of aggregated (consolidated for IGL) general government debt**	11,249,372	11,584,141	12,117,027	12,477,670

Viennorandum item [5]. Stock of aggregeted (consolidated for IGL) general government debt [17]. 1) Consolidated within general government of a Member State [but not for intergovernmental lending between the EU28 Member States]. 2) Intergovernmental lending (ig) not consolidated in this line. 3) Due to exchange-rate movements, taking into account hedging activities.

Due to exchange-rate movements, taking into account hedging activities. asse note the sign convention in this table for net borrowing / net lending: a positive entry reflects a deficit, a negative entry reflects a surplus. Aggregated data for EU28 are calculated from the nominal figures sent by Member States to Eurostat, using an average exchange rate in the core table (for transactions and other adjustments), bu ng an end of period exchange rate for the memorandum litems [4] and [5], as it is appropriate for conversion of the end-year debt stock. As a consequence, the aggregation of "Change in genera ernment (5.13) consolidated gross debt" for 28 EU Member States [the last item of the core table] is not the same as the "change in the stock of aggregated (consolidated for IGL) genera ernment (6.13) consolidated gross tocks), when the data are converted in error (bit is not the same as the "change in the stock of aggregated (consolidated for IGL) genera ernment (6.13) consolidated gross tocks), when the data are converted in euro [memorandum item [3]]. hould be noted that the core table does not consolidate intergovernmental lending (igl), neither for the item "Loans (F.4)" [assets] nor "Change in general government (S.13) consolidated gross of consolidate intergovernmental lending [memorandum item [2]] and ii) different annual exchange rates used (for wersion of flows and for stocks), when the data are converted in tergovernmental lending (F.4)" [assets] nor "Change in general government (S.13) consolidated gross of the core table does not consolidate intergovernmental lending (igl), neither for the item "Loans (F.4)" [assets] nor "Change in general government (S.13) consolidated gross of the core table does not consolidate intergovernmental lending (igl), neither for the item "Loans (F.4)" [assets] nor "Change in general government (S.13) consolidated gross of the core table does not consolidate intergovernmental lending (igl), neither for the item "Loans (F.4)" [assets] nor "Change in general governme



Net lending (+) / net borrowing (-) (B.9)

The basic factor contributing to the change in government consolidated gross debt is generally the deficit or surplus of the general government sector (column (1) in table 3). Figure 3 illustrates deficits/surpluses in 2012-2015, sorted in descending order of the deficit level in 2015.

In 2015, Luxembourg (1.2%), Germany (0.7%) and Estonia (0.4%) registered a government surplus, Sweden reported a government balance, whilst the lowest government deficits in percent of GDP were recorded in Lithuania (-0.2%), Czech Republic (-0.4%), Romania (-0.7%), and Cyprus (-1.0%). Luxembourg reported a surplus in each of the four years 2012-2015.


Seven Member States had deficits equal or higher than 3% of GDP in 2015: Greece (-7.2%), Spain (-5.1%), the United Kingdom and Portugal (-4.4%), France (-3.5%), Croatia (-3.2%), and the Slovak Republic (-3.0%).

In the euro area the government deficit to GDP ratio decreased from -3.7% in 2012 to -2.1% in 2015, and in the EU28 from -4.3% to -2.4%. The euro area deficit remained below that of the EU28 during the whole reporting period.

The high deficit reported by Greece for 2015 is mainly due to capital injections into banks, which were treated as deficit-increasing capital transfers.

Over the entire period 2012-2015, Slovenia reported the highest deficit (-15.0% of GDP in 2013, mostly due to bank recapitalisations), closely followed by Greece (2013, -13.0% of GDP).

Figure 3: Net lending (+)/net borrowing (-) in percent of GDP, 2012-2015





Net acquisition of financial assets

The net acquisition of financial assets is generally the main factor in the SFA. It reflects the acquisition less disposal of financial assets held by the general government sector in the form of Currency and deposits (F.2), Debt securities (F.3), Loans granted by government to non-governmental units (F.4), Equity and investment fund shares/units (F.5), Financial derivatives (F.71), Other accounts receivable (F.8) and Other financial assets (Monetary gold and SDRs (F.1) and Insurance technical reserves (F.6)).

Transactions in financial assets are reported on a consolidated basis, i.e. excluding transactions with other government units, given that government debt is consolidated within general government. The lending from one unit of government to another is eliminated and is shown neither as acquisition of assets nor as increase in debt. Similarly, the acquisition of government bonds by government units is not shown as acquisition of assets, but as reduction in consolidated debt. The amounts of transactions between sub-sectors can be observed when information at the level of each sub-sector of general government is provided. Such information on SFAs by sub-sector is accessible on the Eurostat website.

Transactions in financial instruments, such as sales of shares, have no direct impact on government debt because they lead to changes in holdings of other types of financial assets, normally currency and deposits. However, there will be a subsequent impact on the debt if government uses the proceeds to repay its debt.

Changes in market value (e.g. holding gains/losses due to price changes, both realized and unrealized) of financial assets owned by general government are not included here but in the revaluation accounts. These have no impact on the government deficit nor on the change in government debt.

Figure 4 shows the evolution of the components of the net acquisition of financial assets for the EU28 over the years 2012-2015. The particularly high value in 2012 is mainly explained by a net increase in Loans (F.4), mostly related to the Member States' participation in the lending of the European Financial Stability Facility (EFSF), recorded as loan assets of the guaranteeing Member States, and to governments' interventions to address the financial crisis and the European debt crisis.

The net acquisition of financial assets turned negative in 2013 due to a large net decrease in Currency and deposits (F.2) and Debt securities (F.3). This downward tendency was reversed in 2014, when governments' accumulation of currency and deposits and increase in other accounts receivable led to a growth in net financial assets. The 2014 increase was reversed in 2015 by a reduction of government holdings of all assets except for debt securities.

Over the reporting period of 2012-2015, the contribution of each component varied significantly. Currency and



deposits (F.2) had a positive contribution to the SFA in 2012 and 2014, whereas more cash was spent than accumulated in 2013 and 2015. Debt securities (F.3) contributed negatively to the net acquisition of financial assets over the whole reporting period except for 2015.

Lending operations increased government financial assets in 2012 and 2013. In 2014, the impact of the loans on the SFA is negligible whilst some 2015 redemptions explain a small portion of the negative SFA.

Equity and investment fund shares/units (F.5) had a significant positive impact in 2012, reflecting governments' acquisitions of equity in the context of financial rescue operations. The net contribution of this item decreased significantly in 2013 and dropped to nearly zero in 2014. The 2015 disposals of equity account for most of the decrease in government financial assets.

Other accounts receivable (F.8) remained positive during the whole reporting period except for 2015, whereas the effect of 'Other financial assets' (F.1 and F.6) and 'Financial derivatives' (F.71) was insignificant.




Figure 4: Net acquisition of financial assets for EU28 (in million EUR), 2012-2015

Note: in this graph intergovernmental lending is not consolidated.

