



SCENAR 2020

**Scenario study on agriculture
and the rural world**

Europe Direct is a service to help you find answers to your questions about the European Union

Freephone number (*): 00 800 6 7 8 9 10 11

(* Certain mobile telephone operators do not allow access to 00 800 numbers or these calls may be billed.

More information on the European Union is available on the Internet (<http://europa.eu>).

Cataloguing data can be found at the end of this publication.

Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 2007

ISBN 978-92-79-05441-9

© European Communities, 2007

Reproduction is authorised provided the source is acknowledged.

The text of this publication is for information purposes only and is not legally binding.

PRINTED ON WHITE CHLORINE-FREE PAPER

SCENAR 2020

Scenario study on agriculture and the rural world

**European Commission
Directorate-General Agriculture and Rural Development
Directorate G. Economic analysis and evaluation
G.4 Evaluation of measures applicable to agriculture; studies**

December 2006

Contract No. 30 – CE – 0040087/00-08

Authors

European Centre for Nature Conservation (ECNC)

Peter Nowicki *Project Leader*

Chloé Weeger

Landbouw-Economisch Instituut (LEI)

Hans van Meijl *Coordinator Economic Analysis*

Martin Banse

John Helming

Ida Terluin

David Verhoog

Koen Overmars (WUR)

Henk Westhoek (MNP)

Leibniz-Zentrum für Agrarlandschaftsforschung e.V (ZALF)

Andrea Knierim *Coordinator Regional SWOT Analysis*

Michaela Reutter

Bettina Matzdorf

Leibniz-Institut für Länderkunde e.V (IfL)

Otti Margraf

Central European University (CEU)

Ruben Mnatsakanian

Contributors

Marie Belling (European Landowners Organisation – ELO)

Tim Leibert (IfL)

Sebastian Lentz (IfL)

Peter Verburg (LEI – WUR)

Acknowledgements

We wish to express our appreciation for the thoughtful and timely guidance given by Wolfgang Münch, who is the officer within the European Commission following the Scenar 2020 study on behalf of the Directorate-General for Agriculture and Rural Development. In addition to the multiple comments we have received on the various versions of the deliverables, coming from officers within several different services of the Commission, we have also greatly benefited from the written and oral comments provided by the Experts nominated by the Commission¹ and by our own Internal Experts² during the first phase of the Scenar project programme. The shortcomings that may remain in this final document are the sole responsibility of the Project Team.

¹ Commission Experts: Sophia Efstratoglou, Hervé Guyomard, Ybele Hoogeveen, Gerrit Meesters, Stjepan Tanic, Richard Wakeford.

² Scenar Internal Experts: Allan Buckwell, Thierry de l'Escaille, Konrad Hagedorn, Corrado Pirzio-Biroli.

Table of Contents

1. Overview and Conclusions of Scenar 2020	11
1.1. The future of agriculture and the rural world: the challenge for Scenar 2020	11
1.2. Method of work	13
1.3. Agriculture and the rural world on the horizon 2020	15
1.4. Conclusions of Scenar 2020	21
2. Drivers and Corresponding Trends	35
2.1. Exogenous drivers to the EU policy-making system	35
2.1.1. Demography	35
2.1.2. Macro-economic growth	44
2.1.3. World agricultural markets	46
2.1.4. Consumer (food) preferences	48
2.1.5. Quality of life and social well-being	61
2.1.6. Human and animal health concerns	65
2.1.7. Agri-technology	65
2.1.8. Environmental trends (impact on agriculture)	70
2.2. Endogenous drivers to the EU policy-making system	72
2.2.1. Trade policy and agricultural policy	72
2.2.2. EU agricultural policy	72
2.2.3. Environmental policy (impact on agriculture)	77
2.2.4. Enlargement	85
2.2.5. WTO and other international agreements	87
2.2.6. Conclusions	90
2.3. Summary of drivers shaping agriculture and the rural world	90
3. Scenar 2020 Baseline (Reference) Scenario and Alternative Scenarios	97
3.1. Assumptions for scenario building	97
3.2. Overview of the scenarios	98
3.3. Specific variable considerations for scenarios	107
4. Modelling the Future of European Union Agriculture and Rural Land Use in 2020	111
4.1. General overview	111
4.2. Results from the economic modelling	114
4.2.1. National level	114
4.2.2. Sectoral level	116
4.2.3. Agricultural markets	121
4.2.4. Land use under different scenarios	129
4.2.5. Implementing the EU Biofuels Directive	130
4.2.6. Agricultural income and employment	132
4.2.7. Employment effects	135
4.2.8. Farm income at Member State and regional levels	139
4.2.9. Environmental indicators per region	147
4.3. Land use analysis (spatial modelling)	149
4.3.1. Introduction	149
4.3.2. Model settings	150
4.3.3. Results at European, national and HARM2 level for EU-25	152
4.3.4. Changes in landscape pattern and characterisation of specific processes in the scenarios	160
4.3.5. Concluding remarks	165

