I. Economic situation in the euro area

The economic situation has improved substantially since the beginning of the year in the euro area. The pace of expansion accelerated to an annualised rate of 3.5% during the first half, its highest rate in 6 years. With growth mostly driven by domestic demand, the economic recovery now appears solidly established. Domestic spending is underpinned by a strong pick-up in investment, robust job creation and consumer confidence above its long-term average. The global environment remains supportive, but looks set to soften on the back of a slowdown in the US economy. Some indicators of business sentiment have eased since the summer but confidence remains high and consistent with sustained expansion in activity during the second half of the year. According to the Commission services’ September interim forecast, GDP growth is projected to be above potential during the second half of 2006 and to average 2.5% for the year as a whole.

Except for the episode of equity market turbulence in May-June, the performance of euro-area financial markets has been favourable so far in 2006. Nevertheless, downside risks have intensified. On the international side, the possibility of a sharper-than-expected deceleration in global economic growth cannot be excluded in a context of high oil prices, tightening monetary policies, significant global imbalances and geopolitical tension. With asset valuations already stretched in many markets, this combination of risks has raised investor sensitivity to risk exposure. On the domestic side, corporate and, above all, households’ balance sheets are a potential source of additional vulnerability.

High oil prices now seem to have a considerably less damaging effect on the euro-area economy than in the past. Several factors can account for this improved resilience, including better macroeconomic management, better functioning labour markets and the economy’s lower energy intensity. An additional explanation is that the recycling of oil revenues by oil-exporting countries into oil-consuming countries is now more beneficial for the euro area than in the past. Analysis presented in the report shows that the pattern of recycling has changed. In particular, there are indications that the share of the oil revenues that is being recycled into the euro area via the trade channel is now significantly higher than was the case during the 1970s. Furthermore, although the USA remains the main destination for oil exporting countries’ financial investment, the importance of euro-denominated assets has risen noticeably.

1. Recent economic developments and short-term prospects1

Buoyant growth in the second quarter

The economic situation in the euro area has brightened substantially since the beginning of the year. According to the first estimate of the Quarterly National Accounts, euro-area GDP rose by an impressive 0.9% quarter-on-quarter in the second quarter, the highest pace of expansion since the second quarter of 2000 and a significantly better outturn than projected in the Commission services’ spring 2006 forecasts (0.6%). At the same time, real GDP growth in the first quarter was revised upwards to 0.8%. As a consequence, the carryover for annual GDP growth in 2006 has been revised strongly upward, from 1.2% to 2.1%. The underlying growth momentum, as measured by the year-on-year growth rate, edged up from 1.8% in the last quarter of 2005 to 2.6% in the second quarter of 2006, the best reading since 2001. The strengthening of activity was also accompanied by an encouraging rebalancing of the source of demand with net trade accounting for only a fraction of growth in the second quarter.

Domestic demand as the main engine of growth

The strong growth momentum in the euro-area economy is now mostly fuelled by domestic demand, which rose by 0.8% quarter-on-quarter (excluding inventories) in the first quarter and by 0.7% in the second.

In the second quarter, domestic demand was primarily driven by a surge in investment spending. Gross fixed capital formation accelerated to an astonishing (non-annualised) 2.1%, up from 0.9% in the first quarter. The breakdown of investment spending by sector is not yet available for the second quarter but there is indirect evidence that growth in investment was underpinned by robust expansion in both construction and equipment investment. Value added in the construction sector, which is

---

1 The cut-off date for the statistics included in this issue was 22 September 2006.
generally closely linked to spending in construction, increased by a remarkable 2.4% (non-annualised quarter-on-quarter) in the second quarter. This indicates that, after a disappointing start in the first few months of the year due to poor weather conditions, construction investment likely rebounded strongly in the spring. Combining data on total investment with estimates of construction spending suggests that equipment investment – which accounts for around half of total investment – also grew healthily in the second quarter.

The investment recovery, which began during the first half of last year, has now acquired a robust momentum with year-on-year growth above 4.5% and all main sources of investment demand contributing to the expansion.

On the household side, the current business cycle has been characterised by a surprisingly slow response of residential construction to an environment of low interest rates and high house prices.2 However, the recovery in the sector is now clearly under way and has registered relatively solid growth since the second quarter of 2005. Furthermore, it is encouraging to note that housing investment in Germany, which had weighed heavily on euro-area investment data in recent years, has been sending signs of a strong upturn in the second quarter of 2006.

On the corporate side, equipment investment has been on a robust growth path since the beginning of last year except for a brief relapse in the last quarter of 2005.3 More recently, there has also been early evidence of a progressive upswing in non-residential construction. With capacity utilisation now at its highest level since the beginning of 2001, this could be an indication that the corporate sector is again expanding capacity. The recovery in business investment is buttressed by past balance sheet restructuring, favourable financing conditions and continued improvement in profitability. In particular, recent developments in real unit labour costs (which may be interpreted as an inverted measure of profit margins) have been very conducive to investment growth. Real unit labour costs have followed an almost uninterrupted downward trajectory since mid-2003. The improvement in margins has continued in the last few quarters despite a slight pick-up in wages, with growth in compensation per employee increasing from 1.5% in the second quarter of 2005 to 2.0% in

---

2 Despite the strong dynamics in house prices in many euro-area countries, construction supply in the euro area as a whole has been weak in recent years. This appears to be largely attributable to Germany, where the housing market has been strongly depressed by overcapacity since the end of the unification boom. See Quarterly Report on the Euro Area, Vol. 5 No1(2006).