In principle, the information on net acquisition of financial assets must be coherent with financial accounts data published by Member States, and reported to Eurostat under the ESA 2010 transmission programme. However, some deviations may appear, notably due to differences in "vintages" (data compiled at different points of time).

The other sections of this note are devoted to major SFA elements, examining data by country, and focusing on large values. For analytical purposes, the 'Other accounts receivable' (F.8) item is analysed together with Net incurrence of other accounts payable (F.8).

Currency and deposits (F.2)


The Currency and deposits (F.2) position (column (5) in table 3) mainly reflects movements in government deposits with banks, notably with central banks, which can fluctuate substantially from one year to another, in particular due to Treasury operations (including repos). Other government units (e.g. local government, social security funds) also hold bank accounts.

The level of deposits tends to increase along with economic growth. Transactions in Currency and deposits (F.2) might also be influenced from one year to the next by very large operations that lead to large cash inflows or outflows in a given year. For example a large bond issuance might increase the deposits of government if the receipts from the issuance are not used for another purpose like bond redemption or government spending, but are temporarily kept in the bank.

Large accumulations of Currency and deposits (F.2) might reflect governments' measures in the context of the financial crisis (e.g. reinforcement of cash reserves by issuing bonds or by taking loans). This was the case for Lithuania and Slovenia (2014), Croatia and Cyprus (2013), the Czech Republic, Ireland, Greece and Slovakia (2012). The large transaction reported by the Czech Republic for 2012 was the result of new bonds issued by government and a positive balance of EU funds.

On the other hand, large negative values can be noted for Latvia (2015), Ireland and Slovakia (2014) and Denmark, Cyprus and Malta (2012) reflecting drawdowns of cash accumulated in previous years by central government or social security funds. The high negative value for Latvia in 2015 is mainly due to the early redemption of debt. The high negative value for Malta in 2012 reflects the government's decision to lower the amount of cash available for daily use (to avoid further borrowing).

Figure 5: Currency and deposits (F.2) in percent of GDP, 2012-2015





Debt securities (F.3)

Debt securities (column (6) in table 3) mainly reflect net purchases by government (predominantly asset-rich social security funds) of bills, notes, bonds or preference shares issued by financial institutions, nonfinancial corporations, or non-residents (including foreign governments). However, some large investment flows of social security funds do not appear here if they invest primarily or exclusively in government securities, because these transactions are consolidated. This item does not include transactions relating to derivatives, such as swaps, futures, and options, which are reported under a separate item Financial derivatives (F.71) (column (17) in table 3).

Since 2012, this item also includes the notes issued by the ESM or the EFSF. The ESM lending in the form of provision of such notes appears as acquisition of debt securities, together with an increase in debt. A disposal of debt securities is recorded later on, when the Member State decides to use these notes, for instance in a recapitalisation exercise, or, to return them to the ESM (in that latter case, the Member State debt also decreases).

Figure 6 shows a marked dispersion across Member States for this item, many Member States reporting hardly any acquisition of debt securities. Malta and Romania do not hold any debt securities issued by nongovernment units.

The large negative value for Greece in 2015 is related to the Greek government returning bonds issued by the EFSF in 2012 and 2013 to recapitalize credit institutions but which had not been used by the beginning of 2015. The 2012 acquisition of EFSF notes is reflected in the large positive entry for that year. The acquisition of EFSF notes in 2013 is offset by the use of a higher amount of those notes in the same year.

For Ireland the relatively big negative SFA in 2015 is related to the redemption and conversion of preference shares (recorded as debt securities) in Irish banks acquired during financial crisis.


The large negative figure for Cyprus in 2014 is related to bank recapitalisation operations. The government used in 2014 its holdings in Debt securities (F.3), notably floating rate notes issued by the European Stability Mechanism in 2013, to recapitalise a failing bank. The government's increased holdings in these floating rate notes in 2013 are reflected in a large positive entry for that year.

The large accumulation of Debt securities (F.3) for Portugal in 2012 mainly reflects the government's purchase of contingent convertible instruments in three financial institutions. In addition, the social security subsector contributed to this positive figure by increasing its investment in debt securities issued by non-government units. Part of the contingent convertible



instruments acquired by the Portuguese government in 2012 were repaid in 2014 (small amounts also in 2013), which explains the relatively big disposal of Debt securities (F.3) for Portugal in 2014.

Figure 6: Debt securities (F.3) in percent of GDP, 2012-2015



Loans (F.4)

This item (column (7) in table 3) comprises loans to non-government units only, since the figures in this table are consolidated. It predominantly includes lending to public corporations, foreign governments or households (students, etc.). The value of loans grows with increased lending and decreases with loan repayments and loan cancellations. Some loans might be converted into capital injections (recorded as capital transfers or equity injections) which would imply further reduction in this item.

It should be noted that loans granted by government with little expectation of repayment at inception are to be recorded in national accounts as capital transfers (thus entering the deficit), and are therefore not reported here.

It is also worth mentioning that loans for the euro area countries include loan assets related to the lending in the framework of EFSF. As a result, in 2012 most euro area countries exhibited large amounts of loan assets. These amounts of EFSF loans also appear as a loan liability (included in the stock of debt) of each lending Member State.

Slovenia reported large positive values in 2012, 2013 and 2014. The increase in loans in 2012 was due to EFSF lending as well as to hybrid loans provided to financial institutions in the context of the financial crisis. In 2013, it was mainly related to a transfer of claims from recapitalised banks to a bad bank classified in general government, while in 2014 it was due to loans granted to financial corporations in the context of the financial crisis. The negative value in 2015 is related to the conversion of loans into real estate and equity.

Ireland reported a significant decrease in loans for 2014, corresponding to the sale of a loan portfolio by a public defeasance structure.

Greece reported a significant reduction in loan assets in 2013, which reflects mainly the conversion into shares of bridge loans extended to Greek banks in 2012 by the Hellenic Financial Stability Fund (classified inside general government). This conversion was partly recorded as a capital transfer impacting the deficit and partly as an acquisition of equity.

The high positive value for Sweden in 2013 reflects a loan granted by central government to the National Central Bank (Riksbank).

The high positive value for Finland in 2012 is due to the refinancing programme related to export credit.

Figure 7: Loans (F.4) in percent of GDP, 2012-2015




It should be noted that EDP tables require Member States to provide information on the distribution of government's short-term loan (column (10) in table 3) and long-term loan (column (11) in table 3) assets. According to ESA 2010, the maturity of short-term loans is one year or less, while the maturity of long-term loans is more than one year. All Member States provide these items. The data are shown in table 3.



Equity and investment fund shares/units (F.5)

The item Equity and investment fund shares/units (F.5) (column (14) in table 3) captures acquisitions less disposals of equity in corporations by government units, divided into portfolio investments (column (15) in table 3) and non-portfolio investments (column (16) in table 3). The latter may reflect privatisation proceeds, superdividends, or equity injections in public corporations among others. Figure 8 presents these transactions on a net basis.