5. SWOT Analysis on the Regional Level	167
5.1. The dynamics of rural economies in 2020	167
5.2. Typical reactions of regions: demographic developments	179
5.3. Assessing the interplay of the region' demographic and economic strengths and weaknesses	187
5.4. The future perspectives of agriculture	196
5.4.1. Farm structural adjustments	197
5.4.2. Land use adjustments	203
5.5. Conclusions on the SWOT analysis	214
Annexes	216
Bibliography	220
CD: Land Use Simulation – Scenar 2020	Pocket (inside back cover)

List of Tables

1.1	Main scenarios – baseline, regional world and liberalisation	19
2.1.	Total population development in % per 5-year-period	35
2.2.	Average natural population growth in thousands and in births-deaths-ratios (B/D) 1990-2003	37
2.3.	Average net-migration in thousands per 5-year period	38
2.4.	Typology of population change with regard to natural population change and net-migration	39
2.5.	Classification of EU-25 regions to the degree of rurality, share of population and land area in the three rurality groups, 2003	42
2.6.	Population in the EU, 2003	42
2.7.	Percentage of private households made up of one person. Selected countries	51
2.8.	Percentage of private households made up of more than four persons. Selected countries	51
2.9.	Per capita supply (kg/year) of selected food categories, EU-15 average	57
2.10.	Drivers that have influenced consumer food consumption in the period 1990 – 2005	60
2.11.	Development of crop yields	66
2.12.	EU decisions and world market prices	73
2.13.	Exogenous drivers (level 1) and policy-related drivers (level 2)	92
3.1.	Scenario assumptions	99
3.2.	Policy Measures for the CAP	100
3.3.	EU proposal in Doha Development Round	104
4.1.	Schematic overview of the models: geographical and sectoral coverage	111
4.2.	Nominal producer prices for agricultural and food products in the EU under different scenarios, Baseline in 2020 = 100	117
4.3.	Production of crops used for biofuels production and consumption and imports of biofuels in EU-25 and EU-15, 2005 and 2020, in mio t	131
4.4.	Farm income per activity group, EU-25	140
4.5.	Agricultural income per country, 2020, relative to baseline in percent	141
4.6.	Number of farms per sub-sector in 2003 and in 2020 in different scenarios (in mio farms)	144
4.7.	Selected elements of nitrate balance (N). Percentage changes compared to baseline	147
4.8.	Land use classification used in CLUE-s	150
4.9.	Specification of spatial policy scenario settings	151
4.10.	Area changed in the whole of Europe per scenario	152
5.1.	Baseline economic reaction types combined with OECD rurality types	169
5.2.	Baseline reaction types in the EU-15 and EU-10	170
5.3.	Strengths and weaknesses of the baseline reaction types	170
5.4.	Average sectoral employment structure of baseline types in 2002	172
5.5.	Economic status of the different regional types (2002/2004)	174
5.6.	Average GDP/cap (pps) in the year 2002 for baseline types	174
5.7.	Average unemployment rate in the year 2004	175
5.8.	Share of region per demographic cluster and OECD type (%)	180
5.9.	Number of inhabitants in OECD types 2000 to 2020 (in 1,000)	181
5.10.	Typical demographic reactions revealing regional strengths or weaknesses	182
5.11.	Total population clusters and OECD types	186
5.12.	Combination of demographic development and economic baseline types	187
5.13.	Socio-economic key indicators (2002/2004) for the PT groups	188
5.14.	Yearly change rate of arable crop farms in the baseline 2020 scenario and difference in farm number between baseline and liberalisation scenario	199
5.15.	Yearly change rate of cattle farms in the baseline 2020 scenario and difference in farm number between baseline and liberalisation scenario	201
5.16.	Yearly change rate of veg & perm farms in the baseline 2020 scenario and difference in farm number between baseline and liberalisation scenario	202
5.17.	Agricultural land classes in 2000	203
5.18.	Indicators characterising the regionalisation scenario	205
5.19.	Averages of indicators per cluster (in % of UAA and livestock units/ha)	206
5.20.	Characterisation of selected regionalisation clusters by farm structure (2004)	207