3 The relapse probably owes much to the same technical factors that dampened GDP growth that quarter.
the first quarter of 2006. This pick-up, which must be assessed against the very low wage growth registered during the second half of 2005 and still leaves wage growth below its average of the last few years, was more than offset by a rebound in productivity (Graph 1).

Graph 1: Labour productivity and real unit labour costs, euro area
(y-o-y changes in % – 2000Q1 to 2006Q2)

Renewed appetite for spending in the corporate sector is also visible in corporate loan data. Loans to the non-financial corporate sector rose at an annualised rate of 11.1% in the second quarter, up from 9.2% in the first quarter. According to the ECB’s latest bank lending survey, the ongoing strong demand for corporate loans reflects mainly financing needs for fixed investments, although financing for mergers and acquisitions, corporate restructuring and debt restructuring also supported corporate loan demand.

In contrast to investment, the latest reading for private consumption was somewhat disappointing. After having grown by 0.7% in the first quarter of 2006, private consumption slowed down to 0.3% in the second quarter. The slowdown was mainly the result of a significant contraction in Germany (-0.4%) and a weak performance in Italy (0.2%). In contrast, consumption accelerated in Spain (0.8%) and in the Netherlands (0.6%) while remaining resilient in France (0.7%).

The drop in private consumption in Germany should be interpreted with caution:

- It is at odds with expectations of a positive effect of the World Cup on private spending.
- Consumption has tended to be rather volatile in Germany in the last few quarters, possibly affected by seasonal factors.

---

4 Indicators available for Q2 – such as ECB’s indicator of negotiated wages and Eurostat’s indicator of hourly labour costs – point to a further slight acceleration of wages that quarter.
The drop in the second quarter may partly be a correction to the sharp pick-up registered in the first quarter of the year (1.2%). It could also reflect the fact that foreigners’ spending in Germany during the World Cup has been classified as exports of services instead of consumption.

Overall, it would not be very surprising to see either an upward revision in consumer spending in the forthcoming national account releases or a rebound in the third quarter.

Despite the recent volatility of German consumption statistics, there are several reasons to be optimistic about household spending in the euro area. First, euro-area consumption excluding Germany has shown a smooth pattern with annual growth at about 2% since the last quarter of 2005. Second, recent data related to private consumption have been encouraging. In the past few months, households’ willingness to spend in the euro area has been visible in a pick-up of retail trade data in June and July and improving confidence in the retail sector. Consistent with robust consumption developments, lending to households has also accelerated, with growth in consumer credit running at 8.6% in July. Finally, household spending is fostered by an improving outlook for the labour market. Employment grew at an annual rate of around 1.5% in the first half of 2006, the strongest increase since the second half of 2000. Though the assessment of employment in business surveys softened slightly at the beginning of the third quarter, it still points to robust employment growth in the next few months. Meanwhile, the euro-area unemployment rate is pursuing its downward trend. In July 2006, unemployment stood at 7.8% of the labour force, a full percentage point below the peak level registered in 2004. As a consequence, households’ employment expectations have improved substantially since the spring, thereby providing support to consumer confidence.

Overall, near-term prospects for private consumption appear good. At this juncture, the main source of uncertainty in household spending is related to prices. Headline inflation eased slightly over the summer to 2.3% in August and oil prices have dropped significantly since the record highs reached in July and August. Nevertheless, previous oil price pressures are still fuelling producer prices inflation, suggesting that high energy costs could be gradually passed-through into final goods and service prices in the months to come, thereby continuing to weigh on purchasing power. In any event, prices remain a source of concern for households, with consumer surveys showing a significant rise in price expectations.  

A supportive, but softening, global environment

Growth in euro-area exports slowed in the second quarter (1.3% q-o-q) after a very strong acceleration in the first quarter (3.9%). The deceleration is in line with recent developments in world trade. According to the latest estimates of the CPB Netherlands Bureau of Economic Policy Analysis, quarter-on-quarter growth in world trade decelerated from 2.7% in the first quarter to a 0.9% in the second.

The deceleration in both world trade and euro-area exports should be interpreted with prudence. Trade data tend to be volatile and the underlying momentum remains robust: euro-area export growth was still at 9% year-on-year in the second quarter while growth in world trade was running at a healthy 8% in July (year-on-year). Nevertheless, signs of a softening of the global

5 By contrast, data on inflation-indexed bonds suggest that long-term inflation expectations remain stable.
environment are also visible in GDP data. While world GDP growth continues to be robust, growth appears to have moderated in some key regions. In particular, there is some evidence of a slowdown in the US economy. US GDP growth slowed down to 0.7% (quarter-on-quarter) in the second quarter of 2006 from a first quarter estimate of 1.4%. This deceleration reflected a drop in household spending and fixed investment spending. In Japan, GDP growth in the second quarter of 2006 decelerated to 0.2% (quarter-on-quarter) from 0.7% in the first quarter. At the same time, the expansion in China remains strong, with growth accelerating to 10.9% year-on-year in the first half of 2006.

