



European Commission
Agriculture and Rural Development



Economic analysis of the effects of the expiry of the EU milk quota system

Preliminary results from the IDEI study

DG AGRI G-2
Pierre Bascou



Structure of the presentation

- 1 – Objectives and analytical approach
- 2 – Key results
 - Reference scenario
 - Long-term impact of quota abolition
 - Medium-term impact of alternative scenarios
- 3 – Comparison of results
- 4 - Conclusions



Objectives of the study

- Assess the medium and long-term impacts of several quota ending scenarios for the present milk quota system and the CMO for milk and milk products on
 - Milk producers
 - EU dairy commodity markets
 - World dairy commodity markets



Analytical approach

- Study carried out by *Institut d'économie industrielle* using the EDIM model (same model used for the 2003 CAP reform impact assessment)
- Country coverage:
 - EU15 MSs, HU, CZ, PL, EU7 aggregate, BG and RO
 - Oceania and 4 net importing regions: CIS+RoEurope, Asia, Africa+Middle East and America
- Product coverage:
 - Butter, SMP, WMP, Casein
 - Condensed milk, cream, liquid milk, fresh products
 - Cheese (fresh, soft, semi-hard, hard, blue and processed)
- Trade policy environment based on Uruguay round agreement
- Recent EU policy developments not covered: mini-milk package, 2008 quota increase proposal



Overview of scenarios

- 'Reference' scenario
 - Current policy setting maintained post 2014/15
- 2 'soft landing' scenarios (quota increase from 2009, quota abolition in 2015; other policy measures are unchanged)
 - Annual increase in quotas by 1% ('+1%' scenario)
 - Annual increase in quotas by 2% ('+2%' scenario)
- 2 'hard landing' scenarios
 - Quota abolition in 2009 ('H09' scenario)
 - Quota abolition in 2015 ('H15' scenario)
 - In both cases other policy measures are unchanged



Sensitivity analysis on key elements

- ‘No subsidies’ – prices are allowed to fall below intervention price levels
- ‘Low costs/high quota rents’ – alternative assumptions on base year marginal costs
- ‘Low demand’ – assuming a lower growth rate for EU and world demand
- ‘WTO scenario’ – based on a simplified reading of the Falconer proposal on draft modalities (July 2007)




Reference scenario 1 / 4

- Determinant factors:
 - Growing EU demand for fat and protein (+0.1% and +0.5% p.a.)
 - Slightly declining EU demand for butter
 - Growing world demand for dairy commodities (+2-3% world imports)
 - Binding quotas limit production growth



Reference scenario 2 / 4

EU milk production, milk price and quota rent (2008 = 100)







Reference scenario 3 / 4

EU bulk commodity markets in 2020 (versus 2008)

Butter



SMP






Reference scenario 4 / 4

EU value added markets in 2020 (versus 2008)

- EU demand growth exceeds production growth
 - Increasing EU price
 - Lower EU exports
- World demand growth leads to increasing world prices


Cheese





Long-term impact of quota removal in 2020


(all quota removal scenarios vs. reference scenario in %)





Long-term impact of quota removal in 2020 on EU milk production

(all quota removal scenarios vs. reference scenario in %)






'Soft landing' – main results for +1% and +2%

		'+1%'	'+2%'
Milk production	Annual increase <i>prior to quota removal (ie. 2009-2014)</i>	+0.7%	+0.8%
	Total increase <i>following quota removal (ie. 2015/2014)</i>	+1.4%	+0.6%
Milk price	Trend <i>prior to quota removal</i>	stable	
	Impact of <i>quota removal</i>	-2.9%	-0.9%
SMP	SMP price prior to quota removal: demand growth for protein > production growth	Increase (at lower rates than status quo), remains above intervention price	
Butter	Butter price: production growth of fat > demand for fat	Decrease, reaching the intervention price in:	
		3rd year	2nd year




Soft landing scenarios

EU milk production, milk price and quota rent (2008 = 100)



Scenario '+1%'



Scenario '+2%'



Impact at Member State level during the 'phasing out' period


- Three groups:
 - Production increase = quota increase: Austria, Belgium, Finland, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Spain (quota-fulfillment in 2014)
 - Production decrease: Sweden, UK, EU-10 Member States [due to low quota rents and impact of declining milk price]
 - Production increase < quota increase: all other EU-15 Member States (under-fulfillment of quotas in 2014)




Soft landing scenarios – Butter market

(deviation from reference scenario in %, 2009 - 2014)


OUTPUT




DEMAND



EXPORT







WORLD PRICE





Soft landing scenarios – SMP market





(deviation from reference scenario in %, 2009 - 2014)





Soft landing scenarios – Cheese market

(deviation from reference scenario in %, 2009 - 2014)






Hard landing – main results for H09 and H15

		'H09'	'H15'
Milk production	Impact of <i>quota removal</i> (during the 1st year)	+3.2%	+4.0%
Milk price	Trend prior to <i>quota removal</i>	n.a.	+7.0%
	Impact of <i>quota removal</i> (during the 1st year)	-7.0%	-8.2%
SMP	Price following <i>quota removal</i>	Decrease, but remains above intervention price	
Butter	Price following <i>quota removal</i>	Decrease, reaching the intervention price	




Hard landing scenarios

EU milk production, milk price and quota rent (2008 = 100)




Scenario 'H09'



Scenario 'H15'



Comparison of quota removal scenarios - Milk production - 2008 = 100






Comparison of quota removal scenarios


- Milk price -

2008 = 100





Comparison of quota removal scenarios - SMP prices - 2008 = 100





Sensitivity analysis

(deviation of milk price and production vs. quota removal scenarios)

Table: Results for scenario '+1%' in year 2015-16

Variant	Production	Price
No subsidies	-0.5%	-1.4%
Low costs	+4.1%	-7.7%
Low demand	-1.0%	-2.7%
WTO scenario	0.0%	-0.2%



Conclusion

Though caution is necessary (preliminary results, normalized conditions, rapid adjustment, stylized producer behaviour/expectations) ... some key findings can be highlighted

- ‘+2%’ scenario => smoothest adjustment / ‘soft landing’
- Support mechanism for butter still plays a role in stabilising markets and milk price
- Inelastic EU demand => higher EU exports and lower world prices
- ‘Hard landing’ approach => big shock & uneven development over member states (benefiting low cost producers)
- Bulk dairy products more affected than higher value products
- Assumptions on quota rents => key for assessing market impact