Content

1. Documentation and methodological evaluation of the national implementation of the survey 13
   - 1.1. Inventory of the material 13
   - 1.2. Survey implementation analysis 14
   - 1.3. Non-response analysis 15
     - 1.3.1. Non-response rates 15
     - 1.3.2. Non-response bias 18
     - 1.3.3. Partial non-response 27
   - 1.4. Impact of the proxy utilisation in the 2011 LFS AHM 29
     - 1.4.1. Proxy use per country 29
     - 1.4.2. Profile of the proxy respondents 31
     - 1.4.3. Impact on the answer rate 36
2. Analysis of the national 2011 LFS AHM questionnaires on employment of disabled people 41
   - 2.1. Analysis plan 41
   - 2.2. Target population 42
   - 2.3. Filter question 42
   - 2.4. Structure of the national questionnaires 43
   - 2.5. National specificities regarding some concepts 44
   - 2.6. Wording of the questions 44
   - 2.7. Ex post harmonisation 51
3. Analysis of the microdata 55
   - 3.1. Data management 55
     - 3.1.1. Discrepancy for the variables about limitations 55
     - 3.1.2. Comparison between the 2011 LFS AHM and some core variables 56
   - 3.2. Descriptive analysis 59
     - 3.2.1. First main type of longstanding health condition or disease (HEALTHMA) 60
     - 3.2.2. Second main type of longstanding health condition or disease (HEALTHSE) 63
     - 3.2.3. First basic activity difficulty (DIFFICMA) 66
     - 3.2.4. Second basic activity difficulty (DIFFICSE) 69
     - 3.2.5. The health condition(s) or disease(s) or difficulty(ies) cause(s) the person's limitation in the number of hours that he/she can work in a week (LIMHOURS) 72
     - 3.2.6. The health condition(s) or disease(s) or difficulty(ies) causes(s) the person's limitation in the type of work that he/she can do (LIMTYPEW) 75
     - 3.2.7. The health condition(s) or disease(s) or difficulty(ies) cause(s) the person's limitation in getting to and from work (LIMTRANS) 79
     - 3.2.8. Because of the health problem or difficulty the person needs (not employed persons)/uses (employed persons) personal assistance to enable him/her to work (variable NEEDHELP) 83

3.2.9. Because of the health problem or difficulty the person needs (not employed persons)/uses (employed persons) special equipment or needs (not employed persons)/has (employed persons) workplace adaptations to enable him/her to work (NEEDADAP) 85

3.2.10. Because of the health problem or difficulty the person needs (not employed persons)/has (employed persons) special working arrangements to enable him/her to work (NEEDORGA) 87

3.2.11. Main reason for limitation in work that is not caused by the longstanding health conditions/diseases or basic activity difficulties (LIMREAS) 89

3.2.12. Links between the health problems or difficulties and the limitations or special assistances used or needed 93

3.3. Disability measures 95

3.4. Comparative analysis 99

3.4.1. Demographic background 99

3.4.2. Labour status 101

3.4.3. Employment characteristics 102

3.4.4. Education 109

3.5. Multivariate analysis 112

3.5.1. Approach implemented 112

3.5.2. Outcomes for the binomial logistic models 114

3.5.3. Outcomes for the multinomial models 115

Conclusion 121

Annex 1 – Analysis of the survey implementation 127

Annex 2 – Non-response analysis 128

Annex 3 – Proxy analysis 129

Annex 4 – Analysis of the questionnaires 130

Annex 5 – Information on the wording of the national questionnaires 131

Annex 6 – Descriptive analysis of the module variables 139

Annex 7 – Disability measures 140

Annex 8 – Comparative analysis 141

Annex 9 – Multivariate analysis 142

References 143