Against this background, the global economy is likely to be somewhat less buoyant during the second half of the year than during the first half. In line with this assessment, most recent survey indicators of the world economy point to a period of growth moderation over the coming months. The July reading of the quarterly World Economic Survey clearly indicates a marked deterioration of expectations concerning the next six months, the first one since November 2005. In the global Purchasing Managers' Index survey, the indicator for world export expectations also declined in August for the second month in a row.

Combined with the lagged effects of the moderate appreciation of the effective euro exchange rate since the beginning of the year, the softening of the global economy means that the international environment will become somewhat less supportive for euro-area exporters in the months to come. However, it should be noted that export prospects as reported in manufacturing surveys have so far remained at a record high.

**Business confidence has eased, but still points to robust growth ahead**

An inflexion in business confidence has been noticeable in manufacturing since July. DG ECFIN’s Business Climate Indicator for the euro area fell in August for the second month in a row. The easing of confidence seems to reflect several factors. The drop in July was mainly explained by the German manufacturers' assessment of past production trends falling back, after surging in June on the back of the World Cup. In contrast, the decrease in August was more broad-based among Member States and mostly due to a deterioration of production expectations. The easing of confidence, which is also visible in other manufacturing surveys such as IFO and Reuters’ PMI, reflects increasing expectations of a downshift in global industrial production on the back of weakening prospects for the global economy. It could also mirror worries related to the planned increase in VAT in Germany in 2007. On a more positive note, the indicator for manufacturing of the National Bank of Belgium – which is the first business confidence indicator available for September – has risen and remains well above its long-term average.

Regarding the rest of the economy, recent business surveys have been conveying somewhat conflicting messages. DG ECFIN’s survey shows a stabilisation of managers' confidence in the euro-area service sector over the summer. Meanwhile, Reuters Service Index displayed a significant drop in July and declined marginally further in August. In contrast, surveys in the construction and retail sectors have continued to trend upwards.

Overall, signs of an easing in confidence seem clearer in the manufacturing sector than in the rest of the economy and are related to concerns about a possible softening of production prospects in the coming months rather than a weakening of current activity.

**Graph 3: Business confidence indicators, euro area**
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Source: Commission services.
Table 3: Real GDP growth
(Interim Commission Services' forecast, September 2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quarterly GDP forecast</th>
<th>Annual GDP forecast for 2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(quarter-on-quarter in %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro area</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Data for 2006/1 and 2006/2 are estimates released by Eurostat. Where possible, the quarterly growth rates are working-day and seasonally-adjusted, whereas the annual projections are unadjusted.

Source: Commission services.

Short-term outlook and risks

Despite their recent decline, most survey indicators are still well above their long-term averages. This suggests steady expansion of economic activity during the second half of the year, although growth is likely to moderate somewhat. The first hard data available for the beginning of the third quarter support this assessment. Retail sales and industrial new orders have both picked up significantly in July. Industrial production declined in July after a flat reading in June but year-on-year industrial production growth continues to be strong (3.2%) and the July decline seems to partly reflect seasonal adjustment problems.

According to the Commission services' interim forecasts released on 6 September, economic growth should remain above potential throughout the second half of 2006, at about 0.7% quarter-on-quarter (Table 3). For the year as a whole, GDP is now projected to increase by 2.5%, which represents a 0.4 percentage point upward revision compared with the Commission services’ spring forecasts. The revision is mostly due to the stronger-than-expected GDP reading during the first half whereas projected growth during the second half of the year has been lifted only marginally. Regarding prices, the forecast has hardly been changed with HICP inflation now projected to average 2.3% in 2006, i.e. 0.1 percentage point higher than in the spring forecast.

Looking further ahead, growth is likely to decelerate somewhat in 2007 as a result of a deterioration of financing conditions, the temporary impact of the VAT increase in Germany and a softening of the global economy.

Near-term risks to the growth outlook seem slightly tilted to the upside. With the recent easing of oil prices and continued improvement in the labour market, household spending could rise more strongly than assumed, leading to higher growth than expected during the second half of 2006.

Further ahead, however, downside risks to growth from the external side are becoming more prominent. First, there is a non-negligible risk that the slowdown in world economic growth, particularly in the USA, will be stronger than assumed. Second, although they have come down since August, oil prices remain a recurrent risk in a context of persistent tensions in the Middle East. Third, a disorderly unwinding of global imbalances continues to be a threat to the global growth outlook. Finally, a possible resurgence of protectionist tensions amid problems with the Doha trade round could hamper trade integration which has been a major driver of growth in recent years.

Monetary and financial conditions

On 3 August, the ECB hiked its policy rates for the fourth time since December 2005. The ECB's key policy rate currently stands at 3%. The main reasons behind the interest rate hikes were the upside risks to price stability over the medium term, identified by both the ECB's
Box 1: The upcoming euro-area enlargement

On 11 July 2006, the Economic and Financial Affairs Council (Ecofin) adopted a decision allowing Slovenia to adopt the euro as its currency as from 1 January 2007, and a regulation fixing the irrevocable conversion rate between the Slovenian tolar and the euro. Slovenia will be the first of the ten Member States that joined the EU on 1 May 2004 to enter the euro area. Adoption of the euro as Slovenia's currency will occur at the same time as the issuing of euro notes and coins. The conversion rate is set at 239.64 Slovenian tolars to the euro, which corresponds to the current central rate of the tolar within the ERM II exchange-rate mechanism.