Figure 8: Equity and investment fund shares or units (F.5) in percent of GDP, 2012-2015



Decreases in Equity and investment fund shares/units (F.5) may mirror privatisation proceeds (including privatisations conducted by special privatisation agencies classified inside general government). Decreases may also result from the application of the so-called "superdividend test", which prescribes that distributions (to their owners) by public corporations in excess of their operational profit (i.e. excluding holding gains/losses) have to be recorded as financial transactions ("withdrawal of equity") rather than government revenue (dividends). Such reclassifications are carried out by many Member States, and can also concern distributions by central banks.

Increases in Equity and investment fund shares/units (F.5) may relate to equity injections by government



(generally in the form of cash provided by government) to specific public corporations and quasi-corporations where government is acting similarly to a private investor and expects a market return on invested funds. Part of the increase in Equity and investment fund shares/units (F.5) reflects Member States' injections in the European Stability Mechanism (in 2012-2014), and in international organisations, as payments of the paid-in capital are considered as equity.

Equity injections create a financing need and therefore may lead to an indirect increase in government debt whilst proceeds from privatisations and equity disposals can finance the Maastricht debt redemptions.

The item Equity and investment fund shares/units (F.5) also captures **portfolio investments** in the form of purchases of quoted shares on the market or of mutual fund shares made by some government units, notably asset-rich social security funds, such as in Luxembourg and Finland. Placements in money market mutual funds are also reported here, in spite of being close substitutes for bank deposits.

Portfolio investments represent holdings of shares which, unlike direct investment, do not entail influence over or control of the issuer by the investor. According to the internationally accepted practical rule applied, this means that the investor holds less than 10% of the total shares of the issuer.

No large acquisitions of equity by the EU governments were observed in 2015. Only the Austrian government increased its equity holding by more than 0.5% of GDP (mainly through the defeasance structure's (HETA) injections to its subsidiaries classified outside general government, earmarked for redemption of their intragroup debt). The higher values in 2012 and 2013 generally signal government interventions in the context of the financial crisis. Large equity injections in financial institutions are reported for Greece (2012 and 2013) and Cyprus (2012).

In recent years, many EU governments have reduced their equity holdings, by unwinding the support measures to public banks provided during the crisis (through privatisation, redemptions and conversions of preference shares and equity withdrawals). This explains, i.a., the negative values reported for: Belgium (2012-2013, 2015), Greece (2014-2015) and the United Kingdom (2013).

Moreover, the process of privatising non-financial public corporations has intensified over the recent years in several Member States, including Portugal (2012-2014), the United Kingdom (2013), Finland and the Slovak Republic (2015). The 2015 decrease in equity holdings of the Swedish government results from equity withdrawals (superdividends) from public corporations and from sales of investment funds shares and equity securities held as portfolio investments by the Swedish pension funds.

Adjustments

Other accounts receivable (F.8), Net incurrence of other accounts payable (F.8) and Financial derivatives (F.71)

Whereas public accounts or budget recordings are often cash based (or partly cash based) in the EU, ESA 2010 follows the accrual principle, namely: recording transactions when the obligation to pay arises, not when the payment is actually made. Consequently, the impact on the financing needs of government does not directly arise from the deficit, as government revenue can be cashed, or government expenditure can be settled, in different accounting periods than the economic transaction itself. Thus two items have to be added in the transition from the deficit to the change in government debt: Other accounts receivable (F.8) and Net incurrence of other accounts payable (F.8) (columns (18) and (22) in table 3).

Other accounts receivable (F.8) mainly include receivables of taxes and social contributions as well as amounts concerning EU transactions (amounts paid by government on behalf of the EU but not yet reimbursed by the EU), trade credits and advances (e.g. advances for future acquisition of goods such as military equipment), and on rare occasions, amounts for wages or benefits paid one month in advance, etc. The value of 'Other accounts receivable' (AF.8) on the government balance sheet tends to increase over time because of nominal GDP growth.

By the same token, entries in Net incurrence of other accounts payable (F.8) include (among others) prepayments for licences (notably mobile phone spectrum licences which are recorded as government revenue only when they are useable), trade credits granted by government suppliers, as well as the grants received from the EU but not yet paid to the final beneficiary or tax refunds not yet settled.


When verifying the EDP tables, Eurostat closely monitors flows of receivables/payables, to avoid large amounts of undocumented receivables/payables and to ensure that the accrual principle is adequately enforced.


Figure 9 shows the net amount of other accounts receivable/payable reported by Member States for the years 2012-2015. The large negative value for Poland (2014) relates to a transfer to government of pension funds' assets, which, in line with ESA 2010 rules, is considered as a financial advance for future payments of pension benefits. For Luxembourg, the considerable negative value in 2014 is explained by high payables of the social security fund, whilst the 2015 negative value for Denmark reflects a decrease in tax receivables.

The significant positive value for Greece in 2013 reflects a sharp decrease in payables, mostly due to settlements of hospitals' arrears. Spain also reported a large reduction of other accounts payable for 2012 due to the state and local government sub-sectors' settlements of



unpaid bills to suppliers. The positive value for 2015 for Lithuania results from the cash/accrual adjustments relating to the closure of the 2007-2013 EU financial perspective.





Member States also provide supplementary information on Other financial assets (F.1, F.6) and Net incurrence of other liabilities (F.1, F.5, F.6 and F.72) (columns (19) and (23) in table 3). These two items are not shown in this section due to their relatively insignificant size (below 0.3% of GDP).

Government entities, notably treasuries, may carry out operations in financial derivatives, such as swaps, futures and options, with the aim to reduce risks related to their debt instruments and for liquidity management purposes. The cash flows related to those operations are recorded in the financial accounts, without impacting the deficit. Financial derivative liabilities are excluded from the government debt (except for off-market swaps, which lead to entries under loans). Individual values are shown in column (21) in table 3. Operations related to financial derivatives (F.71) are not included in Figure 9, even though for some countries, such as Sweden, this component of the SFA might not be negligible. Moreover, in 2015, in several Member States relatively larger transactions in financial derivatives were observed due to the cancellation of interest rate swaps.

Effects of face valuation

These items relate to the fact that government debt is valued at face value.

Governments routinely issue bills, notes and bonds below or above their face value (face value = par value), often in the form of fungible bonds or zero coupon bonds. When the face and issuance values differ, this has consequences on recording in the EDP. Since government debt must be recorded at face value but the proceeds entering Currency and deposits (F.2) correspond to the issue value, the difference must be recorded as *Issuance above(-)/below(+) par* (see column (24) in table 3). Hence, the difference, which has the economic nature of "interest", is recorded as government expenditure not at time of issuance, but only gradually over time. Recently, an increasing number of Member States issues their debt above par.


Similarly an adjustment must be made in the case of early redemption, when government buys back issued bonds, or when a government unit purchases bonds issued by another government unit. The difference between the repurchase value and the face value is presented in the column *Redemptions of debt* above(+)/below(-) nominal value (column (26) in table 3).

