

13 October 2009

**CLARIFICATION (2)
to call for tender**

ENV.G.2/ETU/2009/0073

Question 1:

1. Defining geographic scope – we are a little concerned that Global and European study is rather broad and we would seek to define scope through focusing on two distinct schemes, 1. leading international voluntary schemes and 2. national/regional mandatory schemes. Would this be acceptable?

Answer 1: The tenderer needs to propose a scope based on its own specialist knowledge in the area.

Question 2:

Distinction between methodologies and initiatives – we are aware that many of the initiatives currently in operation do not have underlying methodologies e.g. GHG registries such as the Carbon Disclosure Project. Does the Commission see any value in studying the features of these initiatives e.g. in terms of the information that they require companies to report, when it is not defined how this is calculated?

Answer 2: As stated in the technical description, the tenderer needs to develop a system to evaluate and compare both methodologies and initiatives.

Question 3:

Multi-sectoral approach – we are assuming that the Commission is seeking to develop a single GHG standard rather than develop multiple standards by sector, is this correct?

Answer 3: No decision has been taken at this point.

Question 4:

Company case studies – we are assuming that we will develop a number of supporting case studies from key SME sectors and that these sectors will be agreed in discussion with the Commission?

Answer 4: As indicated in the technical description (A4), supporting case studies are selected to contribute to the evaluation of methodologies and initiatives used.