



23 May 2013 Issue 329 Subscribe to free weekly News Alert

Source: Coelho, A. & de Brito, J. (2013). Environmental analysis of a construction and demolition waste recycling plant in Portugal − Part I: Energy consumption and CO₂ emissions. *Waste Management*. Early online. DOI: 10.1016/j.wasman.2013.0 1.025.

Contact: jb@civil.ist.utl.pt

Read more about: Resource efficiency, Waste

The contents and views included in Science for Environment Policy are based on independent, peer-reviewed research and do not necessarily reflect the position of the European Commission.

To cite this article/service: "Science for Environment Policy": European Commission DG Environment News Alert Service, edited by SCU, The University of the West of England, Bristol.

Science for Environment Policy

Recycling construction and demolition waste has substantial environmental benefits

The environmental benefits of recycling construction and demolition waste (CDW) are considerable, even after accounting for the impacts of the recycling process itself, research confirms. By assessing CO_2 and energy use at a large-scale recycling plant in Portugal, researchers have shown that, over its 60-year lifespan, the CO_2 emissions prevented will be ten times as much as those produced, and eight times as much energy will be saved, than is used.

Recycling is thought to be a vital part of reducing the environmental impact of the construction industry. However, the recycling process uses <u>energy</u> and emits CO_2 which must be balanced against any savings. For example, CO_2 is emitted when waste is transported from demolition or construction sites to recycling plants, and energy is needed (which also leads to CO_2 emissions) to run machinery that sorts and processes the waste.

In this study, researchers focused on a single large-scale construction and demolition waste recycling plant in the metropolitan area of Lisbon, Portugal. This plant receives mixed CDW materials, which are then separated into waste types, such as concrete aggregates, metals, paper and plastics. They calculated the environmental impacts of different aspects of the process, which were measured either in emissions of CO_2 in tonnes (other greenhouse gases were included, but measured in terms of impact equivalent to tonnes of CO_2) and primary energy expenditure (energy contained/produced at power plants), measured as the equivalent to tonnes of oil.

Three forms of environmental impact were considered: 'incorporated', 'operational' and 'transport-related'. 'Incorporated' impacts were the energy and CO_2 emissions which arose from the production of all buildings and machinery needed for the plant. 'Operational' impacts were mainly made up of the electricity needed to run machinery, as well as some emissions from some diesel-powered engines used in the sorting process. Finally, 'transport-related' impacts were the result of transport of waste, both from the demolition or construction site to the plant, as well as from the plant to recycled materials processors or landfill sites.

The data for these different impacts were based on a number of detailed estimates. For example, the researchers calculated the weight of steel used to produce sorting machines with estimates from previous studies and government databases of primary energy consumed and CO₂ emitted in the production of the steel used to produce each machine.

The results demonstrated that the environmental benefits of the plant vastly outweighed its impacts. Over a standard 60-year lifespan, it was predicted to produce 135.4 thousand tonnes of CO_2 . However, it prevented emissions of 1465 thousand tonnes, more than ten times that produced. Similarly, although the plant was predicted to use energy equivalent to 71.4 thousand tonnes of oil, it conserved 563 thousand tonnes, approximately eight times as much. These environmental benefits can only come to be, however, if the output materials produced by the recycling facility are effectively sent out and used for the fabrication of new products (especially construction related ones). Transport to and from the plant caused the greatest environmental impact overall, accounting for 54% of CO_2 emissions. The researchers suggest that energy-saving or CO_2 -reduction measures targeted at the transport phase would an effective way of reducing the impact of recycling plants, possibly through the use of electric vehicles.

The researchers caution that the results are simplified because they include only CO_2 emissions and energy use. However, these are thought to be the primary environmental impacts of recycling plants such as this. Overall, they conclude that this study demonstrates the substantial environmental benefits to be gained by recycling construction and demolition waste.