For the year 2012, Greece reported an extremely high value under the item *Redemptions of debt below nominal value*, reflecting the impact of the private sector involvement (PSI), which is interpreted as a debt buyback at a discount.

Under ESA 2010, government expenditure on interest should be spread over time, in line with the accrual principle, whereas the cash impact occurs only when interest is actually paid. In addition, interest accrued is excluded from the stock of government debt. The item Difference between interest (D.41) accrued (-) and paid (+) (column (25) in table 3) addresses these two issues. As this item also captures the spreading over time of the premium or discount at issue, positive values may reflect the accrual impact of large amounts of bonds issued in the past at a premium.

Figure 10 shows by country the difference between interest (D.41) accrued and paid for the whole reporting period 2012-2015 in percent of GDP. Under ESA 2010, this item no longer includes any adjustments for payments on swaps and forward rate agreements, because these are now recorded as financial transactions also for EDP purposes.

Figure 10: Difference between interest (D.41) accrued (-) and paid (+) in percent of GDP, 2012-2015



For Greece, the values reported under the item Difference between interest (D.41) accrued and paid were particularly high for the years 2012-2015, in the context of the extensive restructuring of debt: in 2012, the positive amounts mainly reflected the retroactive cancellation, in favour of Greece, of interest accrued on the bilateral loans granted in 2010 under the Greek Loan Facility. In 2013-2015, the negative amounts reflect mainly the deferring of interest payments by ten years on EFSF loans granted to Greece in 2012.




Appreciation/depreciation of foreign currency debt

When a government issues debt denominated in a foreign currency, and not hedged by derivatives, any subsequent depreciation or appreciation of the national currency leads to changes in debt without an impact on the deficit/surplus (shown in column (27) in table 3). Negative entries (reduction in debt) reflect appreciation of the national currency and positive entries (increase in debt) reflect depreciation of the national currency. In addition, at the time of redemption of the hedged debt, the final gain or loss on the instruments are presented under this adjustment entry.

It could be noted from Figure 11 that some Member States have substantial amounts of debt denominated in foreign currency, mostly in euro or in U.S. dollars. Significant depreciation/appreciation of foreign currency debt is observed for Hungary and Poland, but also for Croatia, Portugal, Romania and Sweden.

The adjustments presented in Figure 11 reflect also fluctuation in value of IMF's programme loans to EU countries.

Figure 11: Appreciation/depreciation of foreign currency debt in percent of GDP, 2012-2015





Other changes in volume: Changes in sector classification (K.61) and Other volume changes in financial liabilities (K.3, K.4, K.5)

It might happen that an institutional unit which was classified outside (inside) government is reclassified inside (outside) government. As a result, the debt of the reclassified unit and its claims vis-a-vis government units need to be taken into account in the compilation of the government debt data. The impacts are commonly shown under 'Changes in sector classification' (K.61) (column (28) in table 3).

Figure 12 shows the aggregate impact of both 'Changes in sector classification' (K.61) and 'Other volume changes in financial liabilities' such as changes caused by catastrophic losses (K.3), uncompensated seizures (K.4) and other changes in volume not elsewhere classified (K.5).

Government debt of Austria was considerably increased by reclassifications in 2014 and 2015 of public financial defeasance structures to the general government sector. For Greece, the 2014 positive entry arises from reclassification of a financial corporation supporting the implementation of government policy without any direct exposure to market risks. Finally, the 2012 large negative value for Malta relates to a reclassification of a public market producer outside general government.







Statistical discrepancy

2012-2015

Finally, the Statistical discrepancy (column (30) in table 3) reflects differences arising from the diversity of data sources and might also indicate problems with the reliability of data.

The government sector accounts in national accounts (ESA 2010) are often compiled from a diversity of sources, which may not be fully integrated or completely homogenous, leading to differences between the revenue and expenditure data and the financing data. Differences may also arise between the transactions in debt and other economic flows in debt (i.e. valuation effects and other changes in volume) data, on one hand, and the change in debt data, on the other hand. Deviations may also appear due to differences in "vintages" (data compiled at different points of time).

Discrepancies between the non-financial and the financial accounts often relate to the time of recording of treasury or budget transactions compared to the moment these flow through the banking system. Therefore a notable cause of discrepancies originates from the accrual recording applicable to ESA 2010 data and the difficulty to match cash and accrual data.

The extent of discrepancies can thus be an indicator of the accuracy of the data supplied by Member States. Therefore Eurostat monitors discrepancies carefully to determine if their size is excessive or they accumulate (i.e. are of a same sign) over time. In particular, a continuously positive discrepancy may put into question whether the deficit is appropriately measured.

In general, the statistical discrepancies for the EU28 and the euro area are small and tend to offset over time.

Luxembourg reported the highest level of statistical discrepancies for 2015, considerably larger than the high levels observed for the country in previous years. Relatively high levels of statistical discrepancies for the years 2012-2015 were reported by Austria, Portugal and Belgium. In addition, the statistical discrepancy for Greece increased in 2015 compared to earlier years.




