(1) Compliance can be ensured by different means: guidelines produced by the competent authority, contacts with competent authority prior to the implementation of the activity, etc.

(2) Which means that either the screening of the FMP including the particular forest activity has demonstrated unlikeliness of negative effects or the FMP including the particular activity has been subject to an AA that has concluded on the absence of significant negative impact.

😊 The activity can be authorised.
Applying Article 6 of the Habitats Directive to plans and projects

Article 6.3 Procedure

1st: screening: Is the project/plan likely to have a significant impact on the site?

YES

A.A.

The project/plan will affect the integrity of the site or such effect cannot be excluded (uncertainty)

Non-deterioration obligation

NO

NO A.A.

The project/plan will not affect the integrity of the site

Art. 6.4 procedure

No alternative solution and IROPI and compensation (if required - positive Commission opinion)

The activity can be authorised.

An alternative solution exists and/or no IROPI and/or no compensation (if required - no or negative Commission opinion)

The activity cannot be authorised. An alternative solution or mitigation can be considered where possible. In that case, the Article 6.3 procedure must be applied to the alternative solution or modified activity.

A.A.: Appropriate Assessment