Slovenia's entry into the euro area is the coronation of a successful process of convergence towards the euro area accompanied by stability-oriented policies and structural reforms. As far as nominal convergence is concerned, the European Commission concluded in its Convergence Report on Slovenia of 16 May 2006 that all Treaty criteria (on price stability, exchange rate stability, interest rate convergence and public finances) were fulfilled. (*)

Slovenia has also made considerable progress in terms of real convergence. In 2005, Slovenian GDP per capita in Purchasing Power Standards reached 76% of the euro area level (81% of the EU-25 average), compared to 62% in 1996. Slovenia maintained robust growth at around 4% since 2004 and growth is expected to accelerate to around 5% in 2006. The employment rate in Slovenia was 66% in 2005 (63.5% in the euro area) and the unemployment rate 6.5% (8.6% in the euro area). Labour productivity has been increasing at robust rates (3.2% average annual increase in 2003-5) but is still only at 73% of the euro-area average. The current account has been hovering around balance since 2001 (deficit of 1.1% of GDP in 2005) and Slovenia enjoys a strong export performance being a gateway to South-eastern Europe.

Slovenia is highly integrated with the euro area; trade with the euro area accounted for 31% of GDP in 2005 (trade with all EU countries was 45% of GDP) and FDI inflows from the euro area, although lower than in other recently acceded Member States, are growing. Slovenia is, among the new Member States, one of the economies whose industrial structure is similar to that of the euro area. Slovenia's economic cycle is already well synchronised with the euro area. The correlation of its cycle to the euro-area average is comparable to that of several current EMU member states.

The transition to the euro should have a limited short-term demand impact. Interest rate convergence has been largely achieved; the short-term interest rate differential vis-à-vis the euro decreased from 180 basis points in the autumn of 2005 to some 25 basis points in the summer of 2006 and the spread for long-term interest rates has shrunk to under 10 basis points. Slovenia has already a developed financial system, which has substantially integrated into the broader EU financial system. In the longer term, the real catching-up process is expected to continue, and with it the convergence in the level of prices (74% of the euro area in 2004).

Slovenia will become one of the smallest economies in the euro area, contributing only 0.4% to euro area GDP and 0.6% to its population. Slovenia's membership in the monetary union brings additional opportunities for its citizens and businesses, and adds to the euro area a member with above-average GDP growth rates and a stable macroeconomic performance. However, in the new monetary environment, it is essential that Slovenia's economic policy will continue to be geared towards preserving macroeconomic stability and competitiveness. Above all, more effort will be needed to implement product market, labour market and social-welfare reforms to enhance the flexibility and resilience of the economy.

economic and monetary analysis. The hikes should contribute to ensuring that medium- to longer-term inflation expectations in the euro area remain solidly anchored at levels consistent with price stability.

Even after four interest rate hikes, the current level of interest rates remains low across the entire maturity spectrum and monetary policy continues to be accommodative. Deflated by inflation expectations, real short-term interest rates stand currently at around 1%. Nevertheless, monetary conditions in the euro area, as measured by the Monetary Conditions Index (MCI), have somewhat tightened over the recent months, driven by the euro appreciation and the increase in short-term interest rates. Since mid-June, the euro exchange rate has appreciated by about 2% against the US dollar. In the same period, the euro has gained around 3.3% against the Japanese yen and reached a historical high at above 150 JPY/EUR at the end of August.

As the year has progressed, it has become increasingly clear that the US Fed is approaching the end of its current tightening cycle, with the Fed funds rate at 5.25%. By contrast, markets see continued upward potential for euro-area interest rates. At present, financial market participants and many analysts anticipate two further 25 basis points rate increases by the ECB by the end of the year and consider another hike in the first quarter of 2007 somewhat probable.

Since early July, 10-year government bond yields in the euro area have dropped by around 50 basis points and stand currently at around 3.7%. The recent decline of bond yields seems to reflect some disappointing sentiment indicators and lower inflation in the euro area as well as speculations in the USA about a possible end to the Fed’s tightening cycle. Although bond yields in the euro area and the USA show similar underlying trends, the differential between US and euro-area 10-year government bond yields has gradually declined since mid-June and is currently slightly below 100 basis points.

---

For a more detailed analysis of financial market developments see Section 2 'Latest financial market developments and macro-financial stability outlook'.
2. Latest financial market developments and macro-financial stability outlook

A prolonged recovery in global equity markets interrupted by a sharp price correction in May-June…

Having risen steadily in the early months of 2006, there was a sharp correction in equity prices in the second week of May, with markets in the emerging economies most affected. The correction was triggered by higher-than-expected US inflation data and announcements of monetary tightening in Japan, prompting investor concern about the prospect of a more rapid-than-expected withdrawal of liquidity by the major central banks. Although evidence suggests that earning estimates were not significantly reduced at the time of the correction, there was a sharp jump in market volatility from historically low levels (Graph 6).