Figure 13: Statistical discrepancy in percent of GDP,



								Та	blo	2. 6	took	flov	v ad	iucto	hon	t tab		in v	oare	201	2 20	15					
Table 3: Stock-flow adjustment tables in years 2012-2015																											
Stock-flow adjustment to General government - 2015 [as % of GDP]																											
74011 2010																											
	and the second s																										
	to the set of the set																										
	with the set of the se															1 to cies											
		Werver		o instri	(x)°.	LEPOSIT	(4 ³⁾	/	**`	- HAL	Stuger &	or nents.	smerrort	ines /	, receil	255815	/	() of headed	ind the	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	n letilor	eerit and	A DARINO	deprener	3 101 010	nanger +	and the second s
		WINST 00	Col Soll	JON SU.	istion	ando	intes	a /	n loan	10an	inver	CHEST .	invertant	deinio	unts	mila	ants	ance set	ence par e	nº 4.9.	abor	pers ued	nshoelow	MXI CU	1.30	me d.	al disc
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1															SCO											
	Her	/ chi	50	Her	C _{nt} .	/ 0° ⁵	_ 5°	/ STID	/ John	400	POIL	400	Fine	Oth	Oth	Agu,	- 2ª	401	- Ner	- 4 ⁵	Othe	/ 4 ²⁰ 3 ⁰	R _{O4}	Chie	Oth	550	
	(1)	(2)	(3) =(2)+(1)=((4) =(5)+(6)+(7)	(5)	(6)	(7) =(10)	(10)	(11)	(14) =(15)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20) =(21)+(22)	(21)	(22)	(23)	(24)	(25)	(26)	(27)	(28)	(29)	(30)	
			4)+(20)+(3	+(14)+(17)+ (18)+(19)			+(11)			+(16)						+(23)+(24) +(25)+(26)											
			0)	(10)+(19)												+(27)+(28)											
BE	-2.6	1.8	-0.8	-0.6	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	-0.8	0.0	-0.8	-0.2	0.1	0.0	+(29)	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-1.0	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	
BG	-2.1	0.5			-2.1	0.0		0.0	-0.5			0.0		0.1	0.0	-0.0	0.0			0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CZ	-0.4	0.4	0.0		0.1	0.0		0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.5	0.0	-0.5	0.0			-0.1	0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
DK	-2.1	-3.6		-6.0	-2.6	0.0		-0.1	0.5			0.4		-3.9	0.0	0.1	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	4
DE	0.7	-0.8	-0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1 1.2	0.0	-0.3 0.5	0.0	-0.2 0.5		-0.1 0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	
IE	-2.3	-0.4		-0.5	-0.1	-0.2		-0.2	-0.2						0.0	0.3	0.0			-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	
EL	-7.2	-4.7		-10.1	-1.9	-6.6	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.9		-0.9			-0.2	-1.5	0.3	-1.3	0.0	0.0	-0.9	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	-0.3	
ES	-5.1	3.6		-1.4	0.1	-0.2		0.0	-0.3	-0.3	0.0	-0.3	0.0	-0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0			-1.2	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.6	0.0	-0.1	
FR	-3.5 -3.2	2.6		0.6 -1.8	0.5	-0.1 -0.1		0.0	-0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0 -0.2	0.3 -0.2	0.0	-1.4 0.5	0.0			-1.0 0.3	0.2 -0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1 0.0	-0.1 -0.2	
HR IT	-3.2	2.2		-1.6	-1.7 -0.6	-0.1		0.0				-0.2			0.0	0.5	0.0	0.3		-0.3	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	
CY	-1.0	0.8		-1.1	-1.5	0.0		0.0	0.1	0.0		0.0		0.4	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.6		0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	-0.2	
LV	-1.3	-3.1	-4.3	-5.2	-4.9	0.0		-0.2				0.0		0.2	0.0	0.9	0.2			0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.3	0.0	-0.1	
LT	-0.2	2.8			0.1	-0.1		0.0	0.0			0.2		1.6	0.0	1.6	0.0			-0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	
LU HU	1.2	-0.1 2.6	1.2	1.4 -0.1	0.2	1.5		0.0	-0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0 -0.8	-0.3 1.3	0.0	0.9	0.0 0.1	0.9		0.0 -0.5	0.0 0.1	0.0 0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.1 0.0	1
MT	-1.5	2.3	0.8	0.0	-0.3	0.0		0.0	-0.6	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.8		-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
NL	-1.8	-1.5			-0.3	-0.1		0.0	-0.7			-0.6			0.0	-0.4	0.0		0.0	-0.3	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
AT	-1.2	3.9			0.9	-0.2		0.1	-1.0			0.8			0.0	2.2	0.0			-0.4	0.2	0.0	0.2	2.7		0.5	
PL PT	-2.6	2.8 3.1		0.2	-0.9	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	-0.2 1.2		-0.1 -1.0	0.1 -0.3	0.0	0.5 0.8	-0.3 0.0	0.0	0.0	
RO	-4.4	1.1	-1.3	-2.4	-0.5	-0.3		0.0	0.0			0.0	-0.2		0.0	-0.2	0.0			-0.4	-0.3	0.2 NA	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.1	
SI	-2.9	4.9		1.7	3.0	0.1		-0.8	-1.1	0.2		0.2		0.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.5		0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	
SK	-3.0	0.7		-1.2	0.7	0.0		0.0		-1.3		-1.3			0.0	-1.1	0.0			-0.5	-0.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	
FI	-2.7 0.0	4.3	1.6	1.4 -2.2	2.7	0.1		0.0	-0.2	-1.1	-0.6	-0.5 -0.6		0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4	-0.6		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	-0.1 -0.1	
SE UK	-4.4	1.2		-2.2	-0.3	0.2		0.2	0.5	-1.4	-0.8 NA	-0.6	-2.3	1.0	0.0	3.5	3.1			-0.5	0.3	0.2	-0.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1	
EU 28	-4.4	1.5	-0.9	-0.6	-0.2	0.0		0.0	-0.1	-0.3			-0.1		0.0	-0.3	0.0	-0.1		-0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Euro area 19	-2.1	1.2	-0.9	-0.6	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	0.0	-0.2	0.0	-0.5	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	
			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	-	0.2	0.1		0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	1

NA: Not applicable, ND: Not available, NC: Not computable (aggregates)



Stock-flow adjustment to General government - 2014 [as % of GDP]