5.21. Strengths and weaknesses of regionalisation scenario clusters	208
5.22. % changes in the shares per region and commodity under the liberalisation scenario	209
5.23. Typical reactions of regions with specialisation in cereals under liberalisation conditions	210
5.24. Typical reactions of regions with specialisation in vegetable & permanent crops under liberalisation conditions	211
A.1. Land cover in 2000 and 2020 for the three scenarios for built-up and arable as a percentage of total land area	216
A.2. Land cover in 2000 and 2020 for the three scenarios for grassland and (semi-) natural vegetation as a percentage of total land area	217
A.3. Land cover in 2000 and 2020 for the three scenarios for recently abandoned arable land and permanent crops as a percentage of total land area	218
A.4. Land cover in 2000 and 2020 for the three scenarios for forest and recently abandoned grassland as a percentage of total land area	219

List of Figures

1.1. Structure of Scenar 2020 work programme	17
1.2. Projection of relative employment growth in EU 25 regions, 2004-2020	25
1.3. European regions with a similar behaviour of population growth rates	26
1.4. Trends in agricultural land cover, 1990 to 2000	30
1.5. Trends in forest land cover, 1990 to 2000	31
1.6. Suitability for maize cultivation with increasing temperature	33
1.7. Changes in wheat yield, 2080 (amount of agreement between 9 regional models)	33
2.1. Average total population growth per annum	36
2.2. Average natural population growth per annum	37
2.3. Average net-migration per annum	38
2.4. All types of regions with a positive population development	39
2.5. All types of regions with a negative population development	41
2.6. World population growth (annual growth %)	44
2.7. World income growth (annual growth %)	45
2.8. Annual spending on different expenditure categories, selected countries	50
2.9. Changes in the proportion of the different types of meat, EU-15 average	58
2.10. Meat per capita in E-10 and EU-15	59
3.1. Population, GDP and GDP per capita yearly growth rates (2005-2020)	102
3.2. Production of renewable energy type from agricultural sources in 2003 (EU-15)	108
4.1. Models linkages within Scenar 2020	112
4.2. Sectoral structure of the economy in the EU-25 in 2005 and 2020, in percent	114
4.3. Share of agriculture and food processing industries in the EU-15 and EU-10 in Gross Value Added, 2005 and 2020, in percent	115
4.4. Share of agriculture and food processing in the economy, in percent	116
4.5. Growth of crop production 2005-2020, annual growth rates (%)	118
4.6. Growth of livestock production 2005-2020, annual growth rates (%)	118
4.7. Decomposition of production growth of protected agricultural products, EU-15, 2005-2020, in percent	119
4.8. Decomposition of production growth of less protected agricultural products, EU-15, 2005-2020, in percent	120
4.9. Production of cereals under the different scenarios in the EU, 2005 and 2020, in mio t	122
4.10. Area sown with cereals under the different scenarios in the EU, 2005 and 2020, in mio ha	123
4.11. Production of oilseeds under the different scenarios in the EU, 2005 and 2020, in mio t	124
4.12. Area sown with oilseeds under the different scenarios in the EU, 2005 and 2020, in mio ha	125
4.13. Production of energy crops under the different scenarios in the EU, 2005 and 2020, in mio t	126
4.14. Area sown with energy crops under the different scenarios in the EU, 2005 and 2020, in mio ha	126
4.15. Production of beef under the different scenarios in the EU, 2005 and 2020, in mio t	127