Over the same period, much larger declines in equity prices were experienced in the emerging economies and some countries in Central and Eastern Europe. For example, prices fell by 38% in India, 30% in Turkey and 26% in Brazil. They also dropped by more than 30% in Hungary, by 27% in Poland and by 25% in the Czech Republic (Graph 9). The equity-market correction was also broadly based from a sectoral perspective. While all the main sectors suffered significant losses, the market correction impacted more heavily on typically volatile sectors such as technology and less stable sectors such as retail sales.
Flight to quality and considerable herding among investors…

The generalised equity-price correction reflected an abrupt shift in investor sentiment away from assets perceived as relatively risky. As investors sought to reduce their risk exposure, there was a switch from equity markets to (mainly government) bond markets and away from assets in emerging economies to assets in the industrialised economies. To the extent that the equity-market turbulence implied a switch between asset classes in the industrialised economies, there were limited implications for the major currencies. In contrast, the flow of capital out of the emerging-economy equity markets was accompanied by significant exchange-rate movements. By mid-September, equity prices had more or less returned to their January 2006 level in the vast majority of the countries affected by earlier turbulence.

Such abrupt price corrections could be a cause for concern…

From an historical perspective, euro-area equity valuations seem reasonable and, as in bond markets, structural factors should underpin demand for equities going forward. Nevertheless, the episode of market turbulence points to heightened nervousness among investors.

In this context, the rapid recovery in equity-market sentiment since June has prompted differing interpretations of the May-June episode among market commentators.

On the one hand, it has been argued that the equity price correction was an isolated event, reflecting a healthy response to market valuations that had become clearly overstretched in the early months of 2006. A perception of overvaluation in these markets (particularly in the emerging economies7) had built up since the beginning of 2006 and left them particularly vulnerable to adverse news such as higher-than-expected US inflation data.

An alternative – and more pessimistic – view highlights the exaggerated market reaction to the US inflation data as evidence of an 'unstable investor psychology' in conditions where assets may be 'priced for perfection' in an environment of considerable uncertainty.8 According to this view, investor uncertainty can be traced to a range of factors that are not solely economic but also include rising geopolitical tensions, a heightened risk of a major terrorist attack, etc. Financial markets being more sensitive to risk, their reaction to future adverse shocks could be faster and stronger. On this basis, the recent bout of market turbulence could be interpreted as a harbinger of a more sustained – and potentially disruptive – adjustment in international financial markets in the future.

Steady demand for fixed income securities…

Investors' demand for fixed income securities remains high, despite the progressively tighter policy stance adopted by monetary authorities. In the euro area, higher short-term interest rates have been reflected in rising bond yields at the shorter end of the curve, while longer-term yields have moved more in line with developments in the US Treasury market (Graph 10). Overall, the euro yield curve became slightly more positively sloped during the first half of 2006, but has flattened again since July (while the US yield curve is even less positively sloped and slightly inverted at the short end). The decline in longer-term yields since July reflects a shift in investor sentiment from concern about a further US

7 See, for example, BIS Quarterly Review of March 2006.
monetary tightening in response to inflation risk to confidence that an economic slowdown in the second half of 2006 will moderate inflation pressure. This view was reinforced by the Federal Reserve’s decision not to raise the federal funds rates in early August and late September.

Increased discrimination among fixed-income assets based on credit risk

There has been a modest widening in yield spreads on lower-rated corporate bonds since April (Graph 11). Yield spreads on the euro-area’s lower-rated government issuers (i.e. Italy and Greece) over Bund have also widened slightly to about 30 basis points in the 10-year maturity, compared to spreads of only a few basis points for the other euro-area government borrowers. Nevertheless, issuance conditions in bond markets remain favourable by historical standards. Gross issuance of euro-denominated bonds has stabilised at a relatively high level in recent months, with central government and financial institutions accounting for the bulk of issuance (Graph 12). While yields are now significantly above the lows recorded in mid-2005, they remain low in a longer-term perspective.

Amid increased uncertainty about global economic growth and inflation, the near-term outlook for bond markets is unclear

Inflation-adjusted benchmark yields have risen substantially since mid-2005, reflecting the tightening in global liquidity conditions and implying a corresponding deterioration in financing conditions across the EU25 and euro-area economies. Looking forward, market expectations of a global economic slowdown in the coming months, as well as other more structural factors, are likely to support the

9 These factors include (a) the high anti-inflation credibility of central banks, which has limited market concern about inflation; (b) expectations of a deceleration in world economic growth, led by a cooling in the US housing
demand for fixed-income assets and limit upward pressure on yields. Meanwhile, concern about inflation among bond investors seems to have eased. The break-even inflation rate on index-linked government bonds suggests that long-term inflation expectations are stable, while the recent decline in longer yields – in tandem with corresponding yields in the United States – would seem to confirm that markets have been reassured by the counter-inflationary policy measures already taken by monetary authorities.

On the other hand, survey evidence suggests that inflation pressure may still be mounting in the short run and that the risk of second-round effects from durably high oil prices may not have been fully discounted in markets. The implication of a higher inflation rate than currently discounted would be upward pressure on benchmark yields.

Irrespective of developments in benchmark yields, a possible further widening of yield spreads between benchmark and lower-rated issues would not be precluded if changes in the economic and/or political environment were to lead to greater risk aversion among investors.

Irrespective of developments in benchmark yields, a possible further widening of yield spreads between benchmark and lower-rated issues would not be precluded if changes in the economic and/or political environment were to lead to greater risk aversion among investors.