Stock-flow adjustment to General government - 2014 [as % of GDP]																											
			sed Sed	Inon solo and a second	STA Cone	Installand Barris (2. Junes H. 3. Loose	C. A. Store	Inness KANS	of the state of th	postolo postolo	Lainestones, ne	The man of the second	Alternatives (1)	Dourse Bard	Land as a start of the start of	nons heren	Net respectively the second	A CONTRACTOR CONTRACTO	50015 000000000000000000000000000000000	ABURE TAL	Ant Port of the second	S Lopeon Land	Crack	the street of th	interest of the states	and the second s
	(1)	(-/	=(2)+(1)=((4) =(5)+(6)+(7) +(14)+(17)+ (18)+(19)	(5)	(6)	(7) =(10) +(11)	(10)	(11)	(14) =(15) +(16)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20) =(21)+(22) +(23)+(24) +(25)+(26) +(27)+(28) +(29)	(21)	(22)	(23)	(24)	(25)	(26)	(27)	(28)	(29)	(30)	l
BE	-3.1	3.4	0.3	0.5			0.4	-0.1	0.5	0.2		0.2	0.0	-	0.0	-0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	-0.8	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.1	
BG	-5.4	10.3	4.8	4.9			1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0		0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CZ	-1.9	-0.5	-2.4	-2.4			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	
DK DE	1.5 0.3	1.0 0.0	2.5 0.3	2.6 0.5			0.2 -0.2	0.0	0.2 -0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	-0.2 0.1	1.5 0.2	0.0	-0.2		-0.2	0.0	-0.3 -0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.1	
EE	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.5			-0.2	0.0	-0.3	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.1		0.0	0.0		-0.3	0.0	-0.1	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	-0.2	
EE	-3.8	-6.3	-10.2	-10.1	-3.3		-0.1	-0.4	-0.1	0.2	-0.2	0.2	0.0		0.0	-0.2		-0.3	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	
EL	-3.6	-0.3	-10.2	-10.1			-0.3	-0.4	-0.1	-1.0	-0.2	-1.0	0.0		0.0	-0.2		-0.3	0.0	-0.2	-1.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	
ES	-5.9	-0.4	0.6	1.2			-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.1		0.1	0.0		0.0	-0.5		0.1	0.0	-0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	-0.1	
FR	-4.0	4.0	0.1	0.6			0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	0.0		0.0	-0.7		-0.5	0.0	-0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	
HR	-5.5	4.1	-1.4	-0.6			0.3	0.0	0.3	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0		0.0	-0.8		-1.0	0.0	0.1	-0.4	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	-0.1	
IT	-3.0	4.1	1.1	1.3			0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0		0.3	0.0	-0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	
CY	-8.9	1.7	-7.1	-6.2	2.3		-0.4	0.0	-0.4	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	-0.8	0.0	-0.5	0.0	0.0	-0.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.2	
LV	-1.6	3.1	1.5	1.2			-0.4	-0.2	-0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.4		-0.5	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.8	0.0	0.0	
LT	-0.7	3.5	2.8	3.4			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.6		-0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
LU	1.7	0.7	2.4	3.7			0.7	0.8	-0.1	2.0	0.1	1.8	0.0	-1.5	0.0	-1.4		-1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	
HU	-2.3	4.5	2.2	0.8			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.4	-0.3	0.3	0.0	1.5		-0.2	0.0	-1.0	0.4	0.2	1.6	0.2	0.0	-0.1	
MT NL	-2.0	2.2 1.5	0.2	1.3 -0.8			0.1	0.0 -0.1	0.1	0.2 -0.2	0.0	0.2 -0.2	0.0 -0.2	0.1	0.0	-1.1	0.0	-1.0 -0.1	0.0	-0.1 -0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	-0.1	
AT	-2.4	5.0	-0.9	-0.8	-0.3		0.0	-0.1	0.1	-0.2	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	0.2	0.0	-0.1		-0.1	0.0	-0.3	0.1	0.0	0.2	4.2	0.0	-0.3	
PI	-3.3	-3.4	-6.8	1.0			0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.2	0.0	-7.7		-9.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.9	0.3	0.0	0.0	
PT	-7.2	3.5	-3.6	-3.9			-0.2	0.0	-0.1	-1.2	-0.3	-0.9	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0		0.2	0.0	-0.4	-0.2	0.2	0.5	-0.2	0.0	0.2	
RO	-0.9	3.5	2.6	2.3			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.4		0.7	0.0	-0.3	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	
SI	-5.0	12.6	7.6	8.1	5.8	-0.1	2.2	0.5	1.7	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	-0.6	0.0	-0.4	0.0	0.1	-0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	
SK	-2.7	0.2	-2.5	-1.9	-2.9	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	-0.2	0.0	-0.2	0.0	1.2	0.0	-0.6	0.0	-0.4	0.0	-0.4	-0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	-0.1	
FI	-3.2	4.4	1.2	-0.2			-0.7	0.0	-0.7	0.9	1.1	-0.2	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.2		0.6	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	1
SE	-1.6	6.5	4.9	2.3			0.8	0.3	0.5	-0.5	-0.4	-0.1	-1.7	0.3	0.0	2.7		0.0	-0.3	-0.6	0.3	0.1	1.4	0.0	0.0	-0.1	1
UK	-5.6	5.8	0.2	0.7			0.3	0.0	0.3	-0.5	NA	-0.5	0.0	0.2	0.0	-0.3		-0.2	-0.1	-0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	
EU 28	-3.0	3.1	0.1	0.4	0.4	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	-0.2	0.1	-0.4	0.0	-0.3	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	-0.1	
Euro area 19	-2.6	2.7	0.1	0.2	0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	0.0	-0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	-0.1	

NA: Not applicable, ND: Not available, NC: Not computable (aggregates)



Stock-flow adjustment to General government - 2013 [as % of GDP]

	Stock-flow adjustment to General government - 2013 [as % of GDP]																										
, p			series of the se	How all state	State Curot	And Pools (2. Joseph	a store	Longe the All	not the set of the set	Portale Portale	salestinits and the salesting of the sal	a presenting of the second	states rest	Downsee and Description	and a fer all a series of the	nons Netron	Here's interest	Here Barbare	POPULA CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTO	(25)	Alth norman alther	o O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Least Jave Least	All a los and a	indiand States	and the second s
	(1)	. /	(3) =(2)+(1)=(4)+(20)+(3 0)	(4) =(5)+(6)+(7) +(14)+(17)+ (18)+(19)	(5)	(6)	(7) =(10) +(11)	(10)	(11)	(14) =(15) +(16)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)		(20) =(21)+(22) +(23)+(24) +(25)+(26) +(27)+(28) +(29)	(21)	(22)	(23)	(24)	(25)	(26)	(27)	(28)	(29)	(30)	
BE	-3.0	2.5	-0.6	-0.7	-0.1	-0.1	0.7	0.0	0.7	-1.1	0.0	-1.1		0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	0.0	-0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	1
BG	-0.4	0.3	-0.1	0.3	-1.3	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.3	0.0	-0.3		2.0	0.0	-0.4	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1
CZ	-1.3	0.9	-0.4	-0.5		0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1		0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	-0.2	I
DK DE	-1.1 -0.1	0.0 -0.5	-1.1 -0.7	-0.7	-0.1 -0.7	-0.7 -0.3	0.7	0.1 -0.2	0.6	0.2	0.0	0.2		-0.6 -0.1	0.0 0.0	-0.4 -0.1	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	-0.1 -0.2	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	1
EE	-0.1	-0.5	-0.7	-0.6		-0.3	-0.1	-0.2	0.1		0.0	0.3		-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	I
IE	-5.7	3.0	-2.7	-3.1	-0.7	-0.9	-1.3	0.0	-1.5	-0.7	-0.3	-0.4		-0.3	0.0	-0.1	0.0		0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1
EL	-13.0	3.0 8.5	-2.7	-6.5		-0.4	-1.5	0.2			-0.3	-0.4		-0.7	0.0	2.0	-0.7		0.0	-0.1	-0.7	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	I
ES	-6.9	7.3	0.4	0.2		0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.2		0.9	0.0	0.3	0.0		0.0	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	I
FR	-4.0	4.0	0.0	0.1		0.1	0.3	0.0	0.4	-0.3	-0.3	0.0		0.4	0.0	-0.1	-0.1		0.0	-0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	-0.1	1
HR	-5.3	11.3	6.0	4.1	3.2	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.1		0.3	0.0	2.2	0.0		0.0	0.4	-0.4	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	-0.3	I
IT	-2.9	5.0	2.1	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.5		0.2	0.0	0.5	0.0		0.0	0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	I
CY	-4.9	17.1	12.2	12.3	3.6	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	-0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1
LV	-0.9	-0.6	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	0.3	-0.3	0.0	-0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1
LT	-2.6	0.8	-1.8	-1.1	-1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.4	0.0	-0.7	0.0		0.0	-0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1
LU	0.8	2.7	3.5	2.7	0.8	0.8	0.3	0.1	0.2	2.1	0.0	2.1	0.0	-1.3	0.0	0.8	0.0		0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	1
HU	-2.6	2.2	-0.4	-0.8	-1.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.4	-0.8	0.4		1.1	0.0	0.6	0.1		0.0	-0.2	0.1	0.1	0.7	0.0	0.0	-0.1	1
MT	-2.6	4.9	2.3	2.1	-0.3	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.3	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	1
NL	-2.4	2.1	-0.3	-0.8	-0.6	-1.0	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.2	-0.1	0.3		-0.2	0.0	0.5	0.0		0.0	-0.1	0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.8	0.0	0.0	1
AT	-1.3	0.6	-0.7	-0.3 -1.0	0.2	-0.7 0.1	0.3	-0.1	0.4	-0.3 -0.6	-0.2 0.0	-0.1 -0.6	-0.1 0.0	0.3	0.0	-0.5	0.3	-0.6	0.0	-0.3	0.1	0.0 NA	0.0	0.0	0.0 NA	0.1	1
PL PT	-4.0 -4.8	2.9 4.2	-1.1	-1.0	-1.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.6	0.0	-0.6	0.0	0.4 -1.0	0.0 0.0	-0.1 0.7	0.0		0.0	-0.1 0.3	-0.1	0.0	-0.1 -0.4	0.0 -0.1	0.2	0.0	1
RO	-4.0	4.2	-0.7	-1.2	0.7	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.8	0.1	-0.8		-1.0	0.0	0.7	0.0		0.2	-0.3	-0.1	NA	-0.4	-0.1	0.2	-0.2	1
SI	-15.0	3.1 17.0	2.0	2.7	-0.8	0.0	2.4	0.0	1.4	-0.3	0.0	-0.3		0.0	0.0	-0.8	0.0		0.0	-0.3	-0.2	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	1
SK	-13.0	3.6	2.0	1.9	-0.8	0.0	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.5	0.0	-0.8	0.0		0.0	-0.2	-0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1
FI	-2.6	3.4	0.9	2.5	-0.8	1.3	0.8	0.0	0.8	2.4	2.5	-0.1	0.0	-0.9	0.0	-1.4	-0.8		0.0	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.2	1
SE	-1.4	3.4	2.1	1.2	-0.2	0.0	3.4	0.0	3.3	-0.6	-1.1	-0.1		-0.5	0.0	-1.4	-0.0		-0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.2	1
UK	-5.6	4.3	-1.3	-1.5	0.2	-0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1	-1.7	NA	-1.7		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		-0.1	-0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.2	1
EU 28	-3.3	3.1	-0.1	-0.3	-0.3	-0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0		0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	-0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1
Euro area 19	-3.0	3.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.4	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0		0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	