4.16. Production of poultry meat under the different scenarios in the EU, 2005 and 2020, in mio t	128
4.17. Production of cheese under the different scenarios in the EU, 2005 and 2020, in mio t	129
4.18. Shares of land use in total land supply under different scenarios in EU-25, EU-15 and EU-10, 2020	130
4.19. Income growth for crop sectors in EU-15, 2005-2020	132
4.20. Income growth for livestock sectors in EU-15, 2005-2020	133
4.21. Income growth for crop sectors in EU-10, 2005-2020	134
4.22. Income growth for livestock sectors in EU-10, 2005-2020	135
4.23. Sectoral employment growth in the EU-15, 2005-2020	136
4.24. Sectoral employment growth in the EU-10, 2005-2020	137
4.25. Development of real factor prices in the EU-15, 2005-2020	138
4.26. Development of real factor prices in the EU-10, 2005-2020	138
4.27. Development of agricultural and non-agricultural wages in baseline scenario in EU-15 (market prices, 2005-2020)	139
4.28. Changes in farm income per ha: regionalisation versus baseline scenario	142
4.29. Changes in farm income per ha: liberalisation versus baseline scenario	143
4.30. Changes in the number of farms per region in the period 2003-2020 in the baseline scenario (% per year)	145
4.31. Changes in the number of farms per region in the period 2003-2020 in the regionalisation and the liberalisation scenarios (% per year)	146
4.32. Changes in nitrate surplus (kg per ha): regionalisation vs. baseline	148
4.33. Changes in nitrate surplus (kg per ha): liberalisation vs. baseline	149
4.34. Areas with over 10 % land use change (in all land use categories)	153
4.35. Changes in arable land (including permanent crops) between 2000 and 2020	156
4.36. Changes in grassland between 2000 and 2020	157
4.37. Changes in built-up area between 2000 and 2020	158
4.38. Changes in forest area between 2000 and 2020	159
4.39. Detailed (1 x 1 km) map of agricultural abandonment for the three scenarios	162
4.40. Summary of regions mostly affected by agriculture abandonment	163
4.41. Example of arable to grassland conversion in the northern part of the Netherlands and Germany, and southern Denmark for the baseline scenario	164
4.42. Changes in built-up area around the city of Dublin (regionalisation scenarios)	165
5.1. Baseline employment reaction types in 2020	171
5.2. Four different groups of economically decreasing regions	177
5.3. Regions with decreasing growth rates	183
5.4. Regions with a stable demographic trend	184
5.5. Regions with increasing growth rates	185
5.6. Regions with economically weak reactions	190
5.7. Regions with generally stagnating to small positive economic reactions	193
5.8. Regions with generally positive economic reactions	195
5.9. Difference in number of holdings (%) between regionalisation and liberalisation	198
5.10. Density of arable crop farms per region (2000)	199
5.11. Density of cattle farms per region (2000)	200
5.12. Density of veg & perm farms per region (2000)	202
5.13. Agricultural land use types (status quo)	204
5.14. Nine reaction types in the regionalisation scenario	205
5.15. Selected land use reactions of regions specialised in arable crops	210
5.16. Selected land use reactions of vegetable and permanent crop regions	212
5.17. Water abstraction combined with vegetable and permanent crop regions	213