**Financial intermediaries withstood the recent turbulences and continue to perform well…**

Many financial institutions – notably the banking sector – continue to record very high quarterly earnings, although there have been warnings of a more difficult operating environment in the coming months. In aggregate, solvency ratios for the euro-area banking sector appear sound and the capacity of the sector to absorb adverse shocks seems robust. In consequence, the sector has enjoyed an above average degree of credit-rating stability (and even a slight bias towards upgrading) relative to other sectors.

Equity market indicators confirm the strength of the banks’ performance (Graph 13), with the sectoral index progressively moving into line with the total market in the early part of 2006 and remaining broadly in line with the total market amid the recent equity market turbulence. The same analysis holds for the insurance sector which, despite a series of national catastrophes in 2005, continued to improve its performance and capacity to absorb shocks. The assessment is more mixed for pension funds. On average, their funding levels continued to improve but were adversely affected by the recent equity-market turbulence.

**… but households and corporate balance sheets risks could be a source of vulnerability**

While still below levels recorded in the late 1990s, recent data suggest a marked acceleration in borrowing in the total non-financial corporate sector, which is consistent with the evidence of a recovery in private investment spurred by the economic upturn. Coming after a prolonged period of largely constant debt levels in terms of GDP in the corporate sectors, the recent trend focuses attention on the implications of high debt levels for investment outlays in the event of a sharper-than-expected rise in interest rates. In this respect, the question arises whether the extent of debt consolidation achieved by euro-area corporations since 1999 (which has been much less than that achieved by US corporations) has been sufficient to avoid the risk that a sharp rise in funding costs might...
undermine the still nascent recovery in euro-area investment activity.

Graph 14: Outstanding bank loans to households in the euro area and Member States in 2005 (1) and projected 2008 (2) (in % of GDP)

The situation of households is more worrisome as the debt dynamics (mostly mortgage debt) appear unsustainable in some Member States, particularly in a context of rising interest rates. At the end of 2005, household debt was above 60% of GDP in half of the euro-area Member States and above 70% in Ireland, Luxembourg and the Netherlands (Graph 14). An extrapolation of current trends in debt accumulation and nominal GDP to 2008 suggests an unprecedented additional debt burden for several Member States. For example, household debt would increase to about 120% of GDP in Ireland, about 100% of GDP in the Netherlands and about 95% of GDP in Spain (Graph 14). Member States with such high household debt ratios seem particularly vulnerable to the higher debt servicing costs implied by rising interest rates, with negative implications for private consumption expenditure. Higher interest rates are likely to impact most strongly on households which have borrowed at variable interest rates (which tend to be predominant in Spain, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Finland).

With private-sector debt at historically high levels in many euro-area Member States, financial-market turbulence could be expected to feed back into the real sector via reductions in the level of investment and household spending. Although this does not seem to be a problem for the euro area as a whole; a disorderly adjustment in housing markets represents a specific risk to economic growth in those Member States in which the accumulation of household debt has a counterpart in very high house valuations.

Conclusion

The baseline scenario for the euro-area macro-financial environment is generally reassuring. Except for the episode of equity market turbulence in May-June, the performance of euro-area financial markets has been favourable so far in 2006. Nevertheless, the downside risks to the macro-financial environment have intensified. Regarding the international environment, the possibility of a sharper-than-expected deceleration in global economic growth cannot be excluded in a context of high oil prices, tightening monetary policies, significant global imbalances and rising geopolitical tension. This combination of factors has contributed to an increase in investor sensitivity to risk exposure, in conditions where asset valuations in many markets have become stretched. On the domestic side, corporate and, above all, households’ balance sheets are an additional potential source of vulnerability.

10 Taking developments from 2002 until 2005 as a basis.

11 The increase in the household debt to GDP ratio would also be substantial in Greece (+24%) and in Austria (+23%), albeit from a considerably lower current level.
3 Recycling of oil-exporting countries’ oil revenues: more beneficial to the euro area than in the past

The alleviating effect of oil-exporting countries’ recycling of oil revenues

The increase in oil prices over the past few years has had a dampening effect on growth conditions in the euro area, but this has partly been alleviated by oil-exporting countries’ recycling of windfall profits that translate into investment from abroad and extra demand for euro-area goods and services.

Given the relatively price-inelastic demand for oil, at least in the short run, the oil price increase has led to a substantial direct income transfer from oil-consuming countries to oil-exporting countries. This direct effect can explain a worsening of the euro area current account by about 0.9% of GDP since 2002. It has been even larger in the US, which is less energy-efficient. At the same time, the export revenues of oil-exporting countries have rocketed.

Graph 15: Oil prices and euro-area GDP growth (1997Q1 to 2006Q2)
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Source: Ecowin, Commission services.

The euro-area economy has shown remarkable resilience to the continued increases in oil and other energy prices, in particular when compared with the experience of the surge in oil prices in the 1970s. Euro-area growth has actually gained strength and the consumer price response has remained modest. There are several plausible explanations for this: (1) the oil intensity of the euro area, as measured by oil consumption in relation to GDP, has been roughly halved since the early 1970s; (2) improvements in product- and labour-market flexibility are facilitating the reallocation of resources and thereby reducing the impact of oil price increases on economic activity; (3) monetary policy has firmly anchored inflation expectations for the euro area and thus so far limited the emergence of second-round effects in wage and price setting; and finally (as analysed in this section) (4) the recycling of revenues by the oil-exporters appears to have benefited the euro area with both a positive impact on investment from abroad and an increased demand for euro-area goods and services.