NA: Not applicable, ND: Not available, NC: Not computable (aggregates)



Stock-flow adjustment to General government - 2012 [as % of GDP]

Stoci April 2016	Stock-flow adjustment to General government - 2012 [as % of GDP]																										
		ound sover	Sector Sect	How all sol	STR. Corese	and port of the second	1. Joseft 3. Joseft	a store	In Longie Lange	not the second second	Portolo Portolo	nesteen and	et lung the state	State of the state	Domesoonal	ast of associations	note Netroit	La representation of the second secon	Neircute Neircute	(24)	alling the part of	Anthe Participation of the Par	Partin particular and	Bell alle Craff	All a los and a	in orange the state	and the second second
	(1)	. /	(3) =(2)+(1)=(4)+(20)+(3 0)	=(5)+(6)+(7)	(5)	(6)	(7) =(10) +(11)	(10)	(1)	(14) =(15) +(16)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(10)	(20) =(21)+(22) +(23)+(24) +(25)+(26) +(27)+(28) +(29)	(21)	(22)	(23)	(24)	(25)	(26)	(27)	(28)	(29)	(30)	
BE	-4.2	4.0	-0.2	0.6	-0.1	0.0	1.5	0.0	1.5	-0.7	0.0	-0.7		0.0	0.0	-0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.8	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	i -
BG	-0.3	1.7	1.4	2.5	2.3	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.3	0.0	-0.3		0.6	0.0	-1.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.9	0.0	0.0	i i
CZ	-3.9	4.9	1.0	3.8	3.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.5	0.0	-2.7	0.1	-2.2	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	-0.1	i i
DK	-3.5	0.0	-3.5	-3.2	-3.3 0.5	-1.0	0.6	0.0	0.5 1.4	0.4	0.0	0.4		0.4	0.0	-0.3	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	i i
DE	-0.1	2.8 4.0	2.7 3.8	2.7	0.5	-0.4 0.3	1.9 1.7	0.5 -0.2	1.4 1.9	0.5 1.2	0.1	0.5 1.2		0.3	0.0 0.0	0.0 -0.2	0.0	-0.1 -0.2	0.0	-0.1 0.0	0.1	0.0	-0.1 0.0	0.1	0.0 0.0	-0.1	i -
IE	-0.3	4.0	3.6	4.0	3.3	0.5	-1.4	-0.2	-2.0	1.2	0.1	1.2		0.2	0.0	-0.2	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	i -
EL	-8.8	-26.8	-35.6	23.9	3.5	6.3	10.2	0.0	10.2	4.0	0.0	4.0		-0.1	0.0	-59.6	-0.1	-0.2	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-59.7	-0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	i i
ES	-10.4	14.1	3.7	1.7	0.7	-0.9	0.9	0.0	0.9	0.3	0.0	0.3		0.6	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.3	0.2	0.0	-0.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	i -
FR	-4.8	5.5	0.7	2.0	-0.2	-0.4	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.9	0.0	0.9		0.3	0.0	-1.2	0.0	-0.8	0.0	-0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	i -
HR	-5.3	5.1	-0.2	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1		0.0	0.0	-0.8	0.0	-0.9	0.0	0.4	-0.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	i i
IT	-2.9	5.1	2.1	2.2	0.1	0.2	1.5	0.0	1.5	-0.1	0.0	-0.1		0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.5	-0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	i -
CY	-5.8	13.2	7.4	7.9	-3.3	0.0	1.6	0.0	1.6	9.6	0.0	9.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.5	0.0	-0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	i i
LV	-0.8	1.6	0.8	0.7	2.5	0.0	-1.4	0.0	-1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0		-0.3	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	i -
LT	-3.1	4.9	1.8	1.8	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	0.0	-0.2		-0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	i -
LU	0.3	3.5	3.7	3.9	0.1	1.8	0.5	-0.2	0.7	2.0	0.0	2.0		-0.5	0.0	-0.5	0.0	-0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	i -
HU	-2.3	-1.1	-3.4	-1.2	0.4	0.1	-0.4	0.0	-0.4 2.4	-0.7	-0.7	-0.1		-0.1	0.0	-2.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	-0.1	0.0	-2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	i -
MT NL	-3.5 -3.9	0.9 5.0	-2.7 1.1	1.5 1.2	-3.1 0.1	0.0 -0.4	1.7 1.4	-0.7 0.0	2.4	0.6	0.0	0.6 0.2		2.4 -0.1	0.0 0.0	-4.2	0.0	-1.4 0.3	0.0	-0.1 -0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	-2.8 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	i -
AT	-3.9	5.0	-0.6	-1.1	-0.6	-0.4	1.4	0.0	1.4	-0.4	-0.1	-0.3		-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	-0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	1
PL	-3.7	1.0	-0.0	-0.1	0.8	-0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.4	-0.1	-0.3		-0.4	0.0	-1.8	0.0	-0.3	0.0	-0.3	0.2	0.0 NA	-1.4	0.0	NA	0.2	i -
PT	-5.7	9.7	4.0	1.0	0.9	3.7	0.7	0.0	0.7	-2.3	-0.5	-1.7		-1.9	0.0	2.9	0.0	2.5	-0.2	0.5	0.1	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	i -
RO	-3.7	5.0	1.3	1.7	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.3	0.0	-0.3		0.1	0.0	-0.4	0.0	-0.8	0.0	0.2	-0.4	NA	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1
SI	-4.1	6.1	2.0	2.0	-0.2	0.0	2.7	0.0	2.7	0.2	0.0	0.2		-0.7	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	i -
SK	-4.3	10.3	6.0	5.7	3.9	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.3	0.0	0.3		-0.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	-0.4	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.5	0.0	0.1	i -
FI	-2.2	5.2	3.0	3.6	-1.1	-0.5	1.9	0.0	1.9	3.3	2.6	0.7		0.1	0.0	-0.6	-0.2	-0.4	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	1
SE	-0.9	0.5	-0.4	-0.9	-0.2	-0.1	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.6	ND	ND	-1.7	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.3	-0.5	-0.3	-0.3	0.3	0.2	-0.4	0.1	0.1	-0.1	1
UK	-8.3	5.8	-2.5	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.3	0.1	NA	0.1		0.0	0.0	-3.0	0.0	-2.2	-0.1	-0.7	0.2	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	1
EU28	-4.3	4.7	0.4	1.9	0.3	-0.1	1.2	0.1	1.1	0.4	ND	ND	0.0	0.2	0.0	-1.4	0.1	-0.3	0.0	-0.2	0.0	-0.8	-0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	1
Euro area 19	-3.7	4.9	1.3	2.5	0.3	-0.1	1.6	0.1	1.4	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	-1.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	I