Acronyms

ACP	African, Caribbean and Pacific countries	EU-3	EU applicant countries (Romania, Bulgaria and Turkey)
AMS	Aggregate Measure of Support	EU-10	Ten new Member States of the European Union from May 2004
ARTS	Actions on the integration of Rural Transport Services	EU-12	EU-10 plus Bulgaria and Romania
AWU	Annual Work Unit	EU-15	Fifteen Member States of the European Union before May 2004
BAP	Biodiversity Action Plan	EU-25	Twenty-five Member States of the European Union from May 2004
BG	Bulgaria	EU-27	EU-25 plus Accession countries (Romania and Bulgaria)
BSE	Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy	EU-FIC	European Food Information Council
CAFE	Clean Air For Europe	EUR	Euro
cap	Capita	EUROSTAT	Statistical Office of the European Communities
CAP	Common Agricultural Policy (EU)	FAO	Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations
CAPRI	Common Agricultural Policy Regional Impact Analysis model	FAPRI	Food and Agricultural Policy Research Institute
CBD	Convention on Biological Diversity	FDI	Foreign Direct Investment
CD	Compact Disk	FSS	Farm Structure Survey
CEE	Central and Eastern Europe	G20	Group of 20; bloc of developing nations established before the WTO ministerial at Cancún, Mexico
CEEC	Central and Eastern European Countries	G90	Group of 90; least developed countries (LDCs) with other countries from Africa, the Caribbean and Pacific
CEEC-10	Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Slovakia, Slovenia, Bulgaria and Romania	GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
CLC	Corine Land Cover	GDP	Gross Domestic Product
CLUE-s	Conversion of Land use and its Effects model	GHG	Green House Gases
cm	Centimetre	GM	Genetically Modified
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide	GMO	Genetically Modified Organism
COP	Cereals, Oilseeds and Pulses	GPS	Global Positioning System
EAFRD	European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development	GTAP	Global Trade Analysis Project
EAGGF	European Agricultural Guidance and Guarantee Fund	GVA	Gross Value Added
EAP	Environmental Action Plan	ha	hectare
EBA	Everything-But-Arms Initiative (EU)	HARM	Harmonised system of regions
EEA	European Environment Agency	ICT	Information and Communication Technology
EEC	European Economic Community	IFPRI	International Food Policy Research Institute
ESIM	European Simulation Model	ILO	International Labour Organisation
ESPON	European Spatial Planning Observation Network		
ETC	European Travel Commission		
EU	European Union		

IPPC	Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control (Directive)	RICS	Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors
IPPC	International Plant Protection Convention	RO	Romania
IMAGE	Integrated Model to Assess the Global Environment	SAPARD	Special Accession Programme for Agriculture and Rural Development
ISMEA	International Food and Agribusiness Management Association	SAPS	Single Area Payment Scheme
kg	Kilogram	SERA	Study on Employment in Rural Areas project
km	Kilometre	SFP	Single Farm Payment
km ²	Square kilometre	SMP	Skimmed Milk Powder
LDC	Least developed countries	SO ₂	Sulphur Dioxide
LEITAP	Extended GTAP version implemented by LEI (Landbouw Economisch Instituut)	SPS	Sanitary and Phytosanitary measures
LFA	Less Favoured Area	Sq km	Square kilometre
m	Metre	SWOT	Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats analysis
m ³	Cubic metre	t	Tonne
mio	Million	TBT	Technical Barriers to Trade
MO	Market Organisation	TRIPs	Trade-related Intellectual Property Rights
MS	Member State (of the EU)	TRQ	Tariff Rate Quota
MTR	Mid-Term Review (2003)	TSA	Time Series Analysis
MW	Mega-Watt	TSG	Traditional Specialty Guaranteed
NO ₃	Nitrogen Trioxide	UAA	Utilised Agricultural Area
NO _x	Nitrogen Oxides	UAW	Unit of Agricultural Work
NUTS	Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics	UK	United Kingdom
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development	UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
OIE	World Organisation for Animal Health (Office International des Epizooties)	UPOV	Union International pour la Protection des Obtentions Végétales
p.a.	per annum	URAA	Uruguay Round Agreement on Agriculture
p.c.	per capita	USA / US	United States of America / United States
PDO	Protected Designation of Origin	VWA	Food and Consumer Product Safety Authority
PEM	Policy Evaluation Model	WFD	Water Framework Directive (EU)
PGI	Protected Geographical Indication	WHO	World Health Organisation
ppm	parts per million	WMP	Whole milk powder
pps	purchasing power parities	WTO	World Trade Organisation
PRISMA	Providing Innovative Service Models and Assessment	ZUMA	Zentrum für Umfragen, Methoden und Analysen
R&D	Research and Development		
RD	Rural Development		
RDP	Rural Development Plan		