Graph 16: Oil-exporting countries’ current account surpluses (billion USD – 1995 to 2005)
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(1) Oil exporters include the Gulf Cooperation Council, Iran, Russia, Nigeria, Norway and Venezuela.

Source: IMF World Economic Outlook, April 2006.

The potential importance of the recycling of oil revenues for euro-area investments and exports is emphasised by the sheer scale of the oil revenue transfer. The six members of the Gulf Cooperation Council (Saudi Arabia, Bahrain, Kuwait, Oman, the United Arab Emirates and Qatar) currently export 14.9 million barrels of oil per day, equivalent to about USD 350 billion in annual export revenues. Their combined current account surplus equalled about USD 155 billion in 2005, slightly less than the surplus of China (Table 5). The combined current account surplus of a larger group of oil-exporting countries including the GCC, Iran, Nigeria, Norway, Russia and Venezuela has risen to as much as about USD 340 billion, equivalent to 43% of the US current account deficit in 2005, compared to just USD 85 billion (18%) in 2002.
### Table 5: Economic weight and current account surpluses, 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Share in world GDP (in %)</th>
<th>Share in world oil extraction (in %)</th>
<th>Current account surplus, (% of GDP)</th>
<th>Current account surplus, (billion USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Euro area</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Oil-exporting countries (1)</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>340.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCC</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Of which: Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other oil-exp. countries</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- China</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- USA</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>-6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Oil exporters include the GCC, Iran, Norway, Nigeria, Russia and Venezuela.

Source: IMF WEO September 2006 and Commission services calculations.

### Oil-exporting countries have learned to cope with high oil prices

Most oil-exporting countries have now learned the lessons from the 1970s and 1980s, and this has led to a change in the way oil revenues are used. During the current surge in oil prices, improved fiscal prudence by most oil exporters is generating huge government surpluses, which are used to pay down domestic debt and increase savings abroad. The authorities do pursue economic development at home, most visibly in Dubai, but within the limits of a sustainable fiscal framework.

In addition, although their dependency on oil revenues remains high, most oil-exporting countries have become more economically diversified. In the GCC countries oil revenues accounted for about 73% of exports and 84% of fiscal revenues in 2005, compared to more than 90% of both in the 1970s.

### The financial market channel and the trade channel

Oil revenues are re-injected into the global economy either through investments abroad (the financial market channel) or imports (the trade channel). The large increases in current-account surpluses of most oil-exporting countries show that imports, driven by domestic demand, have increased at a more modest pace than the oil-driven export revenues. This is partly explained by the prudent fiscal policies followed by many oil-producing countries in recent years. The Gulf countries have only spent about 35% of the increase in oil revenues on imports in the period 2002-2005 compared to about 60% in 1973-1976. Although Russia has spent a higher share of its export revenues on imports, it has also repaid all of its outstanding Paris Club and IMF debt and built up a considerable oil reserve fund (with USD 77 billion accumulated since its creation in 2004).

### Investing in New York…

The pattern of recycling oil revenues via the financial market channel has changed significantly since the first oil price shock. While in the 1970s oil savings were largely placed in international (mainly US) banks, during the present period of high oil prices only a fraction of the additional oil revenues have been
deposited in foreign banks. Only about USD 77 billion (22% of the oil-exporting countries current account surpluses) were placed as additional net deposits with international banks in 2005 (Table 6). A noteworthy exception is Russia, which increased its net international bank deposits by USD 37.9 billion, the equivalent of more than 40% of its current-account surplus, in 2005.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6: Net assets held with BIS reporting banks (billion USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Oil exporters include the GCC, Iran, Norway, Nigeria, Russia and Venezuela.

Source: BIS international banking statistics.

Investment in fixed income (not least in US Treasury bills) and equity is now likely to be the primary form of investment abroad by oil exporters. However, the complexity of international financial transactions, including the use of international settlement systems, offshore financial institutions and hedge funds makes it increasingly difficult – if not impossible – to track these investments. Direct holdings of US Treasury bills by oil-exporting countries have shown an upward trend with an increase of USD 22 billion in 2005 and a continued increase early this year (Table 7), but these holdings only account for a fraction of the USD 340 billion current account surplus registered by the group of oil-exporting countries in 2005. A much larger increase in holdings of US Treasury securities is attributed to the UK (including the Channel Islands and Isle of Man), which could be explained by the fact that oil-exporting countries carry out investments through London or use offshore financial centres.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 7: Major foreign holders of US treasury securities (billion USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>end 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) In this table oil exporters include the GCC, Algeria, Ecuador, Gabon, Indonesia, Iran, Iraq, Libya, Nigeria, Venezuela. Russia is not included, but only has a small amount of US treasury securities.

Source: BIS international banking statistics.

While such policy shifts do not affect overall global liquidity, they may affect interest rate levels in major economic regions of the world. The liquidity provided by oil-exporting countries may until now have helped to support lower interest rates in the USA, although a statistically significant impact has been difficult to find. The impact on euro-area interest rates and the euro exchange rate has so far been considered to

12 However, it is worth bearing in mind that annual changes in net asset data may be distorted by valuation effects.

13 Most oil-exporting countries do not themselves publish detailed data on foreign investment. Among the six GCC countries only Bahrain publishes its international investment position.

be negligible, but may increase if the share of oil revenues invested in euro-denominated assets continues to rise.

