

**SHORT SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS OF THE 57TH MEETING OF THE SCIENTIFIC
REVIEW GROUP ON TRADE IN WILD FAUNA AND FLORA¹
7 OCTOBER 2011**

The SRG took the following decisions² under Articles 4.1(a)(i) and 4.2(a) of Council Regulation (EC) No. 338/97:

1) Negative opinions³ for import of specimens from the following species / countries combinations:

<i>Cercopithecus dryas</i>	Democratic Republic of Congo
<i>Eryx tataricus</i>	Uzbekistan
<i>Epipedobates pictus</i> *	Guyana
<i>Pristis microdon</i>	Australia

* the species is not present in Guyana

2) Negative opinions³ for import of specimens from the following species / countries combinations confirmed / maintained – NOT to be formalised in the Suspensions Regulation:

<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>	All range states
--------------------------	------------------

3) Positive opinion for import of specimens of the following species / countries combinations:

<i>Acinonyx jubatus</i>	Namibia
<i>Pandinus imperator</i>	Togo (R) (with maximum length of 10 cm)
<i>Duncanopsammia axifuga</i>	Australia
<i>Moseleya latistellata</i>	Australia
<i>Hoodia</i> spp.	South Africa
<i>Bulnesia sarmientoi</i>	Paraguay

4) No opinion for import of specimens of the following species / countries combinations

¹ It is understood that the above opinions are also those of each Member State's Scientific Authority and will be reflected in any opinion given in relation to the application of Art. 4.1(a) and 4.2(a) of Regulation 338/97. These opinions will remain valid unless or until circumstances related to the trade or conservation status of the species change significantly

² Unless specifically stated otherwise, all decisions relate to wild specimens.

³ Recommendation based on the following guidelines: The species is in trade or is likely to be in trade, and introduction to the Community from the country of origin at current or anticipated levels of trade is likely to have a harmful effect on the conservation status of the species or the extent of the territory occupied by the species.

Rationale for the "no opinion":

- i) The species is not currently (or is only rarely) in trade, and no significant trade in relation to the conservation status of the species is anticipated
- ii) There are insufficient data on the species.
- iii) The species is not currently (or is only rarely) in trade, but significant trade in relation to the conservation status of the species could be anticipated.

<i>Caiman crocodilus</i>	Mexico ⁱ⁾
<i>Hippocampus comes</i>	Vietnam ⁱⁱⁱ⁾
<i>Hippocampus kelloggi</i>	Vietnam ⁱⁱⁱ⁾

5) Import suspensions for the following species / countries combinations recommended for removal:

<i>Caiman crocodilus</i>	Mexico ⁴
--------------------------	---------------------

- 6) ***Testudo graeca* (C) / Syria:** The SRG decided not to allow imports of this species / country combination from one specific facility in Syria. Additional information can be obtained from the Management Authorities in the EU Member States.
- 7) ***Anguilla anguilla* - implementation of the listing:** The SRG assessed the situation for export of European eels: it was agreed that it was not possible to perform a "non-detriment finding" for the European eels, i.e. that it was not possible for the SRG to advise that the capture or collection of European eel specimens in the wild or their export will not have a harmful effect on the conservation status of the species or on the extent of the territory occupied by the relevant population of the species. The SRG would reassess the situation by the end of 2012.

⁴ The decision will come into effect when this species / country combination will be removed from the Suspension Regulation.