

# Science for Environment Policy

## Low emission zones may need complementary local traffic policies

**Low emission zones (LEZs)** that restrict old vehicles in city centres may need implementation in combination with other traffic policies to have greater impacts on air quality, according to new research. A study that measured emission levels before and after the introduction of LEZs in five Dutch cities indicated that air pollution concentrations were reduced but not to a statistically significant level.

**EU air quality standards have set targets** for several pollutants; amongst the most important are nitrogen dioxide, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>. In order to reach these standards, several policy measures have been taken, including low emission zones (LEZ) which limit or ban certain types of vehicles in city centres. Over 150 cities in nine EU Member States have implemented LEZs, but there has been little evaluation of their actual effects.

The study investigated the effectiveness of LEZs targeted at heavy duty vehicles in five Dutch cities on air quality. Measurements of PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, soot, NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> were taken simultaneously in Amsterdam, The Hague, Utrecht, Den Bosch and Tilburg, before (2008) and two years after (2010) the implementation of LEZs. Air samples were taken regularly at major streets within the LEZs where traffic was high, and in more general urban locations, also within the LEZs but away from heavy traffic. These were compared to samples from suburban areas where no local traffic policy had been implemented. The results were adjusted to account for differences in wind speed between the two sampling periods.

All pollutant concentrations were lower in 2010 than in 2008 in all the LEZs. The drop in concentrations of soot, NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> was not significantly different between major streets and suburban areas, indicating there may have been a general decrease for these pollutants that can not be attributed solely to the introduction of the LEZ. These three pollutants are generally strongly linked to traffic intensity. In one street, there were striking differences in the concentrations of soot (41% reduction), NO<sub>2</sub> (25% reduction) and NO<sub>x</sub> between 2008 and 2010. This area had experienced other traffic reduction policies, as well as the LEZ, indicating that perhaps it is a combination of measures that produces the biggest impact on these pollutants.

The reduction in PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations was significantly different between urban streets and suburban streets: urban streets experienced, on average, a 31% reduction in PM<sub>2.5</sub>, whilst suburban areas experienced a 20% reduction. Since the effect was not restricted to busy streets, but also seen on more general urban areas away from traffic, the study proposed that this may not be solely the result of the LEZs. For example, the reductions could have been caused by cuts in non-traffic PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions, such as those from industrial processes and power plants.

The study suggests several explanations for the finding that the impact of the LEZs was not as great as expected. For example, the zones may be too small and affect too few vehicles. In addition, the increase in new diesel cars could have outweighed any positive impacts by causing a rise in some emissions, especially soot. The recent economic crisis could also have caused a general fall in emissions, making it harder to detect a LEZ-related change. LEZs do reduce pollutants but it would appear that they may need to be larger or more stringent and implemented alongside local complementary policies, such as traffic reduction, to reduce pollutant emissions to a greater level.

20 December 2012  
Issue 311

Subscribe to free  
weekly News Alert

**Source:** Boogaard, H., Janssen, N.A.H., Paul H. Fischer, P.H. *et al.* (2012) Impact of low emission zones and local traffic policies on ambient air pollution concentrations. *Science of the Total Environment*. 435-436:132-140.  
Doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.06.089.

**Contact:**  
[j.m.c.boogaard@uu.nl](mailto:j.m.c.boogaard@uu.nl)

**Theme(s):** Air pollution, Sustainable mobility, Urban environments

The contents and views included in Science for Environment Policy are based on independent, peer-reviewed research and do not necessarily reflect the position of the European Commission.

To cite this article/service: "[Science for Environment Policy](#)": European Commission DG Environment News Alert Service, edited by SCU, The University of the West of England, Bristol.