Another novel feature compared to previous periods of high oil prices is the "home bias". Increased investments of oil revenues within the regions has had a visible impact across the Middle East and North Africa, and also, but to a much lesser extent, in the CIS. Beyond the GCC countries themselves, the investment boom has been most evident in Lebanon and Jordan, but also in Egypt, Morocco and Syria. The recent sharp stock market declines and geopolitical instability in the region could dampen this "home-bias", not least via a shift in risk perception.

…and shopping in Paris

The second channel for recycling of oil revenues, the trade channel, is particularly relevant for the euro area. The euro area is a major trading partner of many oil-exporting countries in the Gulf and the CIS region and the main provider of their increase in imports. As much as 32% of Russia's imports originate from the euro area, while the figure is 28% for Saudi Arabia, 34% for Iran and 36% for Norway.\(^\text{15}\)

Imports by the oil-exporting countries increased by about USD 106 billion (23%) in 2005, driven in particular by the rise in imports of the GCC countries and Russia (Graph 17). During the same period, the current account surplus increased by USD 140 billion (from USD 200 billion in 2004 to USD 340 billion in 2005). The trade channel therefore accounted for roughly 40% of the recycling of the export revenue increase in 2005, while the larger share was recycled via the financial market channel. There are however marked cross-country differences. Russia appears to have recycled about 55% of the export revenue increase via the trade channel in 2005, while the figure is roughly 35% for the GCC countries, and in the order of 40% for the other countries.

Recycling via the trade channel appears to have become more beneficial to the euro area than it was during the 1970s despite the increased fiscal prudence of oil exporters. For every dollar of additional export revenues received from the euro area, oil exporters spent on average an additional 74 cents on imports of euro-area goods in 2000-2005 (Graph 18). During the 1973-1981 surges in oil prices only 59 cents out of each dollar were recycled back to the euro area through higher imports. The ratio of oil exporters' imports to exports vis-à-vis the euro area has risen. In 2002-2004 this ratio was close to one and thus the euro area had only a small trade deficit with a broad group of oil-exporting countries despite the rise in oil prices.

As a consequence, the demand increase has in recent years had a noteworthy alleviating effect on the euro-area economy via the trade channel. Exports of goods from the euro area to the group of oil-exporting countries increased by about USD 23 billion (17%) in 2005 and is expected to increase further in 2006. This was equivalent to about 0.3% of euro-area GDP.

---

\(^{15}\) In addition, increased investment by oil-exporting countries into the Middle East and North Africa has likely fostered demand for euro-area products and machinery in those countries.
Perspectives for the future

Government spending in many oil-exporting countries is planned in a medium-term framework and large oil funds have been set up to save for the future. In this framework a substantial part of the oil revenues will continue to be saved and reinvested in the global economy, as long as the oil price stays well above the perceived long-term equilibrium level.

The way oil-extracting countries perceive the long-term equilibrium oil price level may thus be pivotal to the future recycling of oil revenues. Their perception may depend on a variety of variables: historical oil prices; geopolitical developments; progress towards alternative energy sources, and supply and demand forecasts. As oil prices have been growing for three consecutive years, perceptions of the long-run equilibrium oil price are likely to have shifted upwards. This would be in line with market expectations indicating that a considerable part of the price increase during the last three years is likely to be of a more permanent nature. As a result, some countries have already increased the equilibrium oil price used to determine the share of oil revenues that should be saved for the future. The recent positive trend in euro-area exports to oil-exporting countries may thus continue for some time.

Nevertheless, the life span of the known natural resources in some oil-exporting countries (including Azerbaijan, Norway and Oman) is relatively short. In these countries the motivation to save for future generations is less dependent on the perceived long-term oil price level. Concerns about the absorption capacity of the domestic economy may also lead some oil-exporting countries to continue restraining public spending.

Conclusions

The recycling of oil revenues by oil-exporting countries benefits the euro area via increased demand for euro-area goods and services and a positive impact on investment from abroad.

The pattern of recycling via the financial market channel has changed since the first oil price shock. While in the 1970s oil savings were largely placed in international (mainly US) banks, during the present period of high oil prices only a fraction of the additional oil revenues have been deposited in foreign banks. Although US assets are still likely to be the first choice for safe investments abroad, as many oil-producing countries have their exchange rates fixed to the US dollar and oil prices are quoted in US dollars, there has been a gradual increase in the share of euro-denominated assets, for both the Gulf countries and Russia.

The trade channel is important for the euro-area economy. The euro area is the main trading partner of most oil-exporting economies in the Gulf and the CIS region and thus the main beneficiary of their increase in imports. During the current surge in oil prices a larger share of the export revenues are being recycled back into the euro-area economy via the trade channel than was the case during the 1970s. This substantial recycling of oil revenues appears to have contributed, among other factors, to strengthen the resilience of the euro-area economy to the increases in oil prices.

---

16 The threshold price for the Russian Stabilisation Fund was raised from USD 20 to USD 27 per barrel in 2006, and the threshold for the State Oil fund of Azerbaijan was also raised from USD 25 to USD 35 in the 2006 budget.