NA: Not applicable, ND: Not available, NC: Not computable (aggregates)



METHODOLOGICAL ANNEX

The **Legal base** for the excessive deficit procedure (EDP) is Article 126 of the Treaty on the functioning of the European Union and Protocol 12 on the excessive deficit procedure annexed to the Treaty. Article 126 states that:

1. Member States shall avoid excessive government deficits.

2. The Commission shall monitor the development of the budgetary situation and of the stock of government debt in the Member States with a view to identifying gross errors. In particular it shall examine compliance with budgetary discipline on the basis of the following two criteria:

(a) whether the ratio of the planned or actual government deficit to gross domestic product exceeds a reference value, unless:

- either the ratio has declined substantially and continuously and reached a level that comes close to the reference value,

- or, alternatively, the excess over the reference value is only exceptional and temporary and the ratio remains close to the reference value;

(b) whether the ratio of government debt to gross domestic product exceeds a reference value, unless the ratio is sufficiently diminishing and approaching the reference value at a satisfactory pace.

The reference values are 3% for the deficit and 60% of GDP for the government debt in the annexed Protocol.

Source of fiscal data: Council Regulation 479/2009, as amended, defines the data to be reported by Member States to the European Commission in the context of EDP reporting²: the notification tables 1-4. In particular, EDP table 3A, "*Provision of the data which explain the contributions of the deficit/surplus and the other relevant factors to the variation in the debt level (general government)*", is the basis for the comments and graphs presented in this document.

Detailed data, including tables as reported by Member States, can be found on the Eurostat website in the <u>free data</u> section as well as in the dedicated <u>*Government Finance Statistics*</u> section.

Deficit: The Protocol on the excessive deficit procedure annexed to the Treaty requires that the government surplus/deficit is the net lending/net borrowing as defined by the European System of Accounts (ESA) of the general government sector³.

Net lending/net borrowing (B.9) is the balancing item of the capital account in ESA 2010. It is also calculated as the difference between total revenue and total expenditure of the general government sector as defined in the Regulation (EU) No 549/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2013 on the European system of national and regional accounts in the European Union. For further details see ESA 2010 § 8.98 and

8.100 as well as chapter 20 of ESA 2010.

Government gross debt⁴: According to the protocol annexed to the Treaty, the government debt is the gross debt outstanding at the end of the year of the general government sector measured at nominal value and consolidated. Council Regulation 479/2009, as amended, defines further the government debt as the sum of government liabilities in Currency and deposits (AF.2), Debt securities (AF.3) and Loans (AF.4). The Regulation further specifies that nominal value for government debt excludes accrued interest (for most debt instruments) and corresponds to face value.

Consolidation: Member States debt data should be reported consolidated at the level of the general government sector. Consolidation, as defined in ESA 2010⁵, means presenting data relating to a grouping of units as if they were one unique unit. This involves the elimination from both uses/assets and resources/liabilities of all reciprocal links: transactions as well as revaluations, other changes in volumes and stocks, that occur or exist between units which belong to the same grouping — in this case to the general government sector (or its sub-sector). Thus, government gross debt is to be consolidated: therefore holdings of government debt by government units must be excluded.

By the same token, all items reported in EDP table 3A should be also presented on a consolidated basis: not only those related to transactions (e.g. a loan given by central government to a local government unit should be removed from the calculation of the consolidated debt of general government sector as well as from the calculation of loans assets), but also valuation adjustments (such as issuance and redemptions of debt above and/or below par, as well as foreign exchange valuation) and other economic flows adjustments (other volume changes in financial liabilities).

Geographical information: Euro area (EA19): Belgium, Germany, Estonia, Ireland, Greece, Spain, France, Italy, Cyprus, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Austria, Portugal, Slovenia, Slovakia and Finland. In the attached table, the euro area is defined as including Latvia and Lithuania for the full period, although Latvia joined the euro area on 1 January 2014 and Lithuania on 1 January 2015.

Up to 30 June 2013, the European Union (EU27) included 27 Member States. From 1 July 2013 the European Union (EU28) also includes Croatia. In the attached table, all periods refer to the EU28

⁵ See ESA 2010 § 1.106-1.109.



² Excessive Deficit Procedure (EDP) reporting as requested in the Protocol annexed to the Treaty on functioning of the European Union (consolidated version 2012, see Official Journal C 326/47 of 26.10.2012) and related legal acts.

³ ESA 2010 §2.111-2.113 describes the general government sector as the institutional sector principally engaged in the redistribution of national income and wealth and /or mainly producing non-market output intended for individual and collective consumption, and mainly financed by compulsory payments. For more information on general government sector see also chapter 20 of ESA 2010 and table 24.5 in chapter 24.

⁴ The outstanding general government consolidated debt at the end of each year is reported by Member States in EDP table 1 of the notification tables, according to the European